

## ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલ

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભા બુધવાર, તા.૧૭/૦૭/૨૦૧૫ના રોજ બપોરે ૪-૩૦ કલાકે યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયમાં મળી હતી. સભાનું પ્રમુખસ્થાન કુલપતિશ્રી ડૉ. એમ. એન. પટેલે સંભાળ્યું હતું. સભામાં હાજરી નીચે પ્રમાણે હતી:

ક્રમાંક	સભ્યનું નામ	તા.૧૭/૦૭/૨૦૧૫
૧.	ડૉ. એમ. એન. પટેલ, કુલપતિશ્રી	હાજર
૨.	ઉચ્ચ શિક્ષણ કમિશનરશ્રી.	ગેરહાજર
૩.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ ટેકનીકલ એજ્યુકેશન	ગેરહાજર
૪.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ હેલ્થ એન્ડ મેડિકલ સર્વિસ એન્ડ મેડિકલ એજ્યુકેશન	ગેરહાજર
૫.	ડૉ. ઇન્દ્રવિજયસિંહ ગોહિલ	હાજર
૬.	શ્રી પટેલ રતિષકુમાર નટવરલાલ	હાજર
૭.	શ્રી જૈન કૌશિકકુમાર સુખલાલ	હાજર
૮.	પ્રો. ડૉ. વિજયાલક્ષ્મી ચારી	હાજર
૯.	પ્રો. ડૉ. કુ. નિશા કે. શાહ	હાજર
૧૦.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. મહેતા ગીતા પ્રાણલાલ	હાજર
૧૧.	ડૉ. શાહ ભરત જી.	હાજર
૧૨.	ડૉ. રાવલ કૌશિકકુમાર ચિમનલાલ	હાજર
૧૩.	ડૉ. દિગ્વિજયસિંહ ધનશ્યામસિંહ ગોહિલ	હાજર
૧૪.	ડૉ. પટેલ ધવલકુમાર રમણલાલ	હાજર
૧૫.	ડૉ. ઠક્કર જશવંતભાઈ હરીભાઈ	હાજર
૧૬.	શ્રી નરહરિભાઈ એચ. અમીન	ગેરહાજર
૧૭.	ડૉ. પટેલ હિમાંશુ વિદુલભાઈ	હાજર
૧૮.	શ્રી અમિતભાઈ શાહ	હાજર
૧૯.	શ્રી ગીરીશભાઈ ચોધરી	હાજર
૨૦.	શ્રી કુમોલભાઈ ડી. પટેલ	હાજર
૨૪.	ડૉ. મહેશભાઈ પટેલ	હાજર
૨૫.	શ્રી દેવાંગભાઈ નાણાવટી	હાજર




સભાની શરૂઆતમાં માન. કુલપતિશ્રીએ સભ્યશ્રીઓને આવકારીને શુભેચ્છા પાઠવી હતી અને ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એક્ટની કલમ-૧૯(૧)(૧૦) મુજબ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલ પર નિયુક્ત થયેલા સભ્યશ્રી ડો. હર્ષદભાઈ એ. પટેલ અને ડો. મહિપતસિંહ ચાવડાને અભિનંદન પાઠવ્યા હતા.

બા.ક.૧ તા.૧૪/૦૨/૨૦૧૫ ના રોજ મળેલ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવા બાબત.  
ઠરાવ: તા. ૧૪/૦૨/૨૦૧૫નાં રોજ મળેલ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવામાં આવી.

બા.ક.૨ તા.૨૬/૦૩/૨૦૧૫ ના રોજ મળેલ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવા બાબત.  
ઠરાવ: તા. ૨૬/૦૩/૨૦૧૫નાં રોજ મળેલ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ નીચેના સુધારા સાથે મંજૂર કરવામાં આવી :

બાબત ક્રમાંક : ૩ના ફકરા નં.૨થી કરવામાં આવેલ નીચેનો ઠરાવ રદ ગણવાનું રાખવું.

“વધુમાં ઠરાવમાં આવ્યું કે સમાજવિદ્યાભવનના અર્થશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગના ડો. પ્રદીપ પ્રજાપતિ સામે ત્રણ વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓએ કરેલ ફરિયાદ પરત્વે ICC (Internal Complaints Committee)ને તપાસ કરવા જણાવવાનું રાખવું”

બા.ક.૩ કુલપતિશ્રીએ મંજૂર કરેલા નીચેના કાર્યો (જાણસાડું)


- ૩(૧) વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫ની બેચના MDS (અનુસ્નાતક ડેન્ટલ) પ્રવેશ પરીક્ષાના જાહેર કરેલ પરિણામના ગ્રેસ માર્ક્સ અંગે દિવ્યા એમ.ગુપ્તાએ સ્ટેટ ઓફ ગુજરાત સામે નામ. હાઈકોર્ટમાં રીટ પીટીશન ક્રમાંક-૪૫૦૬/૨૦૧૫ થયેલ યુનિવર્સિટીને ત્રીજા નંબરની પાર્ટી બનાવી છે, યુનિવર્સિટીવતી એડવોકેટશ્રીને રોક્યાનું અને તેનો આનુષંગિક ખર્ચ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૨) વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫ની બેચના પી.જી.મેડીકલ પ્રવેશ પરીક્ષાના જાહેર કરેલ પરિણામમાં ગ્રેસ માર્ક્સ અંગે મનન ડી.પરીખે નામ.ગુજરાત હાઈકોર્ટ ખાતે રીટ પીટીશન ક્રમાંક-૪૮૮૧થી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી સામે રીટ કરેલ હોવાથી યુનિવર્સિટીવતી એડવોકેટશ્રી રોક્યાની અને તે અંગે થનાર ખર્ચ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૩) પી.જી.મેડીકલ પ્રવેશના નિયમમાં ડી કેટેગરીના નિયમ અંગે જયંત એલ.પટેલે નામ.ગુજરાત હાઈકોર્ટમાં SCA No.૪૭૪૦/૨૦૧૫થી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની વિરુદ્ધમાં કરેલ રીટ પીટીશન અંગે એડવોકેટશ્રી રોક્યાની અને તે અંગે થનાર ખર્ચ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૪) વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫ની બેચના પી.જી.મેડીકલ પ્રવેશ અંગે પ્રકાશભાઈ બી.શાહે નામ.ગુજ.હાઈકોર્ટમાં ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી વિરુદ્ધ SCA NO.૭૧૩૮/૨૦૧૫થી રીટ પીટીશન કરેલ છે, તે અંગે યુનિવર્સિટી વતી એડવોકેટશ્રી રોક્યાની અને તે અંગે થનાર ખર્ચ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૫) લોકાયુક્તની ઈન્કવાયરી નં:૨/૨૦૧૫ અન્વયે શ્રી વિજય ટેસારીને ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના પ્રેઝન્ટીંગ ઓફિસર તરીકે નિમણૂક કર્યાનું તથા આનુષંગિક ખર્ચ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૬) અમીત હુમદાસ ચૌધરી અને અન્ય બીજાઓ દ્વારા ડી.એમ. તેમજ એમ.સી.એચ.અભ્યાસક્રમમાં પ્રવેશ મેળવતાં વિદ્યાર્થીઓને રૂા.૫૦ લાખનો બોન્ડ ભરવા બાબતે રાજ્ય સરકાર અને અન્યો





સામે દાખલ કરેલ રીટ પીટીશન ક.૯૯૭૧/૨૦૧૪નો બે ટર્મમાં એડવોકેટ રોકયાનું તથા સંભવિત થનાર ખર્ચ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.

(નોંધ: ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીને બીજા નંબરની પાર્ટી બનાવવામાં આવી છે.)

- ૩(૭) રાજ્ય સરકારશ્રીના નાણા વિભાગના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક- નનમ/ ૧૦૨૦૦૪/ ૧૦૩૮- ઝ તા.૦૮-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ અનુસાર યુનિવર્સિટીના વર્ગ-૪ના કર્મચારીઓને વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ માટે રૂ.૧૦,૦૦૦/- (દશ હજાર પુરા)ની અનાજ પેશગી બિન વ્યાજકી મંજૂર કરવાના ઠરાવનો સ્વીકાર કર્યાનું અને તેનો લાભ યુનિવર્સિટી કર્મચારીઓને આપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૮) તા.૦૧-૦૧-૨૦૧૫થી સરકારી કર્મચારીઓને યુકવવાપાત્ર મોંઘવારી ભથ્થાના દર ૧૧૩% થયાનું (૧૦૭+૦૭) તે અંગેના રાજ્ય સરકારશ્રીના નાણા વિભાગના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક-વલભ/ ૦૨૦૧૫/ જીઓઆઈ/૦૮/ય, તા.૨૪-૦૪-૨૦૧૫નો સ્વીકાર કરી તેનો યુનિવર્સિટીમાંથી છઠું પગારપંચ મેળવતા કર્મચારીઓ માટે અમલ કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૯) તા.૦૧-૦૭-૨૦૧૪થી પેન્શન-કુટુંબ પેન્શન મેળવતા કર્મચારીઓ માટે મોંઘવારી ભથ્થાના ૭% લેખે દર (૧૦૦+૦૭) વધાર્યા અંગેના રાજ્ય સરકારશ્રીના નાણા વિભાગના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક HGV-૧૦૦૯- ૫૧૨ (૦૧૦૩૦/૨૦૧૪) P તા.૧૪-૧૦-૨૦૧૪ના ઠરાવનો સ્વીકાર કરી તેનો યુનિવર્સિટીમાંથી પેન્શન/કુટુંબ પેન્શન મેળવતા કર્મચારીઓ માટે અમલ કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૧૦) પી.જી.મેડિકલ વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫ની બેચના મેડીકલ/ડિન્ટલ પેરામેડીકલના પ્રવેશના નિયમો/ફોર્મ તથા નોટીસ તૈયાર કરવા અંગે તા.૩-૧૧-૧૪ના રોજ મેડીકલ કેકલ્ટી, યુનિ.માન્ય મેડિકલ કોલેજના ડીનશ્રીઓ તથા પી.જી.મેડીકલ પ્રવેશ સમિતિના સભ્યોની બેઠક મળી હતી. તેમાં થયેલ ઠરાવ અંગેની મિનિટસ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૧૧) ખેડા આર્ટસ અને કોમર્સ કોલેજ, મું.ખેડાની સમાજશાસ્ત્ર (પ્રથમ ગૌણ વિષય)નું જોડાણ મેળવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) પ્રિ.ડી.એમ.ડી.ચાવડા (૨)ડી.હર્ષદભાઈ પટેલ (૩)પ્રિ.ડી.એસ.એન.એચર
- ૩(૧૨) સરકારી વિજ્ઞાન કોલેજ, મું.ઝાવોદ, જી.ઠાણોદની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય અને તૃતીય વર્ષ બી.એસસી.નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસ સમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડી.એસ.આર.દવે (૨)ડી.કે.એચ.ચીળલીયા (૩)ડી.એમ.વી.રાવ
- ૩(૧૩) શ્રી ગુજરાત રિસર્ચ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ, અસારવા.અમદાવાદ-૧૬ની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું એમ.એસસી.ઈન-કેન્સર બાયોલોજીનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડી.મહેશભાઈ પટેલ (૨)ડી.જી.ગ્નેશભાઈ ચૌહાણ (૩)ડી.પ્રણવભાઈ શાહ
- ૩(૧૪) દિનશા પટેલ કોલેજ ઓફ નર્સિંગ, નડિયાદની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બી.એસસી.(નર્સિંગ)નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડી.બિપીનભાઈ પટેલ (૨)શ્રી દ્રુમિલભાઈ પટેલ (૩)ડી.મિહીરભાઈ શાહ



- ૩(૧૫) શ્રી ઘંટાકર્ણ મહાવીર ફિઝીયોથેરાપી કોલેજ, વાવડી, ગોધરા.જી.પંચમહાલની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બેચલર ઓફ ફિઝીયોથેરાપીનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.નીતાબેન વ્યાસ (૨) ડૉ.ભરતભાઈ શાહ (૩) ડૉ.પંકજભાઈ પટેલ
- ૩(૧૬) બાયોકેર રિસર્ચ (ઈ) પ્રા.લિ. પાલડી કોસ રોડ, અમદાવાદ-૬ની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું આ યુનિવર્સિટીના ઓર્ડિ.૧૨૦-C હેઠળ માન્ય સંસ્થા (Recognised Institute) તરીકેનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.એસ.આર.દવે (૨) પ્રિ.ડૉ.બી.કે.જૈન (૩) ડૉ.હિમાંશુભાઈ પંડ્યા
- ૩(૧૭) સોહમ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ નર્સિંગ, BRTS બસ સ્ટેન્ડ પાસે, જુની પ્રિયા ટોકિંગ, કિષ્કિણગર, અમદાવાદની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ તથા દ્વિતીય વર્ષ B.Sc.નર્સિંગનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.પંકજભાઈ પટેલ (૨) ડૉ.જીગ્નેશભાઈ ચૌહાણ (૩) ડૉ.કલ્પેશભાઈ શાહ
- ૩(૧૮) ગોપાલક વિકાસ મંડળ બી.એસસી.નર્સિંગ કોલેજ, અણિયાદ.તા.શહેરા.જી.પંચમહાલની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય, તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષનું B.Sc.નર્સિંગનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.ભારતીબેન સનાડિયા (૨) ડૉ.જીગ્નેશભાઈ ચૌહાણ (૩) ડૉ.રશ્મીકાંત દવે
- ૩(૧૯) સ્વ.આર.પી.પટેલ નર્સિંગ કોલેજ, મુ.ઓડ.જી.આણંદની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય, તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષનું B.Sc. નર્સિંગનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.ભરતભાઈ શાહ (૨) ડૉ.ગીરીશભાઈ પરમાર (૩) ડૉ.પંકજભાઈ પટેલ
- ૩(૨૦) કાનોરિયા સેન્ટર ફોર મેડીકલ એજ્યુકેશન, મુ.ભાટ ગામ, ઈન્દોરાબ્રીજ પાસે, એરપોર્ટ રોડ, ગાંધીનગર હાઈવે, ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૪૨૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય વર્ષ ડીપ્લોમા ઈન કોમ્યુનીટી એન્ડ ક્લીનીકલ સાયકોલોજી (DCCP)નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) પ્રો.ડૉ.અશ્વિનભાઈ જન્સારી (૨) ડૉ.કરશનભાઈ ચાંયાણી (૩) ડૉ.શ્રદ્ધાબેન રાય
- ૩(૨૧) શ્રેય ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ નર્સિંગ એન્ડ એલાઈડ સાયન્સીસ, પ્લોટ નં.૨૪૪/બી, અંબિકા પાર્ટી પ્લોટ પાસે, એ.ઈ.સી.પાસે, સોલા કોસ રોડ, નારેણપુરા.અમદાવાદ-૧૩ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય, તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષનું B.Sc.નર્સિંગનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.પંકજભાઈ પટેલ (૨) પ્રિ.ડૉ.ભારતીબેન સનાડિયા (૩) ડૉ.જગદીશભાઈ ભાવસાર
- ૩(૨૨) જી. એમ. ઈ. આર. એસ. મેડીકલ કોલેજ, સિવિલ હોસ્પિટલ કેમ્પસ, પથીકાશ્રમ પાસે, સેક્ટર-૧૨, ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૦૧૨ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય, તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષનું એમ.બી.બી.એસ.નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧) ડૉ.કલ્પેશભાઈ શાહ (૨) ડૉ.ભરતભાઈ શાહ (૩) ડૉ.જીગ્નેશભાઈ ચૌહાણ

- ૩(૨૩) કાનોરિયા સેન્ટર ફોર મેડીકલ એજ્યુકેશન, મુ.ભાટગામ,ઈન્દરાબ્રીજ પાસે, એરપોર્ટ રોડ, ગાંધીનગર હાઈવે, ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૪૨૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું ડીપ્લોમા કોર્સ ઈન એજ્યુકેશન કાઉન્સિલ (DCEC) અને ડીપ્લોમા કોર્સ ઈન ચાઈલ્ડ પેરન્ટલ કાઉન્સેલીંગ (DCCPC)નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)પ્રો.ડી.અશ્વિનભાઈ જન્સારી (૨)ડી.કરશનભાઈ ચોયાણી (૩)ડી.શ્રદ્ધાબેન રાય
- ૩(૨૪) સરકારી લો કોલેજ, શ્રી કે.કા.શાસ્ત્રી શૈક્ષણિક સંકુલ,બોખરા રોડ, મણિનગર (પૂર્વ),અમદાવાદ-૦૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય તથા તૃતીય વર્ષનું LL.B.નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલી અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડી.મયુરીબેન પંડયા (૨)શ્રી કૌશિકભાઈ જેન (૩)શ્રી ઘુમિલભાઈ પટેલ
- ૩(૨૫) અનંત ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ આર્કિટેક્ચર, સંસ્કારવામ કેમ્પસ, બોપલધુમા રોડ, મણીપુર પાસે, ગોંધાવી, તા.સાણંદ, જી.અમદાવાદ-૩૮૨૧૧૫ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બેચલર ઓફ આર્કિટેક્ચર, પાંચ વર્ષના કોર્સનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)પ્રિ.ડી.મહિપતસિંહ ચાવડા (૨)શ્રી હેમરાજ કામદાર (૩)પ્રો.એ.ટી.મોતીયાણી
- ૩(૨૬) શુભમ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ નર્સિંગ સાયન્સ એન્ડ રિસર્ચ સેન્ટર, ૧૪૪/૧૨૯, કલાપીનગર, છેલ્લા બસ સ્ટોપ, અસારવા, અમદાવાદ-૧૬ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય,તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષ B.Sc.નર્સિંગનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડી.ચેતનાબેન પ્રજાપતિ (૨)ડી.ભાવનાબેન ગઢવી (૩)ડી.પ્રણવભાઈ શાહ
- ૩(૨૭) ઉમિયા માતા કડવા પાટીદાર એજ્યુ. એન્ડ સમાજ સેવા ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત કલોલ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ આર્કિટેક્ચર એન્ડ ડિઝાઈન, કે.આઈ.આર.સી.કેમ્પસ, અમદાવાદ મહેસાણા હાઈવે, કલોલ-૩૮૨૭૨૧, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બી.ઈન્ડીરીયર ડિઝાઈન કોર્સ માટે નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)પ્રો.એ.ટી.મોતીયાણી (૨)શ્રી હેમરાજ કામદાર (૩)પ્રિ.ડી.મહિપતસિંહ ચાવડા(૪)ડી.વી.ચારી
- ૩(૨૮) લક્ષ્મણ જ્ઞાનપીઠ અનંત ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ ઈન્ડીરીયર ડિઝાઈન,સંસ્કારવામ, મુ.પો. ગોધાવી, તા.સાણંદ, જી.અમદાવાદ-૩૮૨૧૧૫ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બી.ઈન્ડીરીયર ડિઝાઈન કોર્સ માટે નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)પ્રો.એ.ટી.મોતીયાણી (૨)શ્રી હેમરાજ કામદાર (૩)પ્રિ.ડી.મહિપતસિંહ ચાવડા(૪)ડી.વી.ચારી
- ૩(૨૯) વિનસ એકેડેમી ઓફ ડિઝાઈન, ભોંયણ, ઈંકકો સામે, અડાલજ કલોલ હાઈવે, ગાંધીનગર-૩૮૨૪૨૦ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બી.ઈન્ડીરીયર ડિઝાઈન કોર્સ માટે નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)પ્રો.એ.ટી.મોતીયાણી (૨)શ્રી હેમરાજ કામદાર (૩)પ્રિ.ડી.મહિપતસિંહ ચાવડા (૪)ડી.વી.ચારી.

- ૩(૩૦) પાયોનિયર નર્સિંગ કોલેજ, પાયોનિયર મેડીકલ એન્ડ પેરામેડિકલ કેમ્પસ, આજવા કોસ રોડ પાસે, નેશનલ હાઈવે-૮, મુ.પો.સયાજીપુરા, વડોદરા-૩૯૦૦૧૯ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય, તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષનું B.Sc.નર્સિંગનું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડૉ.નિતાબેન વ્યાસ (૨)શ્રી ઘુમિલભાઈ પટેલ (૩)ડૉ.ભારતીબેન સનાડીયા
- ૩(૩૧) પાયોનિયર ફિઝિયોથેરાપી કોલેજ, પાયોનિયર મેડીકલ એન્ડ પેરામેડિકલ કેમ્પસ, આજવા કોસ રોડ પાસે, નેશનલ હાઈવે-૮, મુ.પો.સયાજીપુરા, વડોદરા-૩૯૦૦૧૯ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું પ્રથમ, દ્વિતીય, તૃતીય તથા ચતુર્થ વર્ષનું બી.ફિઝિયોથેરાપી (BPT)નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડૉ.નિતાબેન વ્યાસ (૨)ડૉ.દિલીપભાઈ પટેલ (૩) શ્રી કૌશિકભાઈ જૈન
- ૩(૩૨) સ્પર્શ હોસ્પિટલ, ૧૦૦/બી, સ્વસ્તિક સોસાયટી, સામવેદ હોસ્પિટલ સામે, સ્ટેડીયમ કોમર્સ રોડ, નવરંગપુરા, અમદાવાદ-૦૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું ઓર્ડી.૩૫-એ (એપ્રુવ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ)નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડૉ.પંકજભાઈ પટેલ (૨)ડૉ.ભરતભાઈ શાહ (૩)ડૉ.ગીરીશભાઈ પરમાર
- ૩(૩૩) GLS લો કોલેજ, લો ગાર્ડન સામે, એલીસબ્રીજ, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦૦૦૬ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું BA.LL.B. (Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડૉ.કૌશિક રાવલ (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.પી.એન.ગજજર (૩)ડૉ.એન.સી.ભટ્ટ (૪)શ્રી દર્શનસિંહ સોલંકી (૫)ડૉ.હિમાંશુ પટેલ
- ૩(૩૪) દિવાળા એજ્યુકેશન ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત એપોલો ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ લો, SNME કેમ્પસ, આદિશ્વરનગર સામે, નરોડા, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦૦૩૦ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની B.Com.,LL.B. (Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)પ્રિ.ડૉ.એલ.એસ.પાઠક (૨)પ્રો.એ.કે.દેસાઈ (૩)ડૉ.રાજેશ ડી.મોઢ (૪)શ્રી એમ.એન.ગોર (૫)શ્રી ચૌધરી ગિરીશ આર.
- ૩(૩૫) કલોલ તાલુકા કેળવણી મંડળ સંચાલિત કલોલ તાલુકા કેળવણી મંડળ લો કોલેજ, વખારીયા કેમ્પસ, કલોલ-૩૮૨૭૨૧, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની LL.B. (Three Years) ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.  
(૧)ડૉ.રૂપિકેશ મહેતા (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.એન.કે.જૈન (૩)ડૉ.આનંદ આચાર્ય (૪)શ્રી એમ.એન.ગોર (૫)ડૉ.જશવંત ઠક્કર
- ૩(૩૬) લોક જાગૃતિ કેન્દ્ર સંચાલિત એલ.જે.સ્કુલ ઓફ લો, એલ.જે.કેમ્પસ, એસ.જી.રોડ, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦૦૫૧ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની BBA,LL.B. (Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.

(૧) ડૉ.કે.સી.રાવલ (૨)પ્રો.પી.એન.ગજજર (૩)પ્રો.એન.સી.ભટ્ટ (૪)શ્રી દર્શનસિંહ એન. સોલંકી  
 ૩(૩૭) ઉમિયા માતા કડવા પાટીદાર એજ્યુકેશન એન્ડ સમાજ સેવા ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત અનન્યા ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ  
 ઓફ કોમર્સ એન્ડ લો. કે.આઈ.આર.સી.કેમ્પસ. અમદાવાદ-મહેસાણા હાઈવે, કલોલ-૩૮૨૭૨૧.  
 જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બી.કોમ.,એલએલ.બી. (Five Years  
 Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ  
 નીમ્યાનું.

(૧)ડૉ.રૂપિકેશ મહેતા (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.એન.કે.જૈન (૩)ડૉ.આનંદ આચાર્ય (૪)શ્રી એમ.એન.ગોર  
 (૫)ડૉ.જશવંત ઠક્કર

૩(૩૮) શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ લો,  
 બાપુ ગુજરાત નોલેજ વિલેજ, મુ.વાસણા.જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ના  
 LL.B.(ત્રણ વર્ષ) કોર્સના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક  
 તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.

(૧)ડૉ.એસ.પી.રાહોર (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.એન.કે.જૈન (૩)ડૉ.આનંદ આચાર્ય (૪)શ્રી મુમિલ પટેલ

૩(૩૯) ગાંધીનગર ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત સમર્પણ સ્કુલ ઓફ લો, મુ.પેથાપુર.સેક્ટર-૨૮,તા.જી.ગાંધી  
 નગર-૩૮૨૦૨૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની B.A.,LL.B.(Five Years Integrated)ના  
 નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.

(૧)ડૉ.એસ.પી.રાહોર (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.એન.કે.જૈન (૩)ડૉ.આનંદ આચાર્ય(૪)શ્રી મુમિલભાઈ પટેલ

૩(૪૦) ગાંધીનગર ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત સમર્પણ સ્કુલ ઓફ લો.મુ.પેથાપુર.સેક્ટર-૨૮,તા.જી.ગાંધીનગર-  
 ૩૮૨૦૨૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની LL.B. (Three Years)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ  
 અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.

(૧)ડૉ.એસ.પી.રાહોર (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.એન.કે.જૈન (૩)ડૉ.આનંદ આચાર્ય (૪)શ્રી મુમિલ પટેલ

૩(૪૧) શિક્ષણ વિભાગ ગુજરાત સરકારના તા.૦૮-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ના પત્રાંક-ઈપીયુ/૨૦૧૪/૩૨૮/ખ-૧ દ્વારા  
 જી.એલ.એસ. યુનિવર્સિટી,અમદાવાદને ગુજરાત એક્ટ નં-૩/૨૦૧૫ તા.૧૫-૦૪-૨૦૧૫ થી  
 ખાનગી યુનિવર્સિટીનો દરજ્જો આપવામાં આવેલ છે.

ગુજરાત સરકારના તા.૦૮-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ના ઉપરોક્ત પત્રના આધારે એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલવર્તી  
 મા.કુલપતિશ્રીએ નીચે મુજબની છ કોલેજોને આ જાહેરનામાની તારીખથી ગુજરાત  
 યુનિવર્સિટીમાંથી વિલગ્ન (Deaffiliate) કરવા પરવાનગી આપેલ છે.

૧: જી.એલ.એસ.ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ ટીચર્સ એજ્યુકેશન



૨: એસ.એમ.પટેલ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ કોમર્સ

૩: જી.એલ.એસ.(જે.પી.શાહ) ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ બિઝનેસ એડમીનીસ્ટ્રેશન

૪: જી.એલ.એસ.(શ્રીમતી ઈન્દુબેન એન્ડ રતીલાલ કે.દેસાઈ)ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ કોમ્પ્યુટર  
 એપ્લીકેશન્સ

૫: એન.આર.ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ બિઝનેસ એડમીનીસ્ટ્રેશન

૬: જી.એલ.એસ.(શ્રીમતી એમ.આર.પરીખ) ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ કોમર્સ

  ૭

- ગુજરાત સરકારના શિક્ષણ વિભાગ દ્વારા મુકવામાં આવેલી શરતો અને સમયાંતરે જાહેર કરવામાં આવનારી શરતોના પાલન કરવાની શરતે જાહેરનામું બહાર પાડ્યાનું.
- ૩(૪૨) શ્રીમતી એલ.એન્ડ સી.મહેતા આર્ટ્સ કોલેજ, અમદાવાદને જી.એલ.એસ. લો ગાર્ડન સામેથી લાલદરવાજા સીટી કેમ્પસ ખાતે સ્થળાંતરીત થવા માટેની અરજી ઉપર સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણોને આધારે પ્રોગ્રેસીવ તબદીલ કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૪૩) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના સામેલ કર્મચારીઓને સરકારશ્રીના વખતો વખતના ઠરાવો મુજબ માનનીય કુલપતિશ્રીના આદેશાનુસાર તા.૨૧-૪-૨૦૧૫થી જે તે કેડરમાં હંગામી બદલી આપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૪૪) પરીક્ષા વિભાગમાં મદદનીશ કુલસચિવ તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા અધિકારીને કાર્યાલયની વહીવટી અનુકુળતાખાતર એકેડેમિક/પી.જી./જનરલ વિભાગમાં તથા એકેડેમિક/પી.જી./જનરલ વિભાગમાં મદદનીશ કુલસચિવ તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા અધિકારીની પરીક્ષામાં બદલી કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૪૫) નેશનલ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ માસ કોમ્યુનિકેશન એન્ડ જર્નાલીઝમ, ૪૦૧, સપ્થ-૧, રાજપથ કલબ સામે, એસ.જી.હાઈવે, બોડકદેવ, અમદાવાદની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૪-૧૫નું ઓર્ડીનન્સ ૧૨૦ (C) અંતર્ગત એડાહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણોને આધારે જોડાણ જાહેરનામું બહાર પાડ્યાનું.
- ૩(૪૬) યુ.જી.સી., ન્યુ દિલ્હી ખા ક:એફ.૧૦-૧/૨૦૦૮ (પી.એસ.) ફેબ્રુઆરી-૨૦૧૦ અન્વયે Revised Guidelines for the Scheme of Appointment/Honorarium of Guest/Part-Time Teachers સ્વીકાર્યાનું.
- ૩(૪૭) સંસ્કાર બી.એડ.કોલેજ, મુ.પીપલીયા, તા.વાઘોડિયા, જી.વડોદરાની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૪-૧૫નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલી સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણોને આધારે નીચે મુજબનું જોડાણ જાહેરનામું બહાર પાડ્યાનું.

વિષયો-અભ્યાસક્રમ	સીટો	જોડાણ પ્રકાર	મુદત
બી.એડ. (સ્વનિર્ભર ધોરણો) (CBCS મુજબ)	૧૦૦	ચાલુ (એડહોક)	તા.૦૧-૦૭-૨૦૧૪ થી તા.૩૦-૦૬-૨૦૧૫ સુધી

- ૩(૪૮) શિક્ષણ વિભાગ ગુજરાત સરકારના તા.૦૨-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ના પત્રાંક-ઈપીયુ/૨૦૧૪/૩૨૮/ખ.૧ દ્વારા પારુલ યુનિવર્સિટી, લીમડાને ગુજરાત એક્ટ નં:૭/૨૦૧૫ તા.૦૭-૦૪-૨૦૧૫થી ખાનગી યુનિવર્સિટીનો દરજ્જો આપવામાં આવેલ છે.

ગુજરાત સરકારના તા.૦૮-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ના ઉપરોક્ત પત્રના આધારે એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલવતી મા.કુલપતિશ્રીએ નીચે મુજબની ૩(૪૭) કોલેજોને આ જાહેરનામાની તારીખથી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીમાંથી વિલગ્ન (Deafiliate) કરવા પરવાનગી આપેલ છે.

૧: Parul Institute of Physiotherapy . Limda. BPT & MPT

૨: Ahmedabad Physiotherapy College, Ahmedabad, BPT & MPT

૩: Parul Institute of Business Administration-Limda-BBA

ગુજરાત સરકારના શિક્ષણ વિભાગ દ્વારા મુકવામાં આવેલી શરતો અને સમયાંતરે જાહેર કરવામાં આવનારી શરતોના પાલન કરવાની શરતે જાહેરનામું બહાર પાડયાનું.

- ૩(૪૯) કે.જી.પટેલ કોલેજ ઓફ એજ્યુકેશન (બી.એડ.), મુ.ઓડ, તા.જી.આણંદની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૪-૧૫નું એડહોક જોડાણ લંબાવવા માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલી સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણોને આધારે નીચે મુજબનું જોડાણ જાહેરનામું બહાર પાડયાનું.

વિષયો-અભ્યાસક્રમ	સીટો	જોડાણ પ્રકાર	મુદત
બી.એડ. (સ્વનિર્ભર ધોરણ) (CBCS મુજબ)	૧૦૦	ચાલુ (એડહોક)	તા.૦૧-૦૭-૨૦૧૪ થી તા.૩૦-૦૬-૨૦૧૫ સુધી

- ૩(૫૦) ખેડા આર્ટસ એન્ડ કોમર્સ કોલેજ, ખેડાની શૈ.વર્ષ.૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી બી.એ.(ગૌણ) સમાજશાસ્ત્ર Sem-I અને Sem-II કાયમી જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણોને આધારે નીચે મુજબનું જાહેરનામું બહાર પાડયાનું.

વિષયો-અભ્યાસક્રમ	જોડાણ પ્રકાર	મુદત
બી.એ સમાજશાસ્ત્ર. (CBCS મુજબ) Sem-I અને Sem-II તરીકે	કાયમી	તા.૦૧-૦૭-૨૦૧૫થી કાયમી

- ૩(૫૧) ડિમિયા માતા કડવા પાટીદાર એજ્યુકેશન એન્ડ સમાજસેવા ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત અનન્યા ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ કોમર્સ એન્ડ લો. કે.આઈ.આર.સી.કેમ્પસ, અમદાવાદ મહેસાણા હાઈવે, કલોલ-૩૮૨૭૨૧, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું બી.કોમ., એલએલ.બી. (Five Years Integrated) ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.

- ૩(૫૨) ગાંધીનગર ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત સમર્પણ સ્કુલ ઓફ લો, મુ.પેથાપુર, સેક્ટર-૨૮, તા.જી.ગાંધીનગર -૩૮૨૦૨૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની LL.B. (Three Years) ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણને આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.

- ૩(૫૩) ગાંધીનગર ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત સમર્પણ સ્કુલ ઓફ લો, મુ.પેથાપુર, સેક્ટર-૨૮, તા.જી.ગાંધીનગર -૩૮૨૦૨૮ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ના B.A., LL.B. (Five Years Integrated) ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણને આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.



- ૩(૫૪) શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ લો, બાપુ ગુજરાત નોલેજ વિલેજ, મુ.વાસણ, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ના LL.B.(ત્રણ

વર્ષ)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.

- ૩(૫૫) લોક જાગૃતિ કેન્દ્ર સંચાલિત એલ.જે.સ્કુલ ઓફ લો. એલ.જે.કેમ્પસ,એસ.જી.રોડ,અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦૦૫૧ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની BBA.LL.B. (Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૫૬) કલોલ તાલુકા કેળવણી મંડળ સંચાલિત કલોલ તાલુકા કેળવણી મંડળ લો કોલેજ, વખારીયા કેમ્પસ, કલોલ-૩૮૨૭૨૧, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની LL.B. (Three Years) ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૫૭) દિવાબા એજ્યુકેશન ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત એપોલો ઈન્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ લો, SNME કેમ્પસ, આદિશ્વરનગર સામે, નરોડા, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦૦૩૦ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ના B.Com.,LL.B. (Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૫૮) GLS લો સોસાયટી સંચાલિત GLS લો કોલેજ,લો ગાર્ડન સામે, એલીસબ્રીજ, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦૦૦૬ની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬નું BA.,LL.B. (Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૫૯) યુનિવર્સિટીનાં ભાષા ભવનનાં સંસ્કૃત વિભાગના પ્રોફેસર અને હેડ તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતાં પ્રો.ડી.વી.એમ.ભટ વ્યવિવૃત્તિથી તા.૨૧-૨-૧૫નાં રોજ નિવૃત્ત થતાં તેઓની ખાલી જગ્યાએ સંસ્કૃત વિષયનાં સિનિયર મોસ્ટ પ્રોફેસર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતાં ડી.કે.સી.ચોકસીને મા.કુલપતિશ્રીનાં આદેશાનુસાર તા.૨૧-૨-૧૫થી સંસ્કૃત વિભાગનાં હેડ તરીકેનો ચાર્જ સોંપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૦) અત્રેના પત્રકમાંક નં:એકા-૧/૪૬૮૫૯/૨૦૧૫ તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫નાં પત્રથી યુનિવર્સિટીનાં માર્દકોબાયોલોજી અને બાયોટેકનોલોજી વિભાગના વડા તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતાં પ્રો.ડી.એસ.આર.દવે વ્યવિવૃત્તિથી તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫નાં રોજ નિવૃત્ત થતાં તેઓની ખાલી જગ્યાએ માર્દકોબાયોલોજી અને બાયોટેકનોલોજી વિભાગનાં સિનિયર મોસ્ટ પ્રોફેસર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતાં પ્રો.ડી.એમ.એસ.સરાફને તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫થી વિભાગનાં વડા તરીકેનો ચાર્જ સોંપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૧) Gujarat University ધારા 1949ની કલમ 21(i) (Vi) & St.91-A અન્વયે મા.કુલપતિશ્રી દ્વારા તા.૮-૬-૨૦૧૫થી અમલમાં આવે તે રીતે 18 (Eighteen) Chairman of Board of Studiesની Academic Councilના સભ્ય પદે નિયુક્ત કર્યાનું.  
(૧૮ સભ્યશ્રીઓની યાદી આ સાથે પરિશિષ્ટ-૧- તરીકે સામેલ છે.)
- ૩(૬૨) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીમાં કન્વોકેશન ઓફ એકઝામની ભરતી માટે તા.૦૪-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ના રોજ ઉમેદવારના ઈન્ટરવ્યુ અંગેની કાર્યવાહી માટે સિલેક્શન કમિટી નીમ્યાનું.

૬૦



- ૩(૬૩) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના કે.એસ.સ્કુલ ઓફ બિઝનેસ મેનેજમેન્ટ ખાતે પ્લેસમેન્ટ ઓફિસર કમ પીઆરઓ અંગેની ભરતી માટે તા.૯-૫-૧૫ના રોજ યોજાયેલ લેખિત પરીક્ષા અને ત્યારબાદ લેખિત પરીક્ષામાં ઉત્તીર્ણ થનાર ઉમેદવારોના ઈન્ટરવ્યુ અંગેની કાર્યવાહી માટે સિલેક્શન કમિટી નીમ્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૪) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના પોલિટીકલ સાયન્સ વિભાગના વડા તરીકે વિભાગના આસીસ્ટન્ટ પ્રોફેસર શ્રી મુકેશભાઈ ખટીકને વિભાગના વડા તરીકેનો ચાર્જ સોંપ્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૫) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીમાં શૈક્ષણિક જગ્યાઓની ભરતી માટે આપવામાં આવેલ જાહેરાતના સંદર્ભે આવેલ અરજીઓના તા.૧૦-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ થી ૧૫-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ દરમિયાન યોજાનાર ઈન્ટરવ્યુ અંગે નિમેલ સિલેક્શન કમિટી નીમ્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૬) યુ.જી.સી.ના તા.૨૦-૨-૧૫ના પત્રકમાંક-એફ.નં-૨૬-૨/૨૦૦૩ (એસયુ.આઈ)થી યુ.જી.સી.દસમી યોજનાના હિસાબો ફાઈનાલાઈઝ તથા સેટલ કરેલ હોઈ અનસ્પેન્ટ રહેતી રકમ રૂ.૪૩,૦૯,૩૪૫/-જનરલ ડેવલપમેન્ટ સ્કીમ હેઠળ તેમજ રૂ.૧.૫૨,૫૭૮/- વન ટાઈમ એડીશનલ ગ્રાન્ટની રકમ યુ.જી.સી.ખાતે રીફંડ કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૭) નેશનલ ઈન્સ્ટીટ્યુટ ઓફ માસ કોમ્યુનિકેશન એન્ડ જર્નાલિઝમ, સપ્થ-૧, રાજપથ કલબ પાસે, બોડકદેવ,અમદાવાદને શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૩-૧૪થી તા.૬-૯-૨૦૧૩ની ECની સભામાં બા.ક.કથી ટ્યુશન ફી રૂ.૩૭૫૦૦/-વધારીને રૂ.૫૦,૦૦૦/- મંજૂર કરેલ જેમાં સેમેસ્ટર શબ્દ લખવાનો રહી ગયેલ હોઈ પુનઃ સેમીસ્ટર દીઠ મંજૂર કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૮) કમિશનરશ્રી, ઉચ્ચ શિક્ષણ કમિશનરની કચેરી, ગાંધીનગર તરફથી આવેલ પત્રાંક નં-OW/ISC-7/2015/4631-32 પરત્વે રાજ્ય સરકાર દ્વારા ગોધરા યુનિવર્સિટીની રચના કરવામાં આવનાર હોઈ તેમા સમાવિષ્ટ થનાર જિલ્લાઓ પંચમહાલ-ગોધરા, દાહોદ, છોટાઉદેપુર, મહિસાગર, વડોદરા ગ્રામ્યની કોલેજોનો સમાવેશ કરવામાં આવનાર છે, જે અંગે શિક્ષણ વિભાગનાં પત્ર પરત્વે કાર્યવાહી કર્યાનું.
- ૩(૬૯) ડૉ.સરમન વિરમભાઈ ઝાલા એસોસિએટ પ્રોફેસર રાજયશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગ, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીને તા.૨૧-૪-૨૦૧૫ના રોજ બરતરફ કર્યાનું.
- ઠરાવ: કુલપતિશ્રીએ મંજૂર કરેલા ઉપરોક્ત ક્રમાંક ૩(૧) થી ૩(૬૯)સુધીના કાર્યોની નોંધ લેવામાં આવી.

બા.ક.૪ યુનિવર્સિટી આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રમાં હંગામી ધોરણે ફરજ બજાવતાં I/c R.M.O. અને I/c.L.M.O.ની તા.૩૦-૧૦-૨૦૧૪ની વેતન વધારા અંગેની અરજી અનુસંધાનમાં માન.કુલપતિશ્રી દ્વારા સદરહુ મેડીકલ ઓફિસરશ્રીઓના વેતન વધારા અંગે યુનિવર્સિટીના Dean, Medical facultyનો અભિપ્રાય લઈ આ સમગ્ર બાબત ECમાં મંજૂરી માટે મૂકવા તેમજ ત્યારબાદ સરકારશ્રીમાં જાણ કરવાના લેખિત આદેશ કરેલ.

સદરહુ બાબતે યુનિવર્સિટી Dean of Medical faculty દ્વારા ડિસ્ટ્રીક્ટ હેલ્થ સોસાયટી અમદાવાદના ધોરણે ઉચ્ચ વેતન રૂ.૨૮૦૦૦/- પ્રતિમાસ આપવાની ભલામણ યુનિવર્સિટીના

પત્રાંક નં.એકા-૧/૫૪૮૪/૨૦૧૫ તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫ના પત્રમાં આનુચંગિક નોંધ દ્વારા વળતી ટપાલે જણાવેલ છે, ઉપર્યુક્ત બાબત પરત્વે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે યુનિવર્સિટી આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રમાં હંગામી ધોરણે ફરજ બજાવતાં I/c R.M.O. અને I/c.L.M.O ને ઉચ્ચક વેતન રૂ. ૨૮,૦૦૦/- પ્રતિમાસ આપવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૫ પ્રવર્તમાન એમ.ફીલ/પીએચ.ડી.રેગ્યુલેશનમાં સપ્લીમેન્ટરી ઓર્ડિનન્સ પ્લેજ્યારીઝમ/એકેડેમિક ડીસઓનેસ્ટી પોલીસી પરત્વે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

(પ્લેજ્યારીઝમ/એકેડેમિક ડીસઓનેસ્ટી પોલીસી પરિશિષ્ટ-૨ તરીકે સામેલ છે)

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત સપ્લીમેન્ટરી ઓર્ડિનન્સને પ્રવર્તમાન એમ.ફીલ/પીએચ.ડી.ના રેગ્યુલેશનમાં ઉમેરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૬ મેસર્સ ગાલા પ્રોડક્ટસ પ્રા.લિ.ના બાબી સ્ટીકરની ખરીદીના બિલની દંડની રકમ માફ કરવા અર્થેના તેમના પત્ર તા.૩-૯-૧૪ મુજબ તા.૧૫-૧૧-૨૦૧૪ની એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલમાં મુકવામાં આવેલ જેની બાબત ક્રમાંક-નં:૩થી ઠરાવ કરવામાં આવેલ કે દંડ અંગે કુલપતિશ્રીને સત્તા આપવામાં આવેલ છે, પ્રેસ કાર્યાલયની તા.૨૨-૪-૧૫ની નોંધથી માનનીય કુલપતિશ્રી સમક્ષ ફાઈલ મુકતા દંડ માફી અંગે પુનઃ એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલમાં મુકવા જણાવેલ છે, જે અંગે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે મેસર્સ ગાલા પ્રોડક્ટસ પ્રા. લિ. પાસેથી બાબી સ્ટીકરની ખરીદીના બિલની દંડની રકમ વસુલ કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૭ અનુસ્નાતક કક્ષાએ એમ.એ.,એમ.કોમ.વર્ગોમાં હાયરપેમેન્ટ સીટ પર સેમેસ્ટર દીઠ રૂ.૫૦૦૦/- (અંકે રૂપિયા પાંચ હજાર) લેવામાં આવતી ફી ઘટાડવા અત્રે આવેલ અરજીના સંદર્ભમાં વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત હાયર પેમેન્ટ સીટ માટે લેવામાં આવતી ફી ઘટાડવાની અરજી નામંજુર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૮ રસાયણ વિભાગમાં કર્મચારીઓ ઝેરી તથા હાનીકારક કેમીકલ્સ સાથે સતત કામ કરતા હોવાથી તેઓને દરરોજ ૫૦૦ ગ્રામ દુધનું વિતરણ વર્ગ-૩ તથા વર્ગ-૪ને જુલાઈ-૭૯ની સાલથી કરવામાં આવે છે, પરંતુ કુલપતિશ્રીના આદેશ અનુસાર દુધ વિતરણ અંગેની વિગત એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલ (E.C.)માં ફરી વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત કર્મચારીઓને દરરોજ અગાઉ નક્કી થયા મુજબ ૫૦૦ ગ્રામ દુધનું વિતરણ કરવાનું ચાલું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૯ અત્રેના પત્રકમાંક નં:એકા-૧/૪૬૮૬૦/૨૦૧૫ તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫ના પત્રથી યુનિવર્સિટીના ભાષા ભવનનાં નિયામક તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતાં પ્રો.ડી.વી.એમ.ભટ વચનિવૃત્તિથી તા.૨૧-૨-૧૫ના રોજ નિવૃત્ત થતાં તેઓની બાકી જગ્યાએ ભાષા ભવનનાં સિનિયર મોસ્ટ પ્રોફેસર તરીકે ફરજ

(૨)

બજાવતાં ડી.આર.બી.અરગડેને I/c. Director તરીકે તા.૨૧-૨-૧૫થી ચાર્જ સોંપવામાં આવેલ છે, જેઓને તા.૨૧-૨-૧૫થી ભાષા ભવનનાં Director તરીકે નિમણુંક અંગે ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત નિમણુંક કર્યાની બાબત મંજૂર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક.૧૦ અત્રેનાં પત્રકમાંક નં:એકા-૧/૪૬૮૫૮/૨૦૧૫ તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫નાં પત્રથી યુનિવર્સિટીના સાયન્સ વિભાગનાં નિયામક તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા પ્રો.એસ.આર.દવે વચનિવૃત્તિથી તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫ના રોજ નિવૃત્ત થતા તેઓની ખાલી જગ્યાએ સાયન્સ વિભાગમાં સિનિયર મોસ્ટ પ્રોફેસર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા ડી.એમ.વી.રાવને I/c. Director તરીકે તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫થી ચાર્જ સોંપવામાં આવેલ છે, જેઓને તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫થી સાયન્સ વિભાગનાં ડાયરેક્ટર તરીકે નિમણુંક અંગે ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત નિમણુંક કર્યાની બાબત મંજૂર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક.૧૧ હિસાબ વિભાગના એકાઉન્ટીંગને લગતા કામો જેવા કે બેંક રીકન્સેલેશન, લેજર, કેશબુક, ટ્રાયલ બેલેન્સ વિગેરે અંગેની કામગીરી સંભાળતા હંગામી કર્મચારીને એન્ટ્રી ટીક ૦૦-૬૦ પૈસા લેખે ચુકવવામાં આવે છે, જે વર્ષ ૨૦૦૭થી અમલમાં છે, જે એન્ટ્રી ટીક ૦૦-૬૦ પૈસાથી વધારી ૧.૧૦/- પ્રતિ એન્ટ્રી વર્ષ ૨૦૧૨-૧૩થી કરવા અંગે ચર્ચા-વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત હંગામી કર્મચારીઓને આ વર્ષથી એન્ટ્રી ટીક રૂ. ૧.૧૦/- લેખે મહેનતાણું ચુકવવાનું મંજૂર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક.૧૨ ડી.પી.પી.પ્રજાપતિ સામેની પડતી મુકવામાં આવેલ તપાસ ફરીથી ચાલુ કરી શકાય તેમ ન હોવાથી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલની તા.૧-૬-૨૦૧૪નાં રોજની સભામાં બાબત ક્રમાંક-૧૬ અન્વયે કરવામાં આવેલ ઠરાવ મુજબ ડી.પી.પી.પ્રજાપતિ સામેની તપાસ કાર્યવાહી કરવાની રહેતી નથી, જેના સંદર્ભમાં દસ્તાવેજ આધારિત હકીકતલક્ષી વિગતો સાથેની તા.૧૪-૦૫-૨૦૧૫ની કાર્યાલય નોંધ પરત્વે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

(ઉપરોક્ત કાર્યાલય નોંધ બિડાણ સહિત પરિશિષ્ટ-૩ તરીકે સામેલ છે)

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ડો. પ્રદીપ પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામે પડતી મુકવામાં આવેલ તપાસ ચાલું કરવાનું રાખવું નહીં.

વધુમાં ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે તા:૧૫/૧૧/૨૦૧૪ ના રોજ એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની બાબત ક્રમાંક:૧૦ થી ડો. પ્રદીપ પ્રજાપતિ, ઈકોનોમિક્સ વિભાગ, સમાજવિદ્યાભવન સામેના આશ્લેષોની તપાસ કરવા માટે નીમ્મેશ સ્વયં શોધક સમિતિના અહેવાલ પરત્વે તા.૨૬/૦૩/૨૦૧૫ની બા.ક.૩થી ઠરાવ્યા મુજબ લેવામાં આવેલ એડવોકેટશ્રી એસ. એન. શેલતના અભિપ્રાય પ્રમાણે ડો. પ્રદીપ પ્રજાપતિ સામે કોઈ કાર્યવાહી થઈ શકે તેમ ન હોવાથી તેમની સામે કોઈ કાર્યવાહી કરવાની રહેતી નથી.



૫૬

ਭੁੱਖੇ ਨੇੜੇ ਨਿਰੀਖਣੀ ਪੁੱਛੇ

• Будь Бережлив

[illegible]

(ՅԵՐԵՐ ԳԼԽ Դ-ՆԱԳՆՅՈՒ ՆՈՒՆԻՍ ԴԱՄԱՆԻՍ ԲԵՐԵՆԻՍ ԴԱՄԻԿԻՍ ԲԵՆԵՏ)

ገጽ ፲፭ ከ፭ ስልጣን

ክልሉ የሰላም ማስጠበቅ ለሚችል ሁኔታ ላይ ይገኛል።


(Յ ԵԿԱՌԵ ԳԼԵԿ Զ-ՇԱՐՇՆՅՈՒ ՆՃԻՆԵԽ ԼՐԱԽԵ ԲՏՂԵՈՑ ԼՐԱՅԻՅԻԷ ՄՃՇԵ)

[illegible][illegible]

નિમણુંક તે જગ્યા માટે નિયત કરેલ પગારધોરણમાં બે વર્ષના અજમાયશી ધોરણે કરવાનું રાખવું.  
આ નિમણુંકો તથા ઉર્દુ વિષયની નિમણુંક નામદાર ગુજરાત હાઇકોર્ટના અંતિમ ચુકાદાને આધીન રહેશે તથા તે અન્વયે ઉર્દુ વિષયની નિમણુંક કરવાનું રાખવું

વધુમાં ઠરાવવામાં આવ્યું કે નિમણુંક પામેલ ઉમેદવાર હાજર ન થાય તો વરણી સમિતિની ભલામણ મુજબ પ્રતિક્ષા યાદીમાં ત્યારપછીના ક્રમે આવતા ઉમેદવારને નિમણુંક આપવાનું રાખવું.

Subject	Candidate Name	Marks obtained Out of 100
Microbiology Asst. Prof.	Dr. Kiransinh Narendrasinh Rajput	61
	Dr. Chaitanyakumar Jha (Open) (waiting-1)	60
	Dr. Jignasha Trikamlal Thumar (Open) (waiting-2)	59
Botany Associate Professor	Dr. Nainesh Rajendrakumar Modi	59
	Dr. Ila Chandubhai Patel (Open)(waiting-1)	56
Zoology Assistant Professor	Dr. Gaurangkumar Mansukhlal Sindhav	56
	Dr. Sarasvati M. Sukhanandi (SEBC) (waiting-1)	50
Physics Associate Professor	Dr. Chetan Girishbhai Limbachiya (SEBC)	65
Physics Assistant Professor	Mr. Patel Dharmeshkumar Balubhai (ST)	55
	Dr. Amit Bhagubhai Patel (SEBC)	65
	Ms. Dabhi Shweta Devendrabhai (SEBC) (waiting-1)	51
Chemistry Assistant Professor	Dr. Hemantkumar Ramanlal Prajapati (SEBC)	60
	Dr. Dilipkumar Virjibhai Vasava (ST)	56
	Dr. Hiteshkumar Manubhai Parekh (SEBC)	58
	Dr. Atul Haribhai Makwana (SEBC) (waiting-1)	56
	Mr. Kalvat Mahamadatik Normahamad (SEBC) (waiting-2)	55
	Dr. Bhanuben Manabhai Chaudhari (ST)	56
Hindi Assistant Professor	Dr. Pravinbhai Madhubhai Chaudhari (ST) (waiting-1)	55
	Dr. Kantilal Karshan Malsatar (SEBC)	69
Gujarati Associate Professor	Dr. Deepakbhai Prabhubhai Patel (SEBC) (waiting-1)	60



Political Science Assistant Professor	Mr. Patel Hitesh Rajnikant	60
	Dr. Ranjanakumari Chhaganbhai Dholakia	57
	Dr. Ashokkumar Bhikhalal Purohit (Open) (waiting-1)	56
	Mr. Saxena Ravi (Open) (waiting-2)	55
Computer Science Associate Professor	Dr. Kamaljit I. Lakhtaria	60
Law Assistant Professor	Dr. Prachi Vinod Motiyani	56
	Dr. Madhuri Parikh (Open) (waiting-1)	51
Business Management Associate Professor	Dr. Mamta Dineshbhai Brahmbhatt (SEBC)	60
	Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal (SEBC)	57
Geography Assistant Professor	Mr. Damor Rajeshkumar Makabhai (ST)	55
	Mr. Patel Maheshkumar Khemashibhai (SEBC)	56
Persian Assistant Professor	Mr. Shaikh Mohammed Rafeeq Mohammed Hasan (SEBC)	55

બા.ક:૧૬ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી પી.ડી.પંડ્યા મહિલા કોમર્સ કોલેજમાં ચાલતા એમ.કોમ.વિષયના અનુ.કેન્દ્રમાં સંયુક્ત શિક્ષણ (વિદ્યાર્થી અને વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓ)ને બદલે માત્ર વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓ (મહિલા)માટે ચલાવવાની આવેલી અરજ અંગે LIC કમિટીના રીપોર્ટ પરત્વે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.  
(LIC કમિટીનો રીપોર્ટ પરિશિષ્ટ- ૭ તરીકે સામેલ છે.)

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત LIC કમિટીનો રીપોર્ટ સ્વીકારવો અને તદ્દનુસાર શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી પી.ડી. પંડ્યા મહિલા કોમર્સ કોલેજમાં ચાલતા એમ.કોમ. વિષયનું અનુસ્નાતક કેન્દ્ર માત્ર વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓ (મહિલાઓ) માટે ચલાવવાની મંજૂરી આપવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૧૭ No.GUL/96/15-05-15થી પીએચ.ડી. થોમસ રિજિટરેશન E-Tender Returnce GU024-2015-15 ટેકનિકલ મુલ્યાંકન બાદ માન્ય ઠરાવેલ સંસ્થા/કંપની/પાર્ટીઓના ફાયનાન્સિયલ બીડ ઓપન કર્યા બાદ LI પર આવેલ કંપનીનો વર્ક ઓર્ડર આપવાની કાર્યવાહી (i) (ii) અને (iii) નોંધ પૈકી (i) અને (ii)ની વિગત ECની જાણ સારૂ મુકવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત બાબતની નોંધ લઈને તે મંજૂર કરવામાં આવી.

બા.ક:૧૮ તા.૧૫-૦૬-૨૦૧૫નાં રોજ મળેલ ફાઈનાન્સ સમિતિની ભલામણો ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.  
(ફાયનાન્સ સમિતિની ભલામણો પરિશિષ્ટ-૮ તરીકે સામેલ છે)

ઠરાવ : આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ફાયનાન્સ સમિતિની ઉપરોક્ત સભાની ક્રમાંક : ૨થી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીમાં હાલ કામનું ભારણ તેમજ કર્મચારીઓની ઓછી સંખ્યાને ધ્યાનમાં રાખીને વિવિધ

ટીચીંગ અને નોન-ટીચીંગ કર્મચારીઓના આઉટ સોર્સીંગ માટે ઇ.સી.ના તા.૧૫/૧૧/૨૦૧૪ના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક : ૧૧ મુજબ E-Tendering ધ્વારા મેન પાવર એજન્સીની નિમણુંક કરવા અંગેની બાબત પૂનઃ વિચારણા માટે મુકવાનું રાખવું અને તે સિવાયની ફાઇનાન્સ સમિતિની ઉપરોક્ત સભાની ભલામણોનો સ્વીકાર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક.૧૯ તા.૧૫-૦૬-૨૦૧૫નાં રોજ મળેલ બાંધકામ સમિતિની ભલામણ ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.  
(બાંધકામ સમિતિની ભલામણો પરિશિષ્ટ-૯ તરીકે સામેલ છે)

ઠરાવ : આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે બાંધકામ સમિતિની ઉપરોક્ત સભાની ભલામણોનો સ્વીકાર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક.૨૦ ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિની No.GUL/૨૬૦/૨૦૧૪, તા.૧૯-૭-૨૦૧૪ અને નં.GUL/૭૫૫/૨૦૧૪, તા.૨૩-૧૨-૨૦૧૪ની મંજૂર કરેલ મીનીટસ વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ : આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિની ઉપરોક્ત સભાઓની ભલામણોનો સ્વીકાર કરવાનું રાખવું. (ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિની તા.૧૫-૭-૧૫ તથા તા.૨૩-૧૨-૧૫ ની મીનીટસ પરિશિષ્ટ-૧૦ તરીકે સામેલ છે.)  
ત્યારબાદ પ્રમુખશ્રીની પરવાનગીથી નીચેની બાબતો રજુ કરવામાં આવી :

બા.ક.૨૧(૧) તા.૧૬-૬-૨૦૧૫ના રોજ મળેલ એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલની સભાની ભલામણો ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ : આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલની ઉપરોક્ત સભાની ભલામણોનો સ્વીકાર કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક.૨૧(૨) શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ લો કોલેજ, બાપુ ગુજરાત નોલેજ વિલેજ, મુ.વાસણા, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ના B.Com., LL.B.(Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે નીચેના સભ્યોની સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિ નીમ્યાનું.

(૧)ડૉ.રૂપિકેશ મહેતા (૨)પ્રો.ડૉ.એન.કે.જેન (૩)ડૉ.આનંદ આચાર્ય (૪)શ્રી એમ.અંન.ગોર (૫)શ્રી જશવંત ઠક્કર

બા.ક.૨૧(૩) શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ ચેરીટેબલ ટ્રસ્ટ સંચાલિત શંકરસિંહ વાઘેલા બાપુ લો કોલેજ, બાપુ ગુજરાત નોલેજ વિલેજ, મુ.વાસણા, જી.ગાંધીનગરની શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ના B.Com., LL.B.(Five Years Integrated)ના નવા જોડાણ માટે આવેલ અરજી પરત્વે અત્રેથી મુકેલ સ્થાનિક તપાસસમિતિની ભલામણના આધારે Temporary Affiliation આપ્યાનું.

બા.ક.૨૧(૪) B.Sc. Fire & Safety, B.Sc. Nursing (Final Year) છેલ્લા વર્ષમાં અને MSW (All Sem.)માં પુનઃમુલ્યાંકન શરૂ કર્યા અંગેનું કાર્ય.

બા.ક.૨૧(૫) પરીક્ષા પુનઃ મુલ્યાંકનમાં નીચેની બાબતો મંજૂર કર્યાનું.

૧: વિદ્યાર્થી પોતાની જ ઉત્તરવહીનું પુનઃમુલ્યાંકન કરી શકવા અરજી કરી શકશે.

૯

- ૨: પુન: મુલ્યાંકનમાં વિદ્યાર્થીની હાજરીમાં ઉત્તરવહી તપાસવાની કે બતાવવામાં આવતી નથી.
- ૩: પુન: મુલ્યાંકન થયા પછી ઉત્તરવહીની નકલ RTIમાં આપવામાં આવતી નથી. પુન: મુલ્યાંકન પહેલા ઉત્તરવહીની નકલ RTIમાં આપવામાં આવે છે.
- ૪: પુન: મુલ્યાંકન માટે અન્ય પરીક્ષાઓમાં પેપર દીઠ રૂા.૨૫૦/- તેમજ એન્જનીયરીંગ, મેડીકલ-પેરામેડીકલ શાખા માટે પેપર દીઠ રૂા.૫૦૦/- પ્રમાણે ફી રોકડેથી યુનિવર્સિટી કેશ કાઉન્ટર ખાતે ભરવાની રહેશે.
- ૫: પુન: મુલ્યાંકનમાં વિદ્યાર્થીને થતા ગુણ ફેરફાર કે પરિણામ ફેરફારના કેસમાં પુન: મુલ્યાંકનમાં ભરેલ ફી પરત મળવાપાત્ર નથી.
- ઠરાવ: કુલપતિશ્રીએ મંજૂર કરેલા ઉપરોક્ત ક્રમાંક ૨૧(૨) થી ૨૧(૫) સુધીના કાર્યોની નોંધ લેવામાં આવી.

બા.ક:૨૨ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના વિવિધ કેસો તેમજ ગુજરાત તકેદારી આયોગના પડતર કેસોમાં એક્શન રિપોર્ટ તેમજ આ સંબંધિત નોંધ ECમાં મુકવા બાબત. જવાબદાર અધિકારીશ્રી/કર્મચારીશ્રી સામે યોગ્ય નિયમાનુસાર કાર્યવાહી કરવા બાબત.

સંદર્ભ-૧: તા.૨૮-૦૧-૨૦૧૫ની કાર્યાલય નોંધ

૨: તકેદારી સંદર્ભ/તાત્કાલિક/હાથોહાથ/ક્રમાંક પરચ/૧૨૧૪/૧૭૧/ખ.શિક્ષણ વિભાગ, ગુજરાત સરકાર

૩: તા.૦૬-૦૨-૨૦૧૫ની ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની કાર્યાલય નોંધ

૪: તા.૦૬-૦૨-૨૦૧૫ નં:એકા-૧/ત.બા/૫૦૩૯/૨૦૧૫

૫: No. VC/૧૧૫૪/૨૦૧૪ તા.૧૭-૧૦-૨૦૧૪

૬: No.VC/૧૧૪૪/૨૦૧૪ તા.૮-૯-૨૦૧૪

૭: પત્રક્રમાંક:આર/૪૦૪૫૧/૨૦૧૫ તા. ૫/૦૧/૨૦૧૫

૮: નં. આર/૩૫૭૬૯/૨૦૧૪ તા. ૧૨-૧૧-૨૦૧૪

૯: નં. આર/૩૫૭૭૦/૨૦૧૪ તા. ૧૨/૧૧/૨૦૧૪

૧૦: નં. આર/ /૨૦૧૫/૦૯/૨૦૧૫

ઠરાવ: નીચેની કાર્યવાહી બાબતે પુખ્ત વિચારણા કરવામાં આવી :


સંદર્ભ- ૧: તા.૨૮-૦૧-૨૦૧૫ની કાર્યાલય નોંધ


૨: તકેદારી સંદર્ભ/તાત્કાલિક/હાથોહાથ/ક્રમાંક પરચ/૧૨૧૪/૧૭૧/ખ.શિક્ષણ વિભાગ, ગુજરાત સરકાર

૩: ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની કાર્યાલય નોંધ તા.૦૬-૦૨-૨૦૧૫

૪: ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીનો પત્ર નં:એકા-૧/ત.બા/૫૦૩૯/૨૦૧૫ તા.૦૬-૦૨-૨૦૧૫

૫: માન. કુલપતિશ્રીએ શ્રી એમ.એસ.શાહ, ચીફ એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસરને પત્ર નં. VC/





૬૮



૧૧૫૪/૨૦૧૪થી પાઠવેલ કારણદર્શક નોટિસ તા.૧૭-૧૦-૨૦૧૪

૬: માન. કુલપતિશ્રીએ શ્રી એમ.એસ.શાહ, ચીફ એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસરને પાઠવેલ પત્ર નં.

VC/૧૧૪૪/૨૦૧૪ તા.૮-૯-૨૦૧૪

૭: નાણાંકીય હિસાબોમાં અનિયમિતતા બાબત ચીફ એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસરને પાઠવેલ

પત્રકમાંક:આર/૪૦૪૫૧/૨૦૧૫ તા. ૫/૦૧/૨૦૧૫

૮: ચીફ એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસરની નિમણૂકમાં થયેલ અનિયમિતતા બાબતે અગ્રસચિવશ્રી,

ઉચ્ચ અને ટેકનીકલ શિક્ષણને પાઠવેલ પત્ર નં. આર/૩૫૭૭૯/૨૦૧૪

તા. ૧૨-૧૧-૨૦૧૪

૯: ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના અધિકારીઓની વય નિવૃત્તિ મર્યાદા સ્પષ્ટ કરવા બાબતનો

અગ્રસચિવશ્રી, ઉચ્ચ અને ટેકનીકલ શિક્ષણને પાઠવેલ પત્ર નં. આર/૩૫૭૭૦/૨૦૧૪

તા. ૧૨/૧૧/૨૦૧૪

૧૦: ચીફ એકાઉન્ટસ ઓફિસરને ઇન્ફર્મેશન સેન્ટરનો ચાર્જ લઇ લેવા બાબતે પાઠવેલ પત્ર

નં. એકા-૧/૨૦૧૫ તા.૦૯/૨/૨૦૧૫

તેમજ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ખાતે પ્રોફેશનલ મેનેજમેન્ટ સ્ટડીઝ બીલ્ડીંગ બાબતે.

આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત બાબતે જવાબદાર અધિકારીશ્રીઓ/કર્મચારીશ્રીઓ સામે ખાતાકીય તપાસની કાર્યવાહી અને નિયમાનુસારના પગલાં લેવાનું રાખવું અને તે પરત્વે નિર્ણય લેવાની સત્તા માન. કુલપતિશ્રીને આપવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૨૩ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના ભુગોળ ભવન ખાતે કાર્ટોગ્રાફર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા શ્રી દાસ અજોય એ.નો ખાનગી અહેવાલ ઉપર ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરી કાયમી કરવા અંગે.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ભુગોળ ભવન ખાતે કાર્ટોગ્રાફર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા શ્રી દાસ અજોય એ. નો ખાનગી અહેવાલ સંતોષકારક જણાતાં, તેઓશ્રીને કાર્ટોગ્રાફરની જગ્યાપર તા.૦૨/૧૨/૨૦૧૪થી કાયમ કરવાનું રાખવું.

બા.ક:૨૪ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ખાતે એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા શ્રી પરેશ મનુભાઈ પટેલનો ખાનગી અહેવાલ ઉપર ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરી કાયમી કરવા અંગે.

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ખાતે એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસર તરીકે ફરજ બજાવતા શ્રી પરેશ મનુભાઈ પટેલનો ખાનગી અહેવાલ સંતોષકારક જણાતાં, તેઓશ્રીને એકાઉન્ટ ઓફિસરની જગ્યાપર તા. ૦૨/૦૬/૨૦૧૫થી કાયમ કરવાનું રાખવું.

ત્યારબાદ પ્રમુખશ્રીની પરવાનગીથી નીચેની બાબત રજૂ કરવામાં આવી :

બા.ક:૨૫ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની નીડ કમીટીની તા.૨૬/૦૩/૨૦૧૫ની ભલામણ અનુસાર શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી નવી કોલેજો શરૂ કરવા માટે આપવામાં આવેલ જાહેરાતના સંદર્ભમાં વિવિધ ટ્રસ્ટો તરફથી મળેલ દરખાસ્તો પરત્વે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

૬૯

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત દરખાસ્તો પરત્વે સ્થાનિક તપાસ સમિતિ અને સ્કૂટીની કમીટીએ કરેલ ભલામણ અને નોંધ અનુસાર : (ચાલી રહિશિષ્ટ-11 તરીકે સામેલ છે).

- (૧) સામેલ યાદી-૧ મુજબની ક્રમાંક : ૧ થી ૨૦માં દર્શાવેલ સંસ્થાઓએ માંગેલ ફેકલ્ટી અને વિષયો માટે શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી એડહોક જોડાણની મંજૂરી આપવાનું રાખવું.
- (૨) સામેલ યાદી-૨ મુજબની ક્રમાંક : ૧ થી ૮માં દર્શાવેલ સંસ્થાઓ પાસેથી રૂ.૧૦૦/- ના સ્ટેમ્પ પેપર ઉપર ૬ માસમાં સ્થાનિક તપાસ સમિતિ અને સ્કૂટીની કમીટીએ દર્શાવેલ શરતો પરિપૂર્ણ કરવાની બાંહેધરી મેળવ્યા બાદ માંગેલ ફેકલ્ટી અને વિષયો માટે શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી એડહોક જોડાણની મંજૂરી આપવાનું રાખવું.
- (૩) સામેલ યાદી-૩ મુજબની ક્રમાંક : ૧ થી ૧૧માં દર્શાવેલ સંસ્થાઓ પૈકી ક્રમાંક : ૧ સિવાયની સંસ્થાઓ પરત્વે સ્થાનિક તપાસ સમિતિ અને સ્કૂટીની કમીટીએ કરેલ ભલામણ અને નોંધ મુજબ યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રાન્ટ્સ કમિશનની શરતો પરિપૂર્ણ કરતી ન હોય, શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી એડહોક જોડાણની મંજૂરી આપવાનું રાખવું નહીં.
- (૪) સામેલ યાદી-૩ પૈકી ક્રમાંક : ૧ થી દર્શાવેલ એફ.ડી.એજ્યુકેશન સોસાયટી, જમાલપૂર, અમદાવાદની એફ.ડી. મહિલા સાયન્સ કોલેજ શરૂ કરવા માટેની દરખાસ્ત સંદર્ભે ખુટતી જમીન પરત્વે ચર્ચાવિચારણા હાથ ધર્યા બાદ સંસ્થા લઘુમતી ટ્રસ્ટની હોદ્દા તેમજ સંસ્થાની દરખાસ્ત ફક્ત મહિલાઓ માટેની કોલેજ શરૂ કરવા માટે હોય, ખાસ કિસ્સામાં માંગેલ ફેકલ્ટી અને વિષયો માટે શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬થી એડહોક જોડાણની મંજૂરી આપવાનું રાખવું.


સભાગૃહની કાર્યવાહી દરમ્યાન એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલની ભલામણો સ્વીકારી સર્વાનુમતે નીચેના ઠરાવો થયા હતા :

ઠરાવ:૨૬(૧)કોલેજોના અનુસ્નાતક કેન્દ્રોમાં અભ્યાસ કરતી વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓ પાસેથી ટયુશન ફી લઈ શકાય નહીં તેમજતાં, કેટલીક કોલેજો દ્વારા વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓ પાસેથી ટયુશન ફી લેવાઈ છે જેની ગંભીરતાને ધ્યાનમાં રાખીને કોલેજોને પરિપત્ર કરીને ધ્યાન દોરવાનું રાખવું કે વિદ્યાર્થીનીઓ પાસેથી ટયુશન ફી લેવી નહીં અને જો લીધી હોય તો પરત કરવાનું રાખવું.

ઠરાવ:૨૬(૨)કેરીયર એડવાન્સમેન્ટ સ્કીમ (CAS) પ્રમાણે પસંદગીની જે પ્રક્રિયા પૂર્ણ થયેલ છે તે પ્રમાણે પસંદગી પામતા નીચે દર્શાવેલ ટીચીંગ સ્ટાફને તેમની નામ સામે દર્શાવેલ જગ્યાનું પગારધોરણ નિયત તારીખથી આપવાનું રાખવું. ઉપરોક્ત કાર્યવાહી કોર્ટનાં ચુકાદાને આધીન રહેશે. વધુમાં, કેરીયર એડવાન્સમેન્ટ સ્કીમ (CAS)માં અસફળ રહેલા ઉમેદવારોને યુ.જી.સી.ના નિયમાનુસાર પૂનઃ દરખાસ્ત કરવા જણાવવાનું રાખવું.

Name of Faculty	Designation and Pay Scale	Name of Department	Due Date
Dr. A. U. Mankad	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Botany	01.01.2009
Dr. H. A. Solanki	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Botany	01.01.2009
Dr. H. A. Pandya	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/-	Botany	01.03.2012





	Pay Band-5		
Dr. Pranav Shrivastav	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Chemistry	01.01.2009
Dr. Jyoti Pareek	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Computer Science	01.04.2012
Dr. H. A. Modi	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Life Science	01.01.2009
Dr. B. V. Patel	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Microbiology	01.09.2011
Dr. Devyani Tipre	Assistant Professor Rs. 15600-39100 with AGP Rs. 8000/- Pay Band - 3	Microbiology	01.04.2014
Dr. P. D. Lele	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Physics	28.06.2011
Dr. M. E. James	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Physics	28.06.2011
Dr. Dipti Tripathi	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Physics	01.07.2012
Dr. V. A. Rana	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Physics	01.01.2009
Dr. P. R. Vyas	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Physics	01.01.2009
Dr. U. S. Joshi	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Physics	01.01.2009
Dr. N. P. Shrimali	Associate Professor Rs. 37400-67000 with AGP Rs. 9000/- Pay Band - 4	Mathematics	16.06.2013
Dr. S. K. Patel	Assistant Professor Rs. 15600-39100 with AGP Rs. 8000/- Pay Band - 3	Mathematics	01.12.2014
Dr. H. N. Highland	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Zoology	24.06.2011
Dr. L. B. George	Professor	Zoology	01.04.2012

*[Handwritten signature]*


*[Handwritten signature]*


	Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5		
Dr. D. D. Jhala	Assistant Professor Rs. 15600-39100 with AGP Rs. 8000/- Pay Band - 3	Zoology	21.09.2014
Dr. J. S. Joshi	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Academic Staff College	01.07.2011
Dr. C. G. Brahmhatt	Associate Professor Rs. 37400-67000 with AGP Rs. 9000/- Pay Band - 4	Academic Staff College	31.12.2012
Dr. Kamayani Mathur	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Psychology	07.09.2009
Dr. Gaurang Jani	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Sociology	30.07.2013
Dr. Arun Vaghela	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	History	13.07.2010
Dr. D. S. Sharma	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Prakrit	01.09.2009
Dr. Darshana Trivedi	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	English	01.01.2009
Dr. Nutan Kotak	Associate Professor Rs. 37400-67000 with AGP Rs. 9000/- Pay Band - 4	English	05.11.2012
Dr. D. S. Charan	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Philosophy	07.09.2011
Dr. H. C. Sardar	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Commerce	01.01.2009
Dr. Hemal Pandya	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Commerce	29.03.2012
Dr. P. P. Prajapati	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Economics	31.03.2009
Dr. K. C. Raval	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/-	Law	20.02.2011

	Pay Band-5		
Dr. S. P. Rathor	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Law	31.12.2012
Dr. Sonal Pandya	Professor Rs.37400-67000 with AGP Rs.10000/- Pay Band-5	Communicati on, Journ. & Pub. Rel.	31.12.2014

ત્યારબાદ સભાનું કામકાજ પૂરું થયું હતું.

નં: એકેડેમિક/ 289 /2014  
ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલય  
અમદાવાદ-૯. તા.26-05-2014

  
ડો. સી. કે. જીન  
કો.કુલસચિવ

  
Nina  
Hence

કેફમ : (૦૭૯) ૨૬૩૦૨૬૫૪  
ટેલીફોન : ૨૬૩૦૧૩૪૧-૨૬૩૦૦૩૪૨-૪૩  
૨૬૩૦૦૧૨૬ અને ૨૬૩૦૦૬૬૪  
ટેલીગ્રામ : યુનિ.ગુજરાત



FAX : (079) 26302654 & 26306194  
Phone : 26301341-26300342-43,  
26300126 & 26300664  
Gram : UNIGUJARAT

પરિશિષ્ટ - ૧.

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી  
GUJARAT UNIVERSITY

જા.ક્ર-૩(૬૧).  
૨૬/૧૧-૧૭/૬/૧૫.

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલય  
પોસ્ટ બોક્ષ નં ૪૦૧૦  
નાવરંગપુરા, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦ ૦૦૯.

OFFICE OF THE GUJARAT UNIVERSITY  
POST BOX NO.4010, NAVRANGPURA  
AHMEDABAD-380 009.

જાહેરનામું:

તા. 06-06-2015

જુદા જુદા વિષયોની અભ્યાસ સમિતિઓના અધ્યક્ષો પૈકી ૧૮ (અઢાર) અધ્યક્ષોની ફરતા કમે એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલ પર નિયુક્ત કરવાની જે જોગવાઈ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ધારા, ૧૯૪૯ની કલમ ૨૧(૧)(વિ) તથા સ્ટેચ્યુટ ૯૧-આમાં દર્શાવેલ છે, તે જોગવાઈઓને આધિન તેના સંદર્ભમાં માનનીય કુલપતિશ્રી દ્વારા તારીખ ૦૮-૦૬-૨૦૧૫થી અમલમાં આવે તે રીતે જુદા જુદા વિષયોની અભ્યાસ સમિતિઓના નીચે દર્શાવેલ ૧૮ (અઢાર) અધ્યક્ષોની નિયુક્તિ એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલના સભ્ય પદે કરવામાં આવી છે. જેની નોંધ લેવા વિનંતી છે.

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ધારા, ૧૯૪૯ની કલમ ૨૧(૧)(વિ) આપની સુવિધા ખાતર નીચે ટાંકવામાં આવી છે, જે સ્વયં સ્પષ્ટ છે.

"Not more than eighteen Chairman of Boards of Studies nominated by the Vice-Chancellor by rotation, in the manner specified in the Statutes;"

Sr. No.	Board of Studies (As per Statutes 108)	Chairman of BoS
1	Crimes, Law of Obligation (i.e., Torts, Contracts and Labour Laws)	Dr Mayuri Pandya
2	Law of Property and Personal Laws, Taxation Laws	Dr Rushikesh Mehta
3	Pre-clinical subjects comprising Anatomy, Physiology, Pharmacology and Pathology	Dr Mahesh Patel
4	Medicine, comprising Medicine, Preventive Medicine and Forensic Medicine, Surgery comprising Surgery, Ophthalmology, Midwifery and Gynaecology	Dr Ketan Desai
5	Commerce	Dr P R Patel
6	Accounting including Costing	Dr H C Sardar
7	Gujarati	Dr Kirtida Shah
8	Hindi	Dr Ranjana Argade
9	Sanskrit	Dr Kamlesh Choksi

૨૪

10	History, Archaeology, Epigraphy and Numismatics	Dr Vikesh Pandya
11	Political Science	Mr Mukesh Khatik
12	Sociology and Anthropology	Dr M H Makwana
13	Psychology	Dr Mahipatsinh Chavda
14	Botany	Dr Archana Mankad
15	Zoology	Dr M V Rao
16	Microbiology	Dr Meenu Saraf
17	Bio-Chemistry	Dr N K Jain
18	English	Dr Darshana Trivedi

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ધારા, 1949ની કલમ 21(3) અનુસાર ઉપરોક્ત અધ્યક્ષશ્રીઓની એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલ ઉપર તેમની મુદત નિયુક્તિની તારીખથી એક વર્ષ એટલે કે તા: 07-06-2016 સુધીની રહેશે.

નં. એકેડેમિક/એકેડેમિક-કાઉન્સિલ/બીઓએસ-ચેરમેન/2015/૧૪૨  
તા. 06-06-2015

*[Signature]*  
(ડૉ. એચ. સી. પટેલ)  
કુલસચિવ

પ્રતિ,

એકેડેમિક કાઉન્સિલના તમામ સભ્યશ્રીઓ, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી, અમદાવાદ.

નકલ જાણ સારૂ રવાના:

1. માનનીય કુલપતિશ્રીનું કાર્યાલય, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી, અમદાવાદ.
2. કુલસચિવશ્રીના અંગત મદદનીશ, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી, અમદાવાદ.
3. નિયામકશ્રી, રોલવાલા કોમ્પ્યુટર સેન્ટર, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી, અમદાવાદ-વેબસાઈટ પર મુકવા સારૂ.

૨૫

01/8-5.  
 01/1-17/15.

Academics

Linn

AE/E C ni

Zukunft

Zeiter nicht

nicht

nicht

nicht

nicht



### **Plagiarism /Academic dishonesty Policy of Gujarat University, Ahmedabad**

This ordinance shall become supplementary ordinances and are to be incorporated as M.Phil./Ph.D. ordinances which come in to force from the year 2009 for the plagiarism and Academic dishonesty policy of the Gujarat University.

This ordinance shall become the integral part of the already published ordinances/regulations for M.Phil./Ph.D. by Gujarat University after adoption of UGC regulations for M.Phil./Ph.D. in the year 2009 and shall come in to force from the date of resolutions passed by the Academic Council and Executive Council of Gujarat University, Ahmedabad i.e.\_\_\_\_\_

**O= Ordinance**

#### **O. M.Phil.- 18 and O. Ph.D.- 31**

Morality, honesty and ethical practices are three extremely important pillars for any university system involved in academic activities like teaching, learning and research. The "academicians and associates" involved in the university system called as university community i.e. students / teachers / researchers / scholars / associates / collaborators / managers / etc. or any staff members working in the Gujarat University, Ahmedabad who knowingly or unknowingly use, publish, present or perform other's work as their own will be considered as Plagiarism/Academic Dishonesty. It will defiantly disturb the healthy academic atmosphere and harm the research temperament in the university as well as the individuals involved. It is therefore important for Gujarat University to have in place a policy on plagiarism/Academic Dishonesty to avoid such acts and to maintain the academic credibility.

#### **O. M.Phil.- 18.1 and O. Ph.D.- 31.1**

Definition/Meaning:

Plagiarism is defined as presenting/producing other's intellectual work as one's own work. This presentation/reproduction includes copying of ideas, processes, words, phrases, clauses, sentences, paragraphs, longer extracts, infringement of copyright, piracy, theft, stealing, poaching, appropriation, informal cribbing from

published or unpublished work including from the Internet or e-resources that exceeds the boundaries of the legitimate cooperation/permission without acknowledgement of the reference source. Plagiarism / Academic Dishonesty is defined as Intentional Plagiarism / Dishonest Plagiarism and Non-intentional Plagiarism / Negligent Plagiarism

**O. M.Phil.- 18.2 and O. Ph.D.- 31.2**

**Negligent/Non-intentional Plagiarism:**

Without appropriate acknowledgment of the reference source, if somebody innocently or carelessly presents other's work as his/her own work is called negligent plagiarism. This shows inadequate knowledge and lack of competency in a particular subject. These careless and negligent efforts results into non-compliance of standard clarification/verification procedures established by the university, where the degree/level of copying is not substantial.

**O. M.Phil.- 18.3 and O. Ph.D.- 31.3**

**Dishonest/intentional Plagiarism:**

A deliberate attempt of presenting other's work as his/her own work without appropriate acknowledgment of the reference source is called dishonest plagiarism. This shows knowingly or intentionally a person involves in substantial appropriation or copying of material of the other's work without any permission of the reference source, where the degree/level of copying is substantial.

**O. M.Phil.- 18.4 and O. Ph.D.- 31.4**

**Detection of Plagiarism/Academic dishonesty:**

A committee for each subject comprising of Dean of Concern Faculty, Head of the university department, two faculty members who are recognized guide from the respective university department including one professor and one outside technical expert from Ahmedabad City nominated by Hon. Vice Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmadabad, will be responsible to distinguish original work from the plagiarized work and the level/degree of plagiarism. Any three member except guiding teacher will constitute the quorum.

**This committee will detect the plagiarism on receiving the written complain only by the original reference source with required proof/evidence as per prevailing**

norms by Gujarat University/UGC/National Knowledge Commission or equivalent agency. The final decision on the plagiarism will be made with the help of variety of tools like personal verification/use of software/e-resources etc. The Gujarat University will use the best available tools/software to detect plagiarism/Academic dishonesty.

**O. M.Phil.- 18.5 and O. Ph.D.- 31.5**

Procedural fairness and policy compliance to address alleged Plagiarism / Academic Dishonesty:

The Gujarat University, Ahmedabad is committed to deal with alleged plagiarism / Academic Dishonesty by any section of the University community in accordance with the principles of procedural fairness introduced with this document including the right to:

- (a) Concerned persons must be informed of the allegations against them with sufficient details which enables them to understand the precise nature of allegations
- (b) Reasonable time of fifteen to twenty working days should be given to respond to the allegations
- (c) Total disputed matter should be resolved within one month of the detection of plagiarism
- (d) Impartial investigation with absence of any bias in the process

**O. M.Phil.- 19 and O. Ph.D.- 32**

Gujarat University will ensure to educate its students, teaching community and other staff members about what constitutes plagiarism, its detection and the course of action. It is essential for all the section of the university community including researchers/workers/students/staff working with the Gujarat University system to submit a statement with signature and declaration certificate that they are aware of the plagiarism policy of the Gujarat University, Ahmedabad where ever applicable and no part of their presentation/research/work/act/performance be it assignment, term paper, project report, dissertation, thesis, short communications etc. is not copied in any form of print or electronic source including internet and it is one's original efforts and creation. Gujarat University, Ahmedabad will create a record in the university system that indicates that one has committed an act of plagiarism/academic dishonesty

**O. M.Phil.- 19.1 and O. Ph.D.- 32.1**

Assessment and action against alleged Plagiarism / Academic Dishonesty:

If the committee proves the alleged plagiarism, a detailed report with the proof will be discussed and submitted to the Hon. Vice Chancellor, who will confirm the degree/level of plagiarism along with the committee members first, if it is required then, it will be further submitted to The Academic Council of the Gujarat University for confirmation and then the case would be referred to The Executive Council of the Gujarat University which is empowered to take disciplinary actions.

**O. M.Phil.- 19.2 and O. Ph.D.- 32.2**

Consequences of alleged Plagiarism / Academic Dishonesty

Plagiarism and academic dishonesty will have some severe penalties and repercussions. The committee may recommend any or every of the following depending on the severity of the act:

**For Non-intentional Plagiarism / Negligent Plagiarism**

1. Recommendation for counseling or remediation
2. Fine or warning
3. Redoing/Resubmit in fresh of the assignment/course/project/performance/action
4. Fine or warning to Guiding Teacher

**For Intentional Plagiarism / Dishonest Plagiarism**

1. Reduced grade / detaining / failing in the assignment / course / project / performance / action
2. Dismissing / rustication of the individual from the course / university for limited period or permanent
3. Withdrawal of the degree
4. Temporary or Permanent withdrawal of the Guideship for teacher

**O. M.Phil.- 20 and O. Ph.D.- 33**

Mandatory requirement:

Gujarat University imparts regular and research degrees in various faculties in more than 100 subjects. The registered candidates are referring many source of information and preparing the relevant document in form of publications / dissertation / project

proposal / thesis / thesis / research related documents (short communication, paper, review article, performance, presentation etc.) There is possibility that these candidates follow/refer/observe the same literature source as others are using, therefore it is essential to decide/address and restrict such practices. The level of tolerance and acceptability for alleged degree of plagiarism for publications / dissertation / project proposal / thesis /thesis/research related documents (short communication, paper, review article, performance, presentation etc.)before the submission of such document is as follows:

Content	Tolerance Limit for Plagiarism/ Academic dishonesty up to
Introductory part	25%
Review of Literature and Citation	65%
Rationale and Justification of the Research Problem	20%
Materials, Methodology Protocol, Approaches etc.	55%
Results, Discussion, Observations, Records etc.	17%
Conclusions, General Recommendations, Salient Features etc.	05%

If any document exceeds the above proposed limit would be considered as plagiarism/academic dishonesty and the actions will be taken as mentioned in this document as O. M.Phil.-19.2 and O. Ph.D.- 32.2.

Moreover, Students/Research Scholars (M.Phil. & Ph.D.) shall observe the following Guideline before the submission of Dissertation/Thesis:

1. Students are required to submit at least one review article/paper (M.Phil.) and two review article/papers (Ph.D.) before the submission of Dissertation/Thesis
2. Students are also required to screen their submission for plagiarism and a certificate is mandatory before printing the hard copy of the same

3. The Dissertation/Thesis should be in spiral or loose binding to be sent to the external Examiners
4. The printing of hard copy would be allowed only after the necessary corrections and suggestions are being incorporated in the submission
5. e-resources may be utilized by the University and examiners for communication (via e-mail/soft copy/pen drive) for examination, evaluation and report submission

These supplementary ordinances are subject to revisions/modifications as deemed fit by the Academic Council and Executive Council of Gujarat University, Ahmedabad

પરિશિષ્ટ-૩

તા. ૧૪-૧૨

૬૮ તા. ૧૭-૦૬-૧૬

જો. ૫. ૧

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી

કાર્યાલય નોંધ

તા. ૧૪/૦૫/૨૦૧૫

મે. સાહેબ,

સાદર રજૂ..

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની તા.૧-૭-૨૦૧૪ના રોજની સભામાં બાબત ક્રમાંક : ૧૭ અન્વયે કરવામાં આવેલ ઠરાવ સંદર્ભે દસ્તાવેજ આધારિત હકીકતલક્ષી વિગતો નીચે મુજબ છે :

(૧) ડૉ. પ્રજાપતિએ સત્યશોધક સમિતિની નિમણૂક, તેનો અહેવાલ, તેના આધારે નીમવામાં આવેલ ખાતાકીય તપાસ, ચાર્જશીટ વગેરેને રદ બાતલ જાહેર કરવા નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલ સમક્ષ અરજી નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૧ દાખલ કરેલ. જેમાં નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલે વચગાળાનો હુકમ કરી નોંધેલ છે કે ડૉ. પ્રજાપતિની ફરજ મોકૂફી અંગેની અરજી નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૧માં નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલે કરેલ તા.૧૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૧નો હુકમ જો નામ. હાઇકોર્ટમાં ટકી રહે તો એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલના બધા જ નિર્ણયો-ઠરાવો આપોઆપ રદબાતલ થયેલા ગણાશે (નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલનો તા.૨૩-૧૨-૨૦૧૧ના વચગાળાના હુકમની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૧, પાના નં.૧ થી ૪ / પ.વિ.). (૧.૧. - ૦૫)

(૨) ડૉ. પ્રજાપતિની ફરજ મોકૂફી અંગેની અરજી નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૧માં નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલે કરેલ તા.૧૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૧નો હુકમ (પરિશિષ્ટ-૨, પાના નં.૫ થી ૨૬ / પ.વિ.) નામ. હાઇકોર્ટ સમક્ષની યુનિવર્સિટી પીટીશન નં.૧૭૨૪૪/૨૦૧૧માં તા. ૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨નો હુકમ (પરિશિષ્ટ-૩, પાના નં.૨૭ થી ૬૯ / પ.વિ.) કરી માન્ય રાખેલ તેથી નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલે અરજી નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૧માં વચગાળાનો તા.૮/૧૦/૨૦૧૨ના રોજનો હુકમ કરી ડૉ. પ્રજાપતિએ સત્યશોધક સમિતિની નિમણૂક, તેનો અહેવાલ, તેના આધારે નીમવામાં આવેલ ખાતાકીય તપાસ, ચાર્જશીટ વગેરેને રદબાતલ જાહેર કરવા નામ. નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલ સમક્ષ અરજી નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૧ દાખલ કરેલ, તે અંગે નામ. હાઇકોર્ટના હુકમને ધ્યાને લઈને યુનિવર્સિટીને નિર્ણય કરવા હુકમ કરેલ (નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલનો તા.૮/૧૦/૨૦૧૨ના વચગાળાના હુકમની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૪, પાના નં.૭૦ થી ૭૧ / પ.વિ.).

(૩) નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલનો તા.૮/૧૦/૨૦૧૨ના વચગાળાના હુકમને ધ્યાને લઈ જે તે વખતના કા. કુલસચિવશ્રીની નોંધને આધારે તત્કાલીન માન. કુલપતિશ્રીએ ખાતાકીય તપાસ અધિકારીશ્રીને તા.૧૬/૧૦/૨૦૧૨ના પત્રથી આગળની તપાસ કાર્યવાહી કરવાની રહેતી નથી. તે મુજબનો આદેશ કરી તપાસ અધિકારીશ્રીને પત્ર પાઠવેલ છે (યુનિવર્સિટીનો પત્ર તા.૧૬/૧૦/૨૦૧૨ની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૫, પાના નં.૭૨ / પ.વિ.).

(૪) યુનિવર્સિટીના ઉપરોક્ત પત્રને ડૉ. પ્રજાપતિએ તા.૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨નાં રોજ નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલ સમક્ષ રજૂ કરી અરજી નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૧ અંગે યોગ્ય હુકમ કરવા વિનંતી

અરજી કરેલ. જેમાં યુનિવર્સિટીના વકીલશ્રીઓની સંમતિ દર્શાવેલ છે (ડો. પ્રજાપતિની તા.૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨નાં રોજની અરજીની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૬, પાના નં.૭૩ / પ.વિ.).

(૫) ડો. પ્રજાપતિની તા.૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨નાં રોજની અરજીને ધ્યાને લઈ નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલ અરજી નં.૪૭/૨૦૧૧માં તા.૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨નાં રોજ હુકમ કરી ડો. પ્રજાપતિની અરજી નં.૪૭/૨૦૧૧ને ઇન્કમ્પ્રુઅસ જાહેર કરી, તેમાં યુનિવર્સિટીને કાંઈ વાંધો ન હોવાનું અવલોકન કરી અરજીનો આખરી નિકાલ કરેલ છે. (નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલનો તા.૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨ના વચગાળાના હુકમની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૭, પાના નં.૭૪ થી ૭૬ / પ.વિ.).

(૬) નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલના ઉપરોક્ત આદેશોને ધ્યાને લઈ ડો. પ્રજાપતિ અંગે તત્કાલીન કુલપતિશ્રીએ જે કાંઈ નિર્ણયો લીધા તેને તા.૧૫/૨/૨૦૧૩ના રોજની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભામાં બાબત ક્રમાંક : ૨(૪૫)થી મંજૂરી અર્થે રજુ કરેલ (તા.૧૫/૨/૨૦૧૩નાં રોજની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાના ઠરાવની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૮, પાના નં.૭૭ થી ૭૮ / પ.વિ.).

(૭) નામ. એજયુકેશનલ ટ્રીબ્યુનલના ઉપરોક્ત આદેશોને ધ્યાને લઈ ડો. પ્રજાપતિ અંગે તત્કાલીન કુલપતિશ્રીએ જે કાંઈ નિર્ણયો લીધા તેને તા.૧૫/૨/૨૦૧૩નાં રોજની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભામાં બાબત ક્રમાંક : ૨(૪૫)થી મંજૂરી અર્થે રજુ કરેલ તેને એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલે તા.૬/૮/૨૦૧૩નાં રોજના ઠરાવ નં.૧ થી બહારી આપી મંજૂર રાખેલ છે (એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભા તા.૬/૮/૨૦૧૩ના રોજનો ઠરાવ નં.૧ની નકલ સામેલ છે) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૯, પાના નં.૭૯ થી ૮૦ / પ.વિ.).

ઉપરોક્ત સંજોગોમાં ડો. પી. પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામેની પડતી મુકવામાં આવેલ તપાસ ફરીથી ચાલું કરી શકાય તેમ ન હોવાથી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની તા.૧/૬/૨૦૧૪નાં રોજની સભામાં બાબત ક્રમાંક : ૧૬ અન્વયે કરવામાં આવેલ ઠરાવ મુજબ ડો. પી. પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામેની તપાસ કાર્યવાહી કરવાની રહેતી નથી. જેની જાણ આગામી એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાને કરવાનું રાખીએ (પરિશિષ્ટ-૧૦, પાના નં.૮૧ થી ૮૨ / પ.વિ.).

*[Signature]*  
H.C. P. 20/11/15

Hon. VC. *[Signature]*  
20/11/15



Registrar.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE GUJARAT UNIVERSITIES SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT AHMEDABAD

અરજી મહત્વા લાભી - ૨૮/૧૦/૧૧  
 બેઠક લેવાર મહત્વા લાભી - ૨૮/૧૦/૧૧  
 બેઠક અમલ લાભી - ૩૦/૧૦/૧૧  
 કલાર્ક બી સહી - ૩૦/૧૦/૧૧

APPLICATION NO. 47 OF 2011

Dr. Pradeep P. Prajapati

... Applicant

Vs.

Dr. Parimal H. Trivedi,  
Vice Chancellor & Ors.

... Opponents

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT: 22:-  
23/12/2011

1. Applicant is present. Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for Opponent No.1 and Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for Opponent No.4 are present. No one is present for Opponent No.2 and 3, though served.

Applicant submitted one pursis and disclosed on the record of the case that he does not want to file any Sur Sur Rejoinder.

It appears that accordingly pleadings in this case are over.

Applicant has also disclosed in pursis that impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011, issued in furtherance of the same, is being set aside by this Tribunal while delivering judgment dated 13/10/2011, in *Application No.46/11*. It is submitted that before resolution is quashed University started departmental inquiry against him. It is submitted that as now impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 is quashed and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011 issued on the basis of the same is also quashed and are being declared void ab initio by this Tribunal, the departmental inquiry started in pursuance and on the basis of resolution dated 28/5/2011, being quashed, does not sustain.

It is also disclosed in the pursis that after the judgment dated 13/10/2011 (*supra*) delivered by this Tribunal, every thing in the present subject matter is a futile exercise and no further proceedings are required in the present subject matter.

2. Except aforesaid, nothing is disclosed in the pursis by the applicant in the form of request or prayer that on the basis of the same what he wants to do i.e. whether he wants to proceed with the subject matter on merits, whether he desires to withdraw the subject matter or whether he wants declaration accordingly and requires disposal of the matter accordingly or not?

3. On this pursis Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for Opponent No.1 has endorsed "*Seen. Copy is given. for hearing of the main matter, request to keep after vacation*".

Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for Opponent No.4 has endorsed "*Received copy. Main matter may be kept for hearing after vacation*".

4. Considering order dated 5/12/2011 passed by this Tribunal, the copy of which appears to have been secured (Exh.21, Page 174) by applicant, it appears that on the ground of pendency of petition on the judgment dated 13/10/2011 (supra) delivered by this Tribunal in *Application No.46/11*, this Tribunal has not granted stay on the inquiry, saying that when petition is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, judicial wisdom and I being subordinate to Hon'ble High Court, does not permit me to do so (to grant stay). On that day, request made by petitioner to grant stay was rejected and matter was adjourned to 14/12/2011.

5. Facts further remain that though this Tribunal has quashed impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011 of the applicant and thereby directed relevant opponents to permit the petitioner to resume duty and though petition, against the judgment vide which this is quashed, is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, executive Council of the Opponent University passed resolution dated 11/12/2011 and resolved that the suspension of the applicant is continued. When this Tribunal has quashed the basic impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011 issued on the basis of the same, by declaring the impugned resolution void ab initio, it can never be said that after the judgment dated 13/10/2011 of this Tribunal, quashed impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and quashed suspension letter dated 31/5/2011 were in force and thereby suspension of the applicant was also in force, which can be continued.

6. It appears that challenging that resolution dated 11/12/2011 petitioner herein preferred *Application No.50/2011* before this Tribunal, which this Tribunal rejected summarily, saying that when Petition No.17244/2011 is preferred against this Tribunal's Judgment dated 13/10/2011, delivered in *Application No.46/11*, vide which impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011 are quashed and when subject matter is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, it is duty of this Tribunal, being subordinate to

Hon'ble High Court, to salute proceedings pending before the Hon'ble High Court and not to pass any order in that application (Application No.50/2011). It was rejected considering that when subject matter is pending before Hon'ble High Court and when the same is adjourned on 28/12/2011, it is for the applicant to approach the Hon'ble High Court, to point out necessary things for necessary orders and this Tribunal cannot pass any order, when proceedings are pending before the Hon'ble High Court.

7. In view of aforesaid, it appears that when petition is preferred by the University against this Tribunal's Judgment dated 13/10/2011, vide which this Tribunal quashed and set aside resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011 issued on the basis of the same and when the same is challenged and pending before the Hon'ble High Court, as submitted by Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for Opponent No.4 and observed in order dated 5/12/2011, if Hon'ble High Court quashes the judgment dated 13/10/2011 of this Tribunal, needless to say that inquiry initiated against the applicant will proceed.

In this petition applicant has prayed to quash and set aside

- (1) The Appointment of Fact Finding Committee made.
- (2) Decision taken to appoint Inquiry Committee/Officer for departmental inquiry against the applicant.
- (3) Decision taken to initiate departmental inquiry against the applicant, and
- (4) Delegation of power to the Respondent No.3 by the Academic Council and Executive Council of the Gujarat University.

It is also prayed to quash and set aside:

- (1) inquiry report dated 15/6/2011 submitted by the Fact Finding Committee.
- (2) Resolution dated 26/6/2011 passed by the Executive Council appointing One Man Inquiry Committee to have departmental inquiry against the applicant and
- (3) The charge-sheet dated 16/8/2011, prepared on the basis of the report dated 15/6/2011 of the Fact Finding Inquiry Committee and issued to the applicant on 17/8/2011 by Dr. B.V. Patel, Dean of Science Faculty, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad.

8. It appears to this Tribunal that if the Judgment dated 13/10/2011 passed by this Tribunal, quashing basic resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension letter dated 31/5/2011, is upheld by Hon'ble High Court then every thing on the basis of the same and in furtherance of the same and/or in tune of the same would also be void ab initio and automatically the fate of the petition would be clear.

At the same time, if Hon'ble High Court quashes the judgment dated 13/10/2011 of this Tribunal and upholds resolution dated 28/5/2011 or suspension letter dated 31/5/2011, then the fate of this petition would also be clear.

9. Therefore it appears that when the decision of the Hon'ble High Court is going to affect the fate of this petition, judicial wisdom and judicial discipline does not permit me to pass any order, except this, disclosing the aforesaid factual aspect.

In view of aforesaid this matter is adjourned to *sine die* till Hon'ble High Court decides aforesaid pending Petition No.17244/11 or passes any order directing this Tribunal to decide this petition.

It will be open for the parties to move Registry of this Tribunal as and when necessary.

Free copy of this order be provided to parties on record on one's written request.

Sd/-

(Rajendra A. Patel)

Tribunal Judge

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal

State of Gujarat

Corrected by me

*[Signature]*

Stenographer

Date: 26/12/2011

**True Copy**

*[Signature]*  
23/12/11  
Registrar

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal  
Ahmedabad.

32

u  
Annexure-R1

u/R/2102-2  
**FREE COPY**

*Ambedkar*  
**Registrar,**

**BEFORE THE HON'BLE GUJARAT UNIVERSITIES SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT AHMEDABAD**

**APPLICATION NO. 46 OF 2011**

✓  
Dr. Pradip Prajapati,  
B1/G2 Shanti Apartment,  
Near Devashish School, Bodakdev,  
Ahmedabad.

**Serving as:**

Associate Professor  
Department of Economics  
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad

અરજી મળ્યા તારીખ ..... ૨૫/૧૦/૧૧  
લેટર લેવાર રખા તારીખ ..... ૨૫/૧૦/૧૧  
લેટર આપ્યા તારીખ ..... ૨૬/૧૦/૧૧  
ફાઇલ ની સહી ..... *Patel*

...Applicant

**Vs.**

1. State of Gujarat  
Notice to be served through  
Principal Secretary, Education Department  
Gujarat State, Sachivalya  
Block No.5, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor,  
Gandhinagar.
2. Dr. Parimal Trivedi  
Vice Chancellor  
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad.  
**Residing at:**  
Vice Chancellor's Bungalow  
Gujarat University Campus  
Navrangpura, Ahmedabad.
3. Shri Minesh S. Shah  
I/C Registrar, Gujarat University  
Ahmedabad.  
**Residing at:**  
Z/2/5, Lake View Apartment  
Opp. Vastrapur Lake, Ahmedabad.
4. Dr. B.V. Patel  
Dean, Faculty of Science  
Gujarat University. Ahmedabad.  
**Residing at:**  
I/ Sputnik Society  
Nr. Gopal Park, Ghatlodiya  
Ahmedabad.
5. Gujarat University  
(Notice to be served through  
I/c. Registrar) Navrangpura,  
Ahmedabad.

... Opponents



36

**(Oral Judgment annexed herewith)**

5

**ORAL JUDGMENT:**

**(Per Hon'ble Tribunal Judge, SHIRI RAJENDRA A. PATEL)**

**13/10/2011:-**

**A. APPEARANCES:-**

1. Applicant has appeared in person. Though served, no one has appeared for Opponent No.1 and 4 so matter has proceeded ex-parte qua them.

Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya appeared for Opponent No.2 and Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai appeared for Opponent No.3 and 5.

**B. CHALLENGE:-**

2. Vide this application applicant has challenged impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and impugned suspension letter No. A.C./1743/11 dated 31/5/2011 and letter No. A.C./1744/11 dated 31/5/2011.

Cumulative effect of these challenges amounts to challenge of suspension of the applicant. It is also prayed to direct Respondents to reinstate (actually it should have been "to permit to resume") the applicant. Applicant has also prayed to award heavy compensation from Respondent No.2, 3 and 4.

It is also prayed to direct Respondent No.2 and 3 to award all the consequential benefits including the difference of salary for the period from 16/9/2010 to 18/4/2011. During course of hearing, applicant disclosed that he has received the same and therefore he is not pressing for this prayer before this Tribunal.



It is also prayed to direct Opponent No.1 to protect the applicant from harassment and victimization for making some complaints against the University Officers for their irregularities and malpractices. To have this protection, applicant has relied on Circular No.SVC/10676/650-G dated 26/6/1967.

**C. FACTS OF THE CASE:-**

3. Brief facts, in the background of which this petition has born, are stated as under:-

(a) The present applicant is serving as "Associate Professor" with Opponent University. Some members of Executive Council as well as Academic Council found some news, published against the University, in the name of present applicant and therefore they represented to the Vice Chancellor of the University and requested to call the meeting of Executive Council or Academic

60

13

22

(c) It appears that thereafter applicant herein wrote letter dated 7/6/2011 (Annexure 'J', Page 57), duly addressed to Dr. B.V. Patel and sought clarification on some points as well as sought copy of few documents. It appears that in this letter he has pointed out lot of things and insisted to have true copy of certain documents.



## 63

- (7) Reading so called impugned resolution, it is submitted that joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council has never resolved to suspend the applicant. If it appears accordingly, after suspension letter was issued initially by Incharge Registrar Mr. Minesh Shah thereafter Dr. B.V. Patel, Dean, Science Faculty, issued letter canceling suspension letter issued by Incharge Registrar.

३२



(8) He has prayed for heavy compensation and to have cost against Opponent No.2 and 3. So far as compensation is concerned, he has addressed his grievance through "Rejoinder" filed by him against reply of Opponent No.2 and 3. So far as aspect of cost is concerned, no details are provided.

(9) Opponent No.2 has not filed substantive reply to the application of the applicant but his reply is only to get the interim relief vacated, and therefore so far as Opponent No.2 is concerned, case requires to be treated without reply against the application of the applicant.

**E. Submissions/grounds/contentions raised by Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhvaya for Opponent No.2:-**

5. (1) Attitude of the applicant requires to be seen.

(2) Suspension is procedure and is not a punishment. The applicant is suspended with a view to see that he may not bring the pressure on witnesses, may not tamper with the evidences and may not pollute in the University. It is also intended to have inquiry in a healthy atmosphere and to prevent the birth to new grievances/problems and therefore suspension is justified.

(3) Applicant has not represented before Executive Council against suspension. He was required to make representation in furtherance of his grievance before Executive Council.

(4) Opponent No.2 is joined as party Respondent No.2 in personal capacity, which is not proper, as Opponent No.2 is Vice Chancellor of Opponent University and is discharging his duty in official capacity and action undertaken by him is nothing but part of his duty and so allegations raised against him in the application are bad.

If applicant desires to have any order against Vice Chancellor in his personal capacity then he should approach Civil Court.

(5) Fact Finding Inquiry Committee has submitted its report and regular inquiry is started and for that purpose Inquiry Officer is appointed, and therefore this application challenging the "suspension" requires to be dismissed with direction to co-operate in inquiry.

(6) Impugned order dated 31/5/2011 is as per procedure in University and hence valid and is done in good faith, and therefore no interference of this Tribunal is called for.

(7) Suspension is malafide but he has not produced any evidence or he has not examined any witnesses. No other material except what is on record is produced. There is no other material, otherwise would have been produced. So it

cannot be said that malafide is proved, and therefore application requires to be dismissed with cost.

F. Submissions/grounds/contentions raised by Ld. Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for Opponent No.3 & 5:-

6. (1) Attitude of the applicant requires to be seen.

(2) It is submitted by reading different prayer clauses that by making statement by the applicant before the Hon'ble High Court in the petition preferred by University against this Tribunal's order dated 22/7/2011, granting interim relief in favour of the applicant staying the suspension, applicant has made this application redundant. Now he cannot seek any prayers prayed. It is nothing but mockery of justice.

(3) Replying the contention of the applicant that Dr. B.V. Patel is having no authority to issue impugned letter dated 31/5/2011, it is submitted that Dr. B.V. Patel was authorized by the highest body of the University i.e. Executive Council to have correspondence with applicant. As Opponent No.2 and 3, being officers of the University, reclused them from applicant's case, as there were personal allegations against them by applicant. So action of Executive Council giving authorization to Dr. B.V. Patel is justified.

(4) Answering the allegation of applicant qua malafide, it is submitted that impugned resolution is nothing but collective decision of members of Executive Council as well as Academic Council and therefore it cannot be said to be malafide. No member who is party to the resolution is being joined as party Respondent. No evidence is produced by applicant qua so called malafide and therefore action as alleged cannot be said to be malafide and further malafide cannot be said to have been proved.

(5) Replying the contention of applicant qua heavy compensation, it is submitted that applicant has not produced any evidence to prove the same. Not only that, no evidence is produced qua quantum of the compensation. When none of the conduct of Opponent No.2 and 3 can be termed as malafide and when no proof is produced by applicant about mental agony, no compensation requires to be awarded.

It is also submitted that when applicant approaches Court/forum against so called impugned action of University, and if he gets an order from the Court/forum the same is high lighted in the news and due to that Opponent No.2 and 3 are detrimented and applicant becomes hero, and therefore he cannot be

said that he has suffered any mental torture and therefore there is no suffering and therefore no compensation requires to be awarded.

**G. Re-submission by applicant:-**

7. Applicant has replied qua contention of the attitude. He has read over this Tribunal's order dated 8/7/2011 and reading Para 10 in between lines he submitted that in spite of direction given by this Tribunal, the same was not complied by Opponent No.2 and 3 and therefore instead his attitude of Opponent No.2 and 3 require to be seen. He submitted that if he has preferred any application, either with University or before any Court or Forum, the same is in pursuance of his right. If he is insisted qua that then there is no question of his attitude otherwise. He also submitted that he has not used any un-parliamentary words for Opponent No.2 and 3, either in application or during course of submissions. It is submitted that if he would have done so, the question of attitude would have arisen, as he has not done so there is no question of his attitude otherwise. It is submitted that he has not misbehaved either with Opponent No.2 or with Opponent No.3, and therefore there is no question of his attitude otherwise.

(2) Answering about the statement made by him in the petition, preferred by the University before the Hon'ble High Court, applicant submitted that he made statement before the Hon'ble High Court and accepted the suspension "*....during the pendency of the proceedings before the Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal ....*". It is submitted that therefore acceptance of suspension and suspension allowance is till final hearing of the application (this application) pending before this Tribunal and not in toto.

It is submitted that vide impugned letter dated 31/5/2011 he is suspended. When he is intended to be kept out of the University campus by suspending him, when he makes the statement before the Hon'ble High Court voluntarily and keeps himself away from the University, the contention of attitude raised by Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya and Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai is not justified. It is submitted that making of voluntary statement before the Hon'ble High Court by me does not mean that he has accepted the suspension against him. The same is till final hearing of this Application.

(3) Answering qua the contention that suspension is not punishment, applicant submitted that suspension is clot on him and therefore the same is punishment. He submitted that this is not his first suspension but the same is 4<sup>th</sup>

4<sup>th</sup>



suspension on the same grounds for which he was suspended earlier, and therefore the same is unjustified, malafide and punishment.

It is submitted that suspension is not by my employer i.e. Executive Council but the same is by members of joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council which is not legal.

It is also submitted that Dr. B.V. Patel cannot issue letter of suspension to him because joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council has never resolved to suspend him.

On the basis of aforesaid, it is submitted that therefore his suspension is punishment without following due process of law.

(4) Qua submission of malafide, it is submitted that when some members represented to give the information about the so called allegations made by me, the meeting was required to be confined to only qua that subject matter. It is submitted that in spite of that other issues are discussed in the meeting and therefore question is why and at whose behest? It is submitted that Opponent No.2 and 3 called the meeting and therefore it can be said that they are malafide against him.

(5) In response to the contention that applicant has not approached Executive Council, it is submitted that under section 11(4)(b), one requires to approach the Executive Council if an action is taken by I.d. Vice Chancellor exercising his emergent power under section 11(4)(a). -

Here impugned resolution is passed by joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, which is not in accordance with law, as there is no provision for such.

It is submitted that when Incharge Registrar and Dr. B.V. Patel issued letters dated 31/5/2011, he has replied both, and in that case of the circumstances it was expected from them to put those replies before the Executive Council by calling its meeting. This is admittedly not done and therefore contention that applicant has not approached Executive Council does not stand.

(6) Answering the ground that Vice Chancellor has acted officially in his official capacity, it is submitted by applicant that when he was chairman/president of the joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, dated 28/5/2011, in which impugned resolution was passed, at the time of discussion and before passing resolution, it was expected from him to make the members present to be aware about earlier proceedings against the applicant by University in the subject matter, considering which also he is suspended for this time.



Admittedly Vice Chancellor has not done this. Therefore to that extent he was dereliction in his duty.

(7) Answering the contention that as regular inquiry is started no interference of this Tribunal in this application against suspension is warranted, applicant submitted that his present application is against suspension and therefore there is no question of regular inquiry to be considered.

(8) Answering the contention that suspension order is issued in accordance with law, procedure and with good faith, it is submitted by applicant that it is not so, as under Act, 1949, it requires to be done by Executive Council only, which is admittedly not done by it.

It is also submitted that not only that but reading the contents of resolution, it is not resolved to suspend the applicant, and therefore also suspension is bad.

It is further submitted that if for a sake of argument, otherwise is presumed, Dr. B.V. Patel has no authority to issue suspension letter, therefore the same is bad.

(9) Replying the contention that applicant has not examined any witnesses or himself on oath before this Tribunal, it is submitted that when he is having documentary evidence, produced on the record of the case, and when he is neither member of Executive Council nor Academic Council, he cannot have any personal information, and therefore he has not examined any witnesses or deposed before this Tribunal on oath, and therefore it cannot be said that due to this application to fail.

(10) About the contention of Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for Opponent No.2, to the effect that Vice Chancellor is joined in his personal capacity as party Respondent No.2, applicant submitted that Vice Chancellor is joined in his personal capacity as party Respondent No.2. for the reason that he was expected to discharge his duty in accordance with provisions of Act, 1949 and Statutes framed under the same, which he failed. If he would have discharged his duty in accordance with law, he would not have called the joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council. as there is no provision in the Act, 1949 or Statutes framed under the same.

It is submitted that even though if he has called the joint meeting, he would have extended the information about the action taken by University earlier and cases preferred by the applicant against it/them. He would have also taken care to see that impugned resolution may not be passed. It is submitted that when he has not done accordingly and has overreached the Court's order, allegation of

malafide is levelled against him, and therefore he is joined as party Respondent No.2 in his personal capacity.

(11) Meeting with the contention that Dr. B.V. Patel is given official charge, applicant submitted that instead that resolution could have been passed to change the Incharge Registrar. It is submitted that this contention he has not taken in his petition because though demanded, he was not extended the copy of the resolution, the copy of which came to be produced on the record of this case later on, in compliance of this Tribunal's order. It is submitted that same came to be produced on the record of the case on 22/7/2011 and on that day he got the knowledge about the contents of the same.

(12) It is submitted that reading the impugned resolution, it appears that he was suspended for the subject matter appearing in the cases preferred by him earlier, and therefore, the same is malafide.

(13) Meeting with the argument of Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai to the effect that when he challenges the suspension, secures the order and gets news published and becomes hero, applicant submitted that if it is so i.e. if he is taking any advantage of the suspension, University should not suspend him time and again.

(14) Answering the contention about not proving the subject matter and quantum of compensation, applicant submitted that as he is suspended for the same subject matter for which he was suspended earlier, he has undergone mental torture and/or agony, and therefore he requires to be awarded compensation.

So far as quantum of compensation is concerned, he submitted that if he is awarded token compensation he would be satisfied, and he leaves the subject matter to this Tribunal.



**H. Re-submission by Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for Opponent No.2 in response to re-submission of applicant:-**

8. (1) Answering the reply of the applicant to the effect that his representations were required to be put before the Executive Council, it is submitted that applicant has not stated in his representations that let this to be put before Executive Council, and therefore there is no question qua that.

(2) Considering documents on record, it cannot be said that malafide is proved against Opponent No.2.

(3) Applicant could have called for the copy of documents by giving an application on the record of this case and/or availed the provisions of Right to Information Act. As he has not done so, it cannot be said that malafide is proved.

**I. Additional submissions of parties qua documents produced by University on record, in compliance of order dated 7/10/2011:-**

9. During course of final hearing, this Tribunal found that Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai, appearing for Opponent No.3 and 5, produced a copy of impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 (Page 136) on the record of the case. Reading Item No.2 of the same, it was found that there were some representations by some members, copy of which was produced before the House of joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, dated 28/5/2011. It was found that though it is part of resolution the copy of the same was not extended to this Tribunal, while producing copy of impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 (supra) on the record of the case, on 22/7/2011. So this Tribunal passed an order dated 7/10/2011 and called for the copy of the same, which came to be produced on the record of the case on 11/10/2011.

As stated in this Tribunal's order dated 7/10/2011, this Tribunal extended an opportunity to the parties to submit qua the same.

**10. (a) Applicant Mr. Prajapati in person:-**

(i) Looking to documents, endorsement "received" and "date" appear. No signature of any body appears.

(ii) No inward number appears on the documents.

(iii) It is stated in the letters that I have given the news item. I am not issued any notice asking me whether I have given the same or not? In fact I have not given.

(iv) There is no proof to show that I have given the news item, as appears to have been stated in the representation, the copy of which is now produced.

(v) Vice Chancellor ought to have given true information to the members of joint meeting about the subject matter stated in different letters.

(vi) No member has requested to call for joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council and even though the same was called.

(vii) There is no provision in the Act, 1949 or Ordinance or Statutes framed under the same to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, even though the same was called.

In support of his this submission, it is submitted that he has relied on observations made by this Tribunal through Para 49 to 54 onwards of *judgment dated 24/9/2009 to 5/10/2009, delivered in Application No.3/09*, preferred by applicant himself.



(viii) Before present suspension, applicant was suspended by Vice Chancellor exercising his emergency power under section 11(4) qua the subject matter of 'plagiarism' and 'complaints by HOD'. It is submitted that applicant had challenged the same vide *Application No.2/11*. It is submitted that thereafter on his representation dated 18/4/2011 (Annexure 'D' collectively, Page 39), he was ordered to be reinstated by Vice Chancellor and accordingly he was asked to resume duty. It is submitted that this action of Vice Chancellor was approved in joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council dtd: 28/5/11 itself, vide Item No. 1(88).

It is submitted that same subject matter i.e. (1) plagiarism and (2) complaint by HOD, appeared in the representations made by members, the basis on which joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council was called.

It is submitted that on one hand vide Item No.1(88) of joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council dated 28/5/2011, his cancellation of suspension is approved by joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council and on other hand qua the same subject matter (supra) he is suspended again, as stated in impugned letter dated 31/5/2011. This appears to be absurd.

(b) *Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya is not present for Opponent No 2.*

(c) *Submission by Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai:-*

(1) It is true that there is no provision to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council but the same is within discretion of the Vice Chancellor.

No further arguments are being made by Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai.



**J. FINDINGS:-**

11. (1) Considering the submissions made by applicant in person, it appears that his main submission is there is no provision in the Act, 1949 to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council and especially for the purpose of considering the subject matter pertaining to 'conditions of service' of an University employee and even though it is done, the same is bad.

When called upon, either Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya or Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai could not point out any thing from the Act, 1949, Ordinances and Statutes framed under the same, the basis on which it can be said that it is within power of Registrar and/or Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, except repeated argument to the effect that it is within discretion of the Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting



So from this submission of Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai that it is discretion of the Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, it can be said that joint meeting dated 28/5/2011 of Executive Council and Academic Council was called by Vice Chancellor.

(2) So far as position of Vice Chancellor under Act, 1949 is concerned, he is an Officer, as defined in section 8. As stated in section 11(1), he is the principal executive and academic officer of the University. As stated in section 11(2), it is within his power to convene meetings of the Court, the Executive Council and the Academic Council. For this purpose he can delegate his this power to any other officer of the University.

As per section 11(3) it is duty of the Vice Chancellor to ensure that this Act (Act, 1949), the Statutes, Ordinances and Regulations are faithfully observed and for that purpose he is having all necessary powers.

Under section 11(4)(a) Vice Chancellor is having emergency power to take immediate action of such officer, authority or body as would have in the ordinary course dealt with the matter.

Under section 11(4)(b) if such emergency action taken by Vice Chancellor affects any person in the service of the University, such person is entitled to prefer an appeal to the Executive Council.

Under section 11(5) it is duty of the Vice Chancellor to give effect to the orders of the Executive Council regarding the appointment, dismissal, suspension and punishment of the persons in the service of the University or teachers of the University.

Reading section 11(5) it clearly appears to this Tribunal that when it is duty of the Vice Chancellor to give effect to the orders of Executive Council regarding the appointment, dismissal, suspension and punishment, needless to say that, it is within power of Executive Council only to deal with the subject matter of suspension of an University employee. Therefore it appears that except Executive Council no other authority of the University including Academic Council can take any decision/resolution/order pertaining to suspend an University employee.

Section 15 of the Act, 1949 defines "*Authorities of University*". In all 11 (eleven) authorities are prescribed. The Executive Council appears at Sr. No.(ii) and Academic Council appears at Sr. No.(iii). Reading section 15, no where it appears that joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council is defined as an authority of an University. The mandate of section 15 appears



83


that individual body like Executive Council and Academic Council is termed as an authority of University.

It is stated in clause (xi) that "*such other bodies of the University as may be declared by the Statutes to be the authorities of the University*". Neither Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for Opponent No.2 nor Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for Opponent No.3 and 5 could point out any thing that joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council is being declared as an "authority of University" by Statute, for considering the subject matter of conditions of service of an University employee.

(3) Section 20 of Act, 1949 speaks about powers and duties of Executive Council. Clause (xxxviii) authorizes the Executive Council "*to lay down and regulate salary scales, allowances and conditions of service of officers, members of the teaching, other academic and non-teaching staff of the University*".

So, from this statutory provision, it clearly appears that it is within power of Executive Council only to deal with the subject matter of conditions of service of its employees, either teaching or non-teaching, as the case may be. As submitted by applicant, no other provision appears in the Act, 1949 qua this i.e. showing that such powers are also with Academic Council.

Even Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for Opponent No.2 and Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for Opponent No.3 and 5 could not point out any thing, the basis on which it can be said that the powers like of Executive Council, to deal with the conditions of service of an University employee, are also with Academic Council of the University or the same can also be dealt with in joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council.



(4) Nothing is shown to this Tribunal for Opponent No.2, 3 and 5 that it is within power of either Registrar or Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, to deal with the subject matter of "conditions of service" of an University employee.

(5) It is settled position of law, appearing from following judgments. that when things are expected to be done in particular manner, it requires to be done in that manner only.

- (1) AIR 1964 SC 358, *State of U.P. Vs. Singhara Singh and others*
- (2) AIR 1976 SC 789, *Hukam Chand Shyam Lal Vs. Union of India and others.*
- (3) (2004) 2 SCC 56, *Prabha Shankar Dubey Vs. State of M.P.*
- (4) (2004) 2 SCC 759, *Ramphal Kundu Vs. Kamal Sharma*
- (5) (2007) 6 SCC 276, *Union of India and Another Vs. Shardinidu*
- (6) (2003) 2 SCC 111, *Bhavnagar University Vs. Palitana Sugar Mill (P) Ltd. And others*

- (7) 2008 (2) GLH 752, *Bharatbhai R. Bhavsar Vs. Director of Municipalities and others.*  
 (8) 2004 (2) GLH 482, *South Gujarat University Vs. Dilip C. Shah*

Considering the ratio laid down by these judgments and considering aforesaid statutory provision appearing in Act, 1949, when it is within power of Executive Council only to deal with the subject matter of conditions of service of an University employee, it can never be considered either by Academic Council itself or by its members.

If it is done by either Academic Council or by its members it will be ultra-vires and without authority under law.

(6) Earlier Academic Council of Gujarat University passed resolution keeping the applicant away from teaching work, which indirectly amounted to 'suspension', which came to be challenged by applicant vide *Application No.3/09*. This Tribunal delivered the judgment dated 24/9/2009 to 5/10/2009 and held that Academic Council has no power to deal with the subject matter of conditions of service of an University employee. Observations made by this Tribunal appears in the judgment, which are within knowledge of Opponent University and its present officers like Opponent No.2 and 3, as they were the officers at the relevant time.

Vide that judgment, this Tribunal quashed the resolution passed by Academic Council. Facts remain that this judgment is not challenged by Opponent University before the higher forum of this Tribunal, and therefore it attained finality under section 12 of the Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal Act, 1983 as well as otherwise.

It appears that inspite of this clear cut legal position and in absence of any demand by any of the members of either Executive Council or Academic Council to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, in flagrant violation of ratio and letter and spirit of judgment delivered by this Tribunal in *Application No.3/09*, Opponent No.2 Vice Chancellor of Opponent Gujarat University called joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council. It appears that therefore the action of the Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council is bad, without jurisdiction and beyond his powers. It was expected from him to act in tune of letter and spirit of the judgment delivered, to maintain the sanctity of the administration. It appears that by acting otherwise, he has overreached the judgment of this Tribunal.



In view of aforesaid, it appears that when impugned resolution of so called subject matter cannot be dealt with in joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, the action of joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council to deal with the same appears to be void ab initio, being contrary to the statutory provisions and hence bad in law and therefore requires to be quashed and set aside.

(7) It is argued by Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai that it is within discretion of the Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council.

Considering the scope, power and jurisdiction of Executive Council and Academic Council, duly observed by this Tribunal, while delivering judgment dated 24/9/2009 to 5/10/2009 in *Application No.3/09*, it is difficult to agree with Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai that Vice Chancellor can exercise his discretion to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, to examine the subject matter pertaining to conditions of service of an University employee, when powers, scope and jurisdiction, especially for the same, is with Executive Council only.

Needless to say that discretion conferred cannot be exercised arbitrarily and contrary to the provisions of Statutes.

It is difficult to agree with the submission of Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai that it is within discretion of Ld. Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, in absence of any provision in the Act, 1949 and considering the specific provision appearing in section 11(2) to convene meetings of the Court, the Executive Council and the Academic Council by the Vice Chancellor. The word "and" appearing between words "Executive Council" and "Academic Council" appears to be dis-junctive. In any case, if it is considered that it is within power of Vice Chancellor to convene the meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council to consider subject matter of "conditions of service" of an university employee then it will be contrary to the statutory provisions. So this interpretation can not be accepted.

Joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council can be called by Registrar, as per section 10(2)(a)(i) and Schedule 1A, under section 29, appearing at Page 73 of Part II of Hand Book of Gujarat University, issued in 1989. Copy of Hand Book issued after 1989 is not made available to this Tribunal by the University. It appears that reading clause (2) of Schedule 1A, it is within power of Registrar to call a joint meeting of the Executive Council and the Academic Council for the purpose of nominating a member on the Committee to



be appointed for recommending the panel of the names for the appointment of Vice Chancellor.

Except this provision, no provision appears either in the Act, 1949 or Statutes or Ordinances, the basis on which it can be said that it is within power of either Registrar/Incharge Registrar or Vice Chancellor to call joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, and especially to consider the subject matter of conditions of service of the University employee.

Therefore the contention raised by Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai fails.

(8) From the submissions of Opponent No.2, 3 and 5, it clearly appears that joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council was called by Vice Chancellor. When there is no provision in the law for such meeting, this was not expected from Ld. Vice Chancellor. In any case, if he has called the meeting, it was required to be confined only to supply the information, sought by members of Executive Council and Academic Council by making different representations, instead resolving pertaining to "conditions of service" of the applicant. Not only that, one can understand that the members who have requested to call the meeting of Executive Council/Academic Council, may not be aware about the earlier actions of the University against the applicant including 'suspension' for the subject matter of plagiarism and/or complaints by HOD etc. In that case of the circumstances, it was expected from the Ld. Vice Chancellor to make the Ld. members aware about the action taken by University earlier and remedy availed by the applicant and outcome qua the same. Nothing appears from the resolution that this was done by Ld. Vice Chancellor. Even nothing is pointed out for Opponent No.2, 3 & 5. It appears to this Tribunal that if it would have been done by Ld. Vice Chancellor, perhaps the outcome might be different, as one cannot presume that members of Executive Council and Academic Council would have insisted to have action against the applicant, though he succeeded earlier before this Tribunal for the same.



(9) It appears from (1) *AIR 2002 SC 2314 Sisir Kumar Mohanty and others Vs. State of Orissa and others* and (2) (2003) 2 SCC 577 *Nasiruddin and others Vs. Sita Ram Agarwal* that Hon'ble Supreme Court has taken the view that resolution being an executive action was required to be interpreted considering the contents of the same as it is. Neither any thing can be added nor any thing can be abstracted from the same. To examine the submission made by the applicant to the effect that joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council has never resolved to suspend him, vide Resolution No. 2, passed in its meeting dated 28/5/2011, I have applied my judicial mind. Reading the same in between lines. I

fully agree with the applicant's submission, as I do not find any words stated in clear terms to the effect that "it is resolved to suspend the applicant" what appears in sub-clause (3) of clause 3 of Item No.2, is to the effect that to cancel the recognition of the applicant as a teacher and with a view to put him under suspension with immediate effect, joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council resolved to constitute Fact Finding Inquiry Committee of three members named in the same.

In short, reading contents of resolution, no where it appears that it was resolved to suspend the applicant.

In that case of the circumstances, impugned letter dated 31/5/2011 issued by Dr. B.V. Patel, informing the applicant that he is put under suspension, appears to be bad, without power and ultra-vires to the Resolution No. 2 (supra).

Therefore it appears that it requires to be held, that when it is never resolved to suspend the applicant, to inform the applicant vide impugned letter dated 31/5/2011 by Dr. B.V. Patel that applicant is put under suspension, cannot be permitted to sustain and requires to be declared accordingly and action of Opponent No.4 in terms of the same requires to be quashed.

(10) For a sake of argument, it is presumed that interpretation of the contents of the resolution is otherwise, though not, and it is resolved to suspend the applicant, the action is bad, for the reason that it is resolved by the joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, for which there is no provision in law, and there is specific provision in the Act, 1949 that it is within power of Executive Council only to deal with the subject matter of 'conditions of service' of an University employee.



(11) It may be argued that if Executive Council and Academic Council have passed the joint resolution, what prejudice is caused to the applicant? In such kind of cases, it appears to this Tribunal that prejudice caused requires to be presumed de jure instead de facto.

Position of law remains to the effect that if the subject matter would have appeared before the Executive Council only, it would have been considered by the members of Executive Council only, who are otherwise not same, but as it appeared before the joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, it requires to be presumed that presence and discussion of members of Academic Council has also weighed on members of Executive Council at the time of decision, and therefore it cannot be said to be an independent decision under law by the members of Executive Council and therefore it has caused prejudice to the applicant.

What is stated by Opponent No.3 in his reply in Para 14 is reproduced here below for ready reference:-

*"14. I further say and submit that there is no delegation of power in favour of Dr. B. V. Patel but has been authorized to communicate the decision taken by the Executive Council and/or Academic Council. It is pertinent to point out that while passing the order suspension several factors weigh with the Members of the Executive Council and Academic Council."*


From aforesaid it clearly appears that when Opponent No.3 himself has stated that while passing the order of suspension several factors weigh with the members of the Executive Council and the Academic Council, participation of members of the Academic Council in passing impugned Resolution No. 2 cannot be denied, being without authority and power and action requires to be declared against law and requires to be quashed.

(12) It is argued by applicant that authorization given by joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council to Dr. B.V. Patel to act on behalf of Registrar is bad in law. Against this, Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai submitted that as there were some allegations against Incharge Registrar Mr. Minesh Shah, Executive Council and Academic Council resolved jointly to authorise Dr. B.V. Patel under the principle of necessity to correspond on behalf of University.

It appears to this Tribunal that Mr. Minesh Shah is Incharge Registrar. Law is settled that one who holds charge of a particular post has no right to hold the same and employer can put an end to the charge at any time without extending any reason and/or complying the principle of natural justice. It appears that in that case of the circumstances, Mr. Minesh Shah was required to be removed as Incharge Registrar and in lieu of him some another person was required to be handed over the charge of Incharge Registrar. To keep Mr. Minesh Shah away from the subject matter instead authorizing Mr. B.V. Patel to exercise the power of Registrar.

In Act, 1949 and/or Ordinances and Statutes framed under the same, no where it is pointed out that it is within power of either Executive Council or any other authority of the University to authorise or delegate the power of Registrar to any other officer including Dean Dr. B.V. Patel of the University.

Hon'ble Supreme Court has taken the view speaking through (2009) 4 SCC 72, *M. Chandru Vs. Member Secretary, Chennai Metropolitan Development Authority*, that power to delegate, is being the statutory requirement, must confine its place in the principal Act itself and not in the regulations. In absence of specific provision such delegation is bad.



Reading and considering the ratio laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court, it appears that Hon'ble Supreme Court says that if there is provision for delegation of powers in the principal Act it can be delegated and if no provision in the principal Act the same is bad. Here in this case there is no provision either in the principal Act or Ordinances or Statutes or Regulations to authorise or delegate the power of Registrar to any one. Of course, the judgment is speaking about the authority of the University but ratio requires to be attracted in the case of officers of the University also, complying the same logic.

Not only that, when it is within power of Executive Council to deal with the subject matter of 'conditions of service' of an University employee, authorizing Mr. B.V. Patel could not have been by joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council.

Under section 11(5), it is duty of Vice Chancellor to give effect to the orders of the Executive Council regarding the appointment, dismissal, suspension and punishment of the persons in the service of the University or teachers of the University or regarding the recognition of any such teacher. Reading further it appears that Vice Chancellor is responsible for the discipline of the University in accordance with law, the Statutes and Ordinances. Under Statute 133 (c) (at Page 140 of the Gujarat University Hand Book, Part II, published in 1989), it is duty of the Registrar to conduct the official correspondence of the Executive Council and the Court. He acts as a Secretary of different bodies stated in clause (b) of Statute 133. Not only that under clause (e) it is duty of the Registrar to render such assistance as may be desired by Vice Chancellor in the performance of his official duties. Reading section 11(5) to give effect to the different orders and clause (e) of Statute 133 jointly, it appears that when any authority of the University passes any resolution, it is duty of the Vice Chancellor to give effect to the same and in turn it is duty of the Registrar to assist Vice Chancellor.

No provision appears anywhere in law that either Vice Chancellor or Registrar can confer or delegate this one's duty to any one else. Even no provision appears that even any authority defined in section 15 of the Act, 1949 can do so. When joint body of Executive Council and Academic Council is not contemplated as an "authority" of Opponent University, under section 15 of Act, 1949, which defines "Authorities of the University", resolution passed by joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council authorizing Dr. B.V. Patel to discharge function of Registrar, including correspondence with the applicant in



By

u/





Facts remain that no one appears for Secretary, Education Department, State of Gujarat. So far as this Tribunal is concerned, the same is having jurisdiction to decide the 'dispute' between University and University employee. Reliance is placed by applicant on Circular dated 26/6/1967 (Annexure 'T', Page 120) to have the protection, regarding some complaints against the University officials for their irregularities and malpractices, does not appear to have been adopted by the University for an University employees. In that case of the circumstances, it is difficult to agree with the applicant that this document is attracted in this case. In that case of the circumstances, though Education Department is necessary party and if subject matter warrants, it is within power and jurisdiction of this Tribunal to issue direction, no direction requires to be issued for the reason that no case is made out by the applicant for acceptance of this prayer and hence the said prayer is rejected.

(16) It appears to this Tribunal that except aforesaid rest of contentions and arguments canvassed by the applicant do not require to be dealt with by this Tribunal, as impugned resolution dated 28/5/2011 and suspension order dated 31/5/2011 issued by Dr. B.V. Patel, requires to be quashed and set aside.

Therefore impugned Resolution No.2 passed in joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council dtd. 28/5/11 dealing with the issue of 'conditions of service' of the applicant is hereby declared as void ab initio, wrong, unlawful, beyond power or otherwise unjustified and hence the same is quashed and set aside. The impugned letter dated 31/5/2011 issued by Dr. B.V. Patel, acting in furtherance of the said resolution is also hereby declared as illegal and is hereby quashed and set aside. Opponent No.2, 3 and 5 are hereby directed to permit the petitioner to resume duty forthwith.

(17) So far as award of compensation and cost is concerned, it is held that the same is not proved before this Tribunal except bare say that it is mental agony to the applicant and therefore prayers qua the same are hereby rejected.

This application is allowed partly to the aforesaid extent and stands disposed accordingly.

Free copy of this order be provided to parties on record on one's written request.

**True Copy**

*[Signature]*

Registrar

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal  
Ahmedabad.

Sd/-

(Rajendra A. Patel)

Tribunal Judge

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal  
State of Gujarat

Corrected by me

*[Signature]*

Secretary

Date: 21/10/2011

90

29 21/2/12-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No. 17244 of 2011

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.M.THAKER

=====

- 1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
- 3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
- 4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?
- 5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

=====

PARIMAL TRIVEDI & 3 - Petitioner(s)

Versus

PRADIP PRAJAPATI & 2 - Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance :

MR SN SHELAT, SR. ADVOCATE, WITH MRS VD NANAVATI for Petitioner(s) : 1 - 4.  
MS VIDHI J BHATT WITH MR SHALIN MEHTA for Respondent(s) : 1,  
MR ROHAN YAGNIK AGP for Respondent(s) : 2,  
None for Respondent(s) : 3,

=====

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.M.THAKER

Date : 03/10/2012

CAV JUDGMENT

The petitioners have brought under challenge order dated 13.10.2011 passed by Gujarat University Service Tribunal [hereinafter referred to as "the tribunal"] in application No.46 of 2011, which was filed by present respondent No.1. The petitioners have, inter alia, prayed for below mentioned relief/direction:-

"17(B) Your Lordships be pleased to issue writ in the nature of certiorari or any other writ, order or direction quashing and setting aside the order dated 13.10.2011 passed in Application No.46 of 2011.

(C) Pending, admission hearing and/or final disposal of this Special Civil Application Your Lordships be pleased to stay order dated 13.10.2011 passed in Application No.46 of 2011."

52

2. **Relevant Facts:-**

So as to support and justify the relief prayed for, the petitioners have stated below mentioned facts:-

2.1 The respondent No.1 is associate professor in the petitioner No.1 University. It appears that on 25.5.2011 certain item/article was published in news papers and some members/officers of the University including the petitioners No.1, 3 and 4 believed that the said publication was made by or at the behest of the respondent No.1. Therefore, so as to consider the said conduct of the respondent No.1 and to also consider as to whether appropriate action should be initiated against the respondent No.1 or not, a joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council was convened on 28.5.2011. The said joint meeting took note of the publication which, essentially, was against the Vice-Chancellor and acting Registrar of petitioner No.4 University. It is also claimed that pursuant to the discussions and deliberations in the said joint meeting, a decision to appoint Fact Finding Inquiry Committee [hereinafter referred to as "the Committee"] was taken and accordingly, the Committee was appointed to look into the said incidence. The joint meeting of the Executive Council and Academic Council considered the said publication as misconduct by present respondent No.1. The said joint meeting noticed that the said publication was made by or at the instance of present respondent No.1.

2.2 The decision/resolution of the joint meeting of the Executive Council and Academic Council was communicated to the respondent No.1 on or around 31.5.2011.

2.3 The petitioners also claim that the respondent No.1 was also placed under suspension vide resolution passed on 28.5.2011 and

52

that on 15.6.2011, the Committee recommended that the competent authority of the University should take appropriate action against the respondent No.1 into the allegation about (i) plagiarism, (ii) laxity in discharging duties, and (iii) misconduct with colleagues and students.

2.4 The petitioners have further claimed that the said report of the Committee was considered by the Executive Council in its meeting held on 26.6.2011. After considering the report and recommendations by the Committee, one Shri B.P.Munshi, retired Judge, was appointed to hold the departmental inquiry into the charges against the respondent No.1.

2.5 It is claimed that at the said stage, i.e. before the proceedings of the inquiry commenced, the respondent No.1 preferred an application before the learned tribunal, which was registered as Application No.46 of 2011. In the said application, which was filed on or around 7.7.2011, the respondent No.4 challenged the order placing him under suspension. The learned tribunal granted interim relief in favour of the respondent No.1 vide order dated 22.7.2011.

2.6 Aggrieved by the said interim order, the petitioner University preferred writ petition being Special Civil Application No.10289 of 2011 wherein the Court, by order dated 29.8.2011, stayed the operation of the interim order passed by the learned tribunal.

2.7 The petitioners have claimed that on 30.9.2011, during hearing of the said writ petition, the respondent No.1 made a statement before the Court that during pendency of the proceedings before the learned tribunal, he would continue to be away from the campus of University and will only claim suspension allowance. In view of the said statement, the said petition was disposed of.

2.8 It transpires from the record that in the meanwhile, the charge-sheet dated 30.7.2011 was served against the respondent No.1. On the other hand, the respondent University also filed on or around 28.8.2011 its reply/written statement opposing the application filed by respondent No.1 in the learned tribunal.

2.9 The petitioners have claimed that subsequently, the learned tribunal allowed the application preferred by respondent No.1 and vide order dated 13.10.2011 set aside the resolution dated 28.5.2011. Upon feeling aggrieved by the said order dated 13.10.2011, the petitioners have preferred present petition.

3. The petitioner No.4 is the University and the petitioner No.1 is a Vice-Chancellor of the petitioner No.4 University. The petitioner No.2 is a Registrar/In-charge of the petitioner No.4 University whereas the petitioner No.3 is dean of faculty of science in petitioner No.4 University.

3.1 The respondent No.1 had submitted caveat. Accordingly, he appeared, at the first hearing, after accepting advance service of the petition. The record does not reflect any order directing office to issue formal notice to the respondents and/or any order recording that the respondent No.1 waived service of notice. The order passed on 8.2.2012 recites that:-

"Heard Mr.S.N.Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, with Mrs.V.D.Nanavati, learned advocate for the petitioners, Mr.Mitul Shelat with Mr.Vidhi J. Bhatt, learned advocate for respondent No.1 and Mr.Rakesh R. Patel, learned Assistant Government Pleader for respondent No.2.

It is pointed out by the learned counsel for the respective parties that the matter was predominantly kept for final hearing. Hence, S.O. to 29.02.2012."

4. At the time of hearing of the petition pursuant to the said order dated 8.2.2012, Mr. S.N.Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, appearing with Mrs. V.D.Nanavati, learned advocate, Mr. Shalin

Mehta, learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.1 and learned AGP for respondent Nos.2 and 3 have jointly submitted that it is understood by all concerned parties that with consent of the parties, the petition is to be heard for final decision.

4.1 Having regard to the issues and contentions raised by the contesting parties, it appears that the petition requires consideration. Hence, RULE. Ms. VJ.Bhatt, learned advocate, for respondent No.1 and learned AGP for the respondent Nos.2 and 3 have waived service of process of rule. As mentioned above, at the request of and with consent of the learned counsel for the contesting parties and also in view of the order dated 8.2.2012, the petition is heard for final decision.

5. The respondent No.1 has resisted the petition by filing reply affidavit dated 30.11.2011. The parties have declared that the pleadings are complete. It is, however, necessary to note that during hearing, the petitioners tendered on 26.4.2012, further affidavit and written submissions. Therefore, on 1.5.2012, the respondent No.1 also filed counter affidavit dated 1.5.2012 accompanied by written submissions of respondent No.1. The respondent No.1 appears to have also placed on record a copy of his communication dated 1.12.2011 asking the petitioner University to cancel the order of suspension, particularly in view of the provisions contained under Clause 18(b) of the Ordinance 172. The reply affidavit dated 30.11.2011 is opposed by the petitioners by filing affidavit dated 16.10.2011 and in turn, the respondent No.1 has filed affidavit dated 17.1.2012.

6. **Rival Contentions:-**

6.1 Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners, has submitted that the impugned order is unsustainable in law. He submitted that the learned tribunal erred in not appreciating and

holding that the joint meeting of the Executive Council and Academic Council is permissible in light of the provisions under the Gujarat University Act, 1949 [hereinafter referred to as "the Act"] hence, it was legal and proper to convene joint meeting of the said councils. He also submitted that the learned tribunal also erred in not appreciating and holding that the delegation of Vice-Chancellor's power to a dean, i.e. respondent No.3 herein, was legal and also permissible under the provisions of the Act.

6.2 Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, for the petitioners also submitted that the order placing respondent No.1 under suspension is also legal as well as justified and in consonance with relevant and applicable provisions under the Act and the learned tribunal has erred in quashing the said order. He also contended that the learned tribunal has erred in referring to and relying upon two previous orders passed by the learned tribunal and the said two orders could not have been treated as precedent, more particularly when the said matters are under consideration by the High Court. He also submitted that the resolution dated 28.5.2011 records and reflects the view / opinion of the Executive Council and Academic Council.

6.3 Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, further submitted that the tribunal is not right in law in holding that the Resolution dated 28.5.2011 passed at the joint meeting of the Academic Council and Executive Council is not permissible in law and the tribunal is also not right in holding that there is no provision for joint meeting under the provisions of the Gujarat University Act.

6.4 Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, also submitted that Section 20 prescribes power and duties of the Executive Council, which include power to appoint academic, administrative and other staff of the University, fix their emolument and define their duties



and conditions of service and to take disciplinary action.”

6.5 It is further contended by the petitioner University that the order of suspension is neither an order of dismissal nor of removal from service, but it is a protective action taken by the university pending departmental inquiry and the employee under suspension continues in service subject to payment of suspension allowances.

6.6 Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, submitted that the Vice Chancellor acted within his authority in convening the joint meeting so as to acquaint the members of Academic Council and seek their views about the alleged delinquency of respondent No.1. The said action by the Vice Chancellor cannot be termed as unauthorized or in breach of any provisions of the Act and that if the recommendations of the Academic Council are required to be taken into account before taking any action, then, no fault can be found in convening the joint meeting of the Academic Council and Executive Council. The learned Tribunal has passed the order without considering that the respondent No.1 being professor at the department of the petitioner university, the Academic Council would be concerned when action is taken or sought to be taken against an Academic officer of the university, hence, the order is rendered unsustainable. Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, further contended that even otherwise, no prejudice is caused to the respondent on account of joint meeting of Academic Council and Executive Council. With reference to the observations and findings of the learned tribunal that there is no order of suspension, Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, submitted that the resolution dated 28.5.2011 has to be read in the context of convening meeting regarding alleged misconduct committed by the respondent No.1 because the resolution records that the respondent No.1 should be suspended and an inquiry committee should be appointed. It is contended that in view of the said

resolution, findings by the learned tribunal are erroneous and unjustified. He also contended that the resolution of Executive Council cannot be construed and interpreted as if it is a legislative measure. Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, has submitted that the provision under Ordinance 172(18)(b) does not apply to university teacher, hence, the reliance placed on said provision is misconceived. With reference to nomination of Dr. B.V.Patel, Mr. Shelat, learned Senior Counsel, submitted that said Shri Patel was not delegated the power of Executive Counsel, but since allegations were raised against Vice Chancellor and In-charge Registrar, the Executive Council considered it appropriate and necessary to nominate Shri B.V.Patel to assist the Inquiry Committee and to enter into communication on behalf of the Executive Council.

7. Per contra, Mr. Shalin Mehta, learned counsel, for respondent No.1 submitted that there is no provision in the Gujarat University Act, 1949 authorising a joint meeting of the Academic Council and the Executive Council for suspending a University teacher. He relied on the provision under Section 11(5) and 20(1)(xxix) which read thus:-

"11(5) The Vice-Chancellor shall give effect to the orders of the 2[Executive Council] regarding the appointment, dismissal, suspension and punishment of the persons in the service of the University or teachers of the University or regarding the recognition or withdrawal of the recognition of any such teacher and shall exercise general control over the affairs of the University. He shall be responsible for the discipline of the University in accordance with this Act, the Statutes and Ordinances.

20. (1) Subject to such conditions as may be prescribed by or under the provisions of this Act, the Executive Council shall exercise the following powers and perform the following duties, namely :—

(xxix) to appoint academic, administrative and other staff of the University, fix their emoluments, and define their duties and conditions of service and to take disciplinary action] against them;"

7.1 He further submitted that the power to suspend lies with the Executive Council but is to be exercised only upon the recommendation made by the Academic Council, however, in present case, the decision to suspend the respondent No.1 was

5/

32

taken by the Academic Council and the Executive Council in a joint meeting. He also contended that it is only when the Academic Council recommends suspension that the role of the Executive Council comes into the picture and that therefore, the suspension cannot be recommended by a joint session of the Academic Council and the Executive Council. Mr. Mehta, learned counsel, claimed that in the joint meeting of the Academic Council and the Executive Council, the members of the Executive Council were able to influence the members of the Academic Council into recommending suspension of respondent No.1 which amounts to breach of section 11(5) read with section 20(1)(xxix) and (3) of the Act. He also claimed that the said course of action caused serious prejudice to respondent No.1 because in all probability members of the Academic Council would not have recommended measure of suspension. Mr. Mehta, learned counsel for the respondent No.1 contended that the resolution dated 28.5.2011 passed in the joint meeting of Academic Council and the Executive Council did not resolve to suspend respondent No.1 and that it merely resolved to constitute a fact finding committee of three persons. The fact finding committee gave its report only on 15.6.2011 and therefore, respondent No.1 could not have been suspended pending such report. Mr. Mehta further submitted that the respondent No.1 was suspended w.e.f. 31.5.2011 on a complete misreading, misinterpretation and misconstruction of the resolution dated 28.5.2011. He also contended that the resolution passed in the meeting of 11.12.2011 by the Executive Council resolving to continue the respondent under suspension amounts to contempt. Without prejudice to the said contention, Mr. Mehta further contended that the action of continuing the respondent No.1 under suspension beyond six months of 31.5.2011 is contrary to Ordinance 172, clause 18(b), which reads as under -

**"18. Suspension:**

- (b) (i) During the first three months of the period of suspension, the suspended teacher shall be entitled to receive 50% of the basic salary plus

Se

allowances taken together other than personal allowances, if any.

(ii) During three to six months of the period of suspension, the suspended teacher shall be entitled to receive 75% of the basic salary plus allowances taken together other than personal allowance, if any.

(iii) Where the period of suspension exceeds six months, the suspension shall be treated as cancelled and the teacher concerned shall be reinstated in his position and shall be paid the balance salary of the period under suspension."

7.2 Mr. Mehta submitted that the contention that the said Ordinance does not apply to a University teacher is misconceived and contrary to the provision and that even if it is assumed that Ordinance 172, clause 18(b) does not apply to respondent No.1 who is a University teacher, there is no power of suspension in any other provision of the Act or Statutes or Ordinances applicable to Gujarat University.

8. So as to support their respective submissions, the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent No.1 have relied on below mentioned decisions:-

1. Charansinh v. Registrar, Cooperative Societies 1976 3 SCC 361.
2. The Management of Hotel Imperior v. Hotel Worker's Union AIR 1959 SC 1342.
3. V.P. Gindroniya v. State of M.P. AIR 1970 SC 1494.
4. T. Cajee v. Jormonik Siem AIR 1961 SC 276.

9. Since the petitioner has brought under challenge order passed by the learned tribunal in application No.46 of 2011 wherein the respondent No.1 had challenged the communication dated 31.5.2011 and the resolution dated 28.5.2011, the proceedings of the meeting and the charge-sheet.

In the impugned decision the learned tribunal has held that the Vice-Chancellor does not have power to convene joint meeting of the two Councils and the meeting dated 28.5.2011 was unauthorized, which could not have passed any resolution affecting service conditions of the respondent No.1 or his recognition as university teacher.

# 10 Relevant Provisions :-

10.1 So as to consider the rival contentions and the impugned order of the learned tribunal, it is necessary to take into account the relevant provisions under the Gujarat University Act, 1949 and the Statutes / Ordinance framed by the University.

10.2 According to Section 2(12) "Teachers" means professors, readers, lecturers and such other persons imparting instruction in the University, an affiliated college or recognized/approved institutions and according to Section 2(14) "University" means Gujarat University constituted under the Act. The University is constituted as a body corporate and comprise, inter alia, the Chancellor, Vice-Chancellor, Pro-Vice-Chancellor, the Members of the Court, the Executive Council, Academic Council and all persons who may become such officers or members. The powers of the university are prescribed under Section 4 of the Act. The terms Statutes, Ordinances Regulations and Rules are defined under Section 2(A) so as to mean Statute, Ordinances, Regulations and Rules made under the Act.

10.3 Section 8 of the Act prescribes who shall be the "officers" of the University. The said Section read thus:-

"8. The following shall be the officers of the University, namely :—

- (i) The Chancellor,
- (ii) The Vice-Chancellor, (iii) The  
2[Pro-Vice-Chancellor, 3[\* \*]
- (iv) The Deans of Faculties,
- (v) The Registrar, 4[\* \*]
- 5[ (v-a) The University Librarian, and],
- (vi) Such other officers in the service of the University as may be declared by the Statutes to be officers of the University."

10.4 According to Section 11(1), the Vice-Chancellor shall be the principal executive and academic officer of the University. The said Sub-section (1) of Section 11 also provides that in absence of the Chancellor, the Vice-Chancellor shall preside at the meetings of the

Court and convocation of the University and he shall be ex-officio member and chairman of the Executive Council and Academic Council. The said Section reads thus:-

"11. (1) The Vice-Chancellor shall be the principal executive and academic officer of the University and shall, in the absence of the Chancellor, preside at meetings of the 1[Court] and any convocation of the University. He shall be ex-officio member and the Chairman of the 2[Executive Council] and of the Academic Council. He shall be present, with the right to speak, at any meetings of any other authority or body of the University, but shall not be entitled to vote thereat unless he is a member of that authority or body."

10.5 So far as the issue on hand is concerned, Sub-section (2) of Section 11 is also relevant. The said sub-section (2) read thus:-

"(2) The Vice-Chancellor shall have power to convene meetings of the 1[Court], the 2[Executive Council] and the Academic Council. He may delegate this power to any other officer of the University."

Thus, the power to convene the meetings of the Court, Executive Council and Academic Council is conferred on the Vice-Chancellor and the power conferred on him by sub-section (2) of Section 11 can be delegated by him to any other officer (i.e. the officers who are mentioned in Section 8 of the Act) of the University.

10.6 Sub-section (4a) and (4b) of Section 11 are also relevant. The said provisions read thus:-

"(4) (a) In any emergency which in the opinion of the Vice-Chancellor requires that immediate action should be taken, he shall take such action as he deems necessary and shall at the earliest opportunity 3[thereafter furnish information regarding his action] to such officer, authority or body as would have in the ordinary course dealt with the matter

(b) When action taken by the Vice-Chancellor under this sub-section affects any person in the service of the University such person shall be entitled to prefer an appeal through the said officer, authority or body to the 2[Executive Council] within fifteen days from the date on which such action is communicated to him."

Sub-section (4) of Section 11 is the emergency clause and clause (a) of sub-section (4) allows the Vice-Chancellor to take such action as he thinks necessary if any emergency so requires but it also obliges the Vice-Chancellor to immediately thereafter furnish the information regarding the action to the concerned officer or

36

authority or body who would ordinarily deal with such matter.

Clause (b) of sub-section (4) of Section 11 provides that if such action by the Vice-Chancellor affects any person in service of the University, then he can prefer appeal to the Executive Council.

10.7 Sub-section (5) of Section 11 obliges the Vice-Chancellor to give effect to the orders of the Executive Council. The said sub-section (5) read thus:-

"(5) The Vice-Chancellor shall give effect to the orders of the 2[Executive Council] regarding the appointment, dismissal, suspension and punishment of the persons in the service of the University or teachers of the University or regarding the recognition or withdrawal of the recognition of any such teacher and shall exercise general control over the affairs of the University. He shall be responsible for the discipline of the University in accordance with this Act, the Statutes and Ordinances."

Thus, it is the duty of the Vice-Chancellor to implement and execute the decisions and orders of the Executive Council.

10.8 Section 15 prescribes the authorities of the University. The Executive Council and the Academic Council are two out of 11 authorities of the University.

So far as Executive Council is concerned, relevant provisions related to the constitution of Executive Council are to be found under Section 19 of the Act.

10.9 According to Section 19(1)(i), the Vice-Chancellor is ex-officio chairman of Executive Council. The pro-Vice Chancellor, Director of Higher Education, Director of Technical Education, Director of Health and Medical Services, Dean of a Faculty nominated by the Vice-Chancellor and other members from amongst faculty, university department, affiliated colleges, etc. are other members of Executive Council. The relevant provisions under said Section 19 read thus:-

"19 (1) The Executive Council shall consist of the following member, namely :—

(i) The Vice-Chancellor, Ex-Officio Chairman;

(ii) The Pro-Vice-Chancellor, if any;

(iii)     xxx     xxx

3{(iia) xxx     xxx

(iib)   xxx     xxx

(iv)     to       (ix)     xxx     xxx

(x) Two members of the Academic Council who are teachers elected by the Academic Council from amongst the members of the Court;

(xi)     xxx     xxx

4[(xia)xxx     xxx

(xii)   xxx     xxx

Provided that .....

(2)     xxx     xxx"

10.10 The Executive Council can exercise such powers and shall perform such duties as are prescribed under Clause (i) to (xliii) of sub-section (1) of Section 20. For present purpose, the relevant provisions are the provisions under sub-clause (xxix) and clause (xxx) of sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Act. The said provisions read thus:-

"20. (1) Subject to such conditions as may be prescribed by or under the provisions of this Act, the Executive Council shall exercise the following powers and perform the following duties, namely :—

(xxix) to appoint academic, administrative and other staff of the University, fix their emoluments, and define their duties and conditions of service and to take disciplinary action] against them;

(xxx) to recognise a member of the staff of an affiliated college or recognised or approved institution as a professor, reader, lecturer or teacher of the University and to withdraw such recognition:"

10.11 According to clause (xxix) of Section 20(1), the power to appoint academic, administrative and other staff of the university and the power to define their conditions of service and to take disciplinary action rests with the Executive Council.

10.12 Likewise, the authority to recognise a member of staff as professor or reader or lecturer or teacher and to withdraw such recognition is also conferred on the Executive Council by clause (xxx) of Section 20(1) of the Act.



10.13 So far as Academic Council is concerned, the provision prescribing its composition is under Section 21 of the Act. Sub-section (1) of Section 21 reads thus:-

"[21. (1) The Academic Council shall consist of the following members, namely :-

(i) The Vice-Chancellor, ex-officio Chairman,

2[(ii) The Pro-Vice-Chancellor,]

(iii) The Deans of Faculties;

(iv) to (vii) xxx xxx

Provided that xxx xxx"

According to the said provision, the Vice-Chancellor is ex-officio chairman of Academic Council as well. The pro-Vice-Chancellor, the Deans of Faculties and other members mentioned under clause (iv) to clause (vii) of Section 21(1) are the members of Academic Council.

10.14 Sub-section (1) of Section 22 provides that the Academic Council shall have control and general regulation of, and it shall be responsible for, maintenance of standards of teaching and examinations. Sub-clause (i) to (xviii) of sub-section (2) of Section 22 prescribe the powers which the Academic Council can exercise and the duties which it shall perform. Clause (xvi) prescribes inter alia "to refer any academic matter to the relevant authority or body for consideration".

10.15 At this stage, it is necessary to take into account the provision contained under sub-section (3) of Section 20. The said provision under Section 20 (3) read thus:-

"(3) The powers and duties under clauses (xix) to (xxi), (xxvi) to (xxx), (xxxiii) to (xxxvii), and (xl) of sub-section (1) shall not be exercised by the Executive Council except upon the recommendations made by the Academic Council."

10.16 According to said sub-section (3), the powers and duties

✓ 9

under clauses (xix) to (xxi), (xxvi) to (xxx), (xxxiii) to (xxxvii) and (xl) of sub-section (1) of Section 20, which include the subjects of "service conditions" and "disciplinary action", cannot be exercised by the Executive Council "except upon the recommendations made by the Academic Council."

10.17 Thus, on conjoint reading of Section 20(1) (xxix) and (xxx), Section 21 and Section 20(3) of the Act, it emerges that the authority to define service conditions of and take any disciplinary action against member of academic staff (e.g. respondent No.1) and/or authority to withdraw recognition of teacher of university is conferred only on Executive Council, but such action can be commenced and taken only upon recommendation by Academic Council. Meaning thereby, in the first stage, the Academic Council, in its meeting would resolve to make a particular recommendation to the Executive Council and then in second stage the "recommendation" would be formulated/framed and finalized after due deliberations and discussion amongst the members of academic council and then such finalized "recommendation" would be forwarded to the Executive Council and then the Executive Council would, after having received the recommendation, take appropriate and necessary decision and action having regard to and after considering the "recommendation" made by the Academic Council. This appears to be the scheme of Sections 20(1), 20(3) and 22 of the Act.

10.18 It is relevant to recall and to take into account that by the impugned resolution dated 28.5.2011, which came to be passed in the joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council held on 28.5.2011, it was resolved and decided that, (a) the respondent No.1 should be placed under suspension with immediate effect; and (b) a "fact finding committee" comprising three members, (namely, Mr. Narhari Amin, Dr. Mukul Shah and Dr. Pursottam Marvaniya)

may be constituted for preliminary examination of the complaints and allegations against the respondent No.1, who should submit its report; and (c) the recognition of respondent No.1 as teacher should be cancelled/withdrawn.

10.19 It is specific case of the petitioners, and it is rather an admitted position on part of the petitioners, that the subject matter of the said decisions/actions fall within the scope of clauses (xxix) and (xxx) of Section 20(1) and the said decision and actions have been taken in exercise of powers conferred by virtue of clause (xxix) and (xxx) of Section 20(1) of the Act read with sub-section (3) of Section 20.

10.20 In this view of the matter, i.e. when admittedly the said decisions/actions are, taken in exercise of powers conferred under clause (xxix) and (xxx) of sub-section (1) of Section 20 read with Section 20(3) of the Act, and when the said decisions are said to have been taken during joint meeting of the Executive Council and Academic Council, it becomes relevant and necessary to examine as to whether the Vice-Chancellor has any power conferred by the Act to convene joint meeting of the said two councils or not and whether joint meeting for such purpose could have been held and whether said decisions and actions could have been taken in and by joint meeting of two councils.

11. **Re: Joint Meeting :-**

11.1 The Vice-Chancellor's decision and action of convening and holding joint meeting of the said two Council has given rise to one of the major contentions by respondent viz. the Vice-chancellor does not have any authority in law to convene joint meeting of the said two councils of the university and the business conducted during the joint meeting i.e. the proceedings and the decisions taken and resolutions passed in the joint meeting are unauthorized,

incompetent and bad in law.

11.2 If the decision to convene joint meeting and the joint meeting of the said two councils are found to be unauthorized, then, all consequential and subsequent actions viz. the entire proceedings of said joint meeting and the business transacted in and by the said meeting including the resolutions passed during the meeting would also be unauthorized, unconstitutional and bad in law and they would fall.

12. At first blush, the contention of the petitioners that in view of conjoint reading of Sections 11(2)(a) & (b), 11(4), 11(5), 19(1), 21(1) and 20(3) the Vice-Chancellor can convene joint meetings of Executive Council and Academic Council sounds interesting, however, on closer scrutiny of the aforesaid provisions, it appears that the petitioners are reading certain power or authority in favour of the Vice-Chancellor, which is actually not conferred on him.

13. It further appears that the petitioners are also assuming certain aspects and eventualities which are not provided for by the Legislature and are not contemplated by the existing provisions under the Act or University's Statutes and Ordinance.

14. On consideration of the relevant provisions under the Act and University's statutes and ordinance, it appears that the Legislature has not conferred such power on the Vice-Chancellor and/or on the two Councils to hold, or to meet in, joint meeting and/or to take any decision and pass any resolution in joint meeting in connection with the matters mentioned in clauses referred to in Section 20(3) of the Act.

14.1 Sub-section (2) of Section 11 confers power on the Vice-Chancellor to convene meetings of (a) the Court; (b) the Executive

YY

Council; and (c) the Academic Council. However, the said provision does not expressly provide for, or contemplate, joint meeting of Academic and Executive Councils.

14.2 On the contrary, conjoint reading of relevant provisions makes it clear that the legislature has made provision for express separation of respective powers and duties of the three authorities of the university and the provisions also indicate legislature's intention to maintain separation and independence in functioning of all authorities viz. the Court, the executive council and the academic council.

14.3 There is nothing in the provisions to suggest that joint meeting of the two councils is provided for or contemplated under the Act – particularly in connection with the subjects falling within purview of the clauses referred to in Section 20(3) and/or to suggest that legislature has conferred power on Vice-Chancellor to convene joint meeting of the said two council in connection with the subjects mentioned in the clauses covered under Section 20(3) of the Act.

14.4 The provisions clarify that the Executive Council and Academic Council have to act separately and independently, more particularly in connection with the matters related to clauses referred to in Section 20(3) which include clause (xxix) and clause (xxx).

14.5 If the Legislature had intended that joint meetings / session of the said two councils can be convened and if the Legislature had intended to confer such power on the Vice-Chancellor then, the Legislature would have expressly made such provision and sub-section (2) of Section 11 would have been framed accordingly or there would been clear indication to such effect.

14.6 On consideration of relevant provisions, it comes out that the Legislature has consciously omitted such provision and has consciously not conferred such power on the Vice-Chancellor, more particularly in connection with the matters mentioned in clauses referred to in Section 20(3) of the Act; or on the two councils to meet in joint meeting and to take any joint decision and pass any joint resolution in connection with the matters mentioned in clauses referred to in Section 20(3) of the Act.

14.7 The omission is conscious and intentional. The legislature is assumed to be conscious about the requirements and the legislature makes provision for all eventualities and requirements. The legislature cannot be assumed to be oblivious about the omission.

14.8 Actually, on examination of university's statutes and ordinance, it emerges that while framing the statutes and ordinance, the university also was conscious and aware about this aspect and this position and that therefore, university also has not made provision, under its ordinance and/or statute, for joint meeting of Academic and Executive Council (particularly in respect of the matters mentioned under Section 20(3) of the Act) and under Statutes 79 to 82 and Statutes 91 to 94 the university has prescribed different and separate procedure and requirements, including different quorum for meetings of Academic and Executive Council.

14.9 The provision under Statute 79 to Statute 94 under Chapter III of Statutes of the University provide assistance in explaining and clarifying this aspect. Statute 79, Statute 81 and Statute 82 under Chapter III of the Statutes of the Universities are relevant so far as Executive Council and the procedure prescribed for its meetings

are concerned. The said provisions read thus:-

**"Statute 79**

The Executive Council shall meet ordinarily once a month, and at other times when convened by the Vice-Chancellor, or, in his absence by the Pro-Vice-Chancellor, or in the absence of both, by the senior member amongst the members of the Executive Council.

**Statute 81**

Eight members shall constitute a quorum for a meeting of the Executive Council and all questions shall be decided by a majority of votes of the members present.

**Statute 82**

The Vice-Chancellor, or, in his absence, the Pro-Vice-Chancellor, or in the absence of both, the senior member of the Executive Council shall preside at all meetings of the Executive Council. The Chairman at such meeting, shall have a vote, and in the case of equality of votes, a second or casting vote."

It is provided that the Executive Council shall ordinarily meet once a month and quorum for meeting shall comprise 8 members of Executive Council and in absence of Vice-Chancellor and Pro-Vice-Chancellor, Senior member of Executive Council shall preside over the meeting of the Executive Council.

14.10 So far as the Academic Council and the procedure prescribed for its meetings are concerned, the relevant provision is made under Statute 91 to Statute 94 under Chapter III of the Statutes of the University. Statutes 91, 93 and 94 are relevant for the purpose on hand. The said provisions read thus:-

**"Statute 91**

For the purpose of election of five representatives of University Professors and Heads of University Departments elected by them amongst themselves as members of the Academic Council as required by Section 21(a) (iv), the Registrar shall maintain an Electoral Roll of the University Professors and Heads of University Departments and the election shall be held in accordance with Statutes 158, 159 and 160.

**Statute 93**

The Academic Council will meet ordinarily once in three months and at other time when convened by the Vice-Chancellor, or, in his absence, by the Pro-Vice-Chancellor, or, in the absence of both, by the senior member of the Court on the Academic Council. The Vice-Chancellor, or in his absence the Pro-Vice-Chancellor, or in the absence of both, the senior member of the Court, shall preside at all meetings of the Academic Council.

**Statute 94**

Fifteen members shall constitute a quorum for a meeting of the Academic Council and all questions shall be decided by a majority of votes of the members present at a meeting. The Chairman at such meetings shall have a vote, and in the case of an equality of votes, a second or casting vote."

14

14.11 With reference to academic council it is provided that the meeting of academic council shall be held once in three months and in absence of Vice-Chancellor and Pro-Vice-Chancellor, a senior member of the Court (and not a senior member of Executive Council, as in case of Executive Council) shall preside over the meeting and 15 members of the Academic Council shall comprise quorum for meeting of Academic Council.

14.12 Accordingly, at every stage and for all purposes, different and separate requirements and procedure are consciously prescribed in connection with the two councils and for holding meetings of said two councils. The said provisions under university's statutes neither prescribe nor contemplate joint meetings of the Academic Council and Executive Council. Such authority or power in hands of the Vice-chancellor is not implied also.

15. Besides the aforesaid provisions, the language under Section 20(3) is also clear indication of legislature's intention that the power to hold joint meetings of the said two council in connection with the matters mentioned in the clauses referred under sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act is not conferred on the Vice-Chancellor and exercise of such power is neither prescribed nor permitted and not contemplated. Likewise, joint meeting of the two councils is also not provided for or contemplated or is rather ruled out in connection with the matters covered under Section 20(3) of the Act.

In this view of the matter, the petitioners' contentions on this count can not be accepted.

16. The petitioner's contention and defence with reference to the joint meeting of the two councils and the Vice-Chancellor's power to convene such joint meeting of the two councils overlook the real substance of, and primary requirement under, sub-section (3) of

12/



Section 20 of the Act.

16.1 The said provision under Section 20(3) of the Act prescribes that the powers or duties of the Executive Council under clause (xix) to (xxi); (xxvi) to (xxx), (xxxiii) to (xxxvii) and (xi) shall not be exercised by the Executive Council except upon "recommendation" by the Academic Council.

16.2 Hence, "recommendation" by Academic Council is sine-quo-non for exercising Executive Council's power under clauses mentioned in sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act, more particularly clause (xxix) and clause (xxx) of Section 20(3) of the Act.

16.3 In the said sub-section (3) of Section 20 and in the family of relevant provisions what is vital and material is the word "recommendation" and it is the said term "recommendation" which declares and amplifies the intention of legislature.

16.4 The legislature has consciously used the words "upon Recommendation made by", which more than emphatically establish the requirement of separate meeting and rule out the possibility or option of joint meeting of two councils or of convening and holding joint meeting and they also rule out the scope for the contention that joint meeting of the two councils in connection with the matters covered under clauses mentioned in Section 20(3) of the Act is permissible or contemplated and/or that power to convene and hold joint meetings in connection with matters under Section 20(3) of the Act vests in or is conferred on the Vice-Chancellor.

16.5 The provision requiring the Academic Council to make "recommendation" postulates and requires that the Academic

86

Council must independently and without participation of or without consultation with or without influence of any other body (i.e. the Court or the senate or even the Executive Council) consider, and deliberate on, any issue in its separate meeting (which should be convened and conducted in accordance with the provisions contained under statute 91, 93 and 94) and after independent, unbiased and uninfluenced deliberations make "recommendation" to the Executive Council.

16.6 When a body or a person is conferred with the right to make "recommendation" it would follow that "recommendation" can be and should be made after independently considering and examining particular issue with reference to which the body or the person proposes to (or is required to) make any recommendation and the said process i.e. the process of formulating and finalizing "recommendation" should not be undertaken jointly and/or in consultation with or as a result of/after discussions with the body or the person who has to receive such recommendation (i.e. to whom such recommendation is to be made).

Thus, the recommendation should not only be made without any outside influence and without any bias but it should necessarily precede the decision i.e. the "recommendation" shall be made before the body (whose decision or action are made subject to or dependent upon recommendation of other body or person or authority) begins its decision making process.

16.7 In the event of joint meeting of the two councils, what would ensue would be merely "joint discussion" and "joint decision" but not independent "recommendation" (uninfluenced by presence and views of those who are not members of Academic Council") of Academic Council. So as to avoid such eventuality and to guard against such anomalous situation, the Legislature advisedly did not provide for joint meeting of the said two bodies / authorities.

40

16.8 By selection and use of the word "recommendation", the legislature made its intention clear that it has advisedly not made any provision for joint meeting of the said two authorities, more particularly in connection with the subjects covered under the clauses of Section 20(1) mentioned in Section 20(3) of the Act.

16.9 Therefore, when the legislature has prescribed the requirement of "recommendation" in connection with the matters covered under the clauses mentioned in Section 20(3) then, it cannot be made during the joint meeting of the body or authority who is authorized to make recommendation and the body or authority who is obliged to receive the recommendation.

16.10 The recommendation by the academic council should precede the decision making process by the executive council so that the executive council can take any decision or action against any member of academic staff of the university (regarding any matter falling under the said two clauses) after considering the recommendation by the Academic Council.

16.11 If joint meeting of the body authorized to make recommendation and the body who has to receive the recommendation are held, then, the purity and transparency of making "recommendation" can not be maintained.

16.12 A joint meeting of executive council and academic council would frustrate the requirement of the provision contained under sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act and its real object would be lost and destroyed.

17. The object of the said provision under subsection (3) of Section 20 is to ensure independent deliberation by the two bodies  
Le

29

and also to ensure that any scope of bias on part of either academic council or on part of executive council against any member of academic staff of the university is completely eliminated. The other object of legislature behind separating the process of taking decision about action against any member of academic staff of university under clause (xxix) and / or (xxx) of Section 20 of the Act from the meeting of Academic Council appears to ensure that the process of discussion and deliberation in the process of making recommendation by Academic Council is not influenced or affected, in any manner, by the views and considerations of the Executive Council.

18. In present case, even according to the petitioners, the action against the respondent No.1 is initiated in connection with matters related to the subject within purview of clause (xxix) and clause (xxx) of sub-section (1) of Section 20 and the said action is taken by the Executive Council in exercise of the power vested in it by virtue of said clause (xxix) and clause (xxx) of Section 20 read with sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act. Thus, the said action could not have been initiated and the powers under clause (xxix) and/or (xxx) could not have been exercised by Executive Council without "recommendation" of the Academic Council.

19. It is trite law that when a particular thing is required to be done and performed in particular and prescribed manner then it should be done and performed in only that manner and not in any other manner otherwise it should not be done at all. It is relevant to note that the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Bhavnagar University v. Palitana Sugar Mill (P) Ltd. & Ors. [(2003) 2 SCC 111]** has observed in para-40 of the said decision that:-

"40. The statutory interdict of use and enjoyment of the property must be strictly construed. It is well settled that when a statutory authority is required to do a thing in a particular manner, the same must be done in that manner or not at all. The State and other authorities while acting under the said Act are only creature of statute. They must act within the four corners thereof."

(emphasis supplied)

25

At this stage, reference can also be made to the decision by Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Ram Phal Kundu v. Kamal Sharma [(2004) 2 SCC 759]**, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has observed in para-12 that:-

"12. Paras 13 and 13-A of the Symbols Order lay down the mechanism for ascertaining when a candidate shall be deemed to be set up by a political party and also the procedure for substitution of a candidate. The opening part of para 13 says in unequivocal terms that for the purpose of an election for any Parliamentary or Assembly Constituency a candidate shall be deemed to be set up by a political party if and only if the conditions mentioned in sub-paragraphs (a) to (e) are satisfied. Para 13-A lays down the procedure for substitution of a candidate and also the requirements of a revised notice in Form B. The second proviso to this paragraph takes care of a situation where more than one notice in Form B is received by the Returning Officer and the political party fails to indicate in such notices in Form B that the earlier notice or notices have been rescinded. Thus, paras 13 and 13-A are exhaustive and lay down the complete procedure for determining whether a candidate has been set up by a political party. The Rule laid down in Taylor v. Taylor, 1876 (1) Ch D 426 that where a power is given to do a certain thing in a certain way, the thing must be done in that way or not at all and that other methods of performance are necessarily forbidden was adopted for the first time in India by the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council in Nazir Ahmad v. King Emperor, AIR 1936 PC 253. The question for consideration was whether the oral evidence of a Magistrate regarding the confession made by an accused, which had not been recorded in accordance with the statutory provisions viz. Section 164, Cr. P. C. would be admissible. The First Class Magistrate made rough notes of the confessional statements of the accused which he made on the spot and thereafter he prepared a memo from the rough notes which was put in evidence. The Magistrate also gave oral evidence of the confession made to him by the accused. The procedure of recording confession in accordance with Section 164, Cr. P. C. had not been followed. It was held that Section 164, Cr. P. C. having made specific provision for recording of the confession, oral evidence of the Magistrate and the memorandum made by him could not be taken into consideration and had to be rejected. In State of U. P. v. Singhara Singh, AIR 1964 SC 358, a Second Class Magistrate not specially empowered, had recorded confessional statement of the accused under Section 164, Cr. P. C. The said confession being inadmissible, the prosecution sought to prove the same by the oral evidence of the Magistrate, who deposed about the statement given by the accused. Relying upon the rule laid down in Taylor v. Taylor (supra) and Nazir Ahmad v. King Emperor (supra) it was held that Section 164, Cr. P. C. which conferred on a Magistrate the power to record statements or confessions, by necessary implication, prohibited a Magistrate from giving oral evidence of the statements or confessions made to him. This principle has been approved by this Court in a series of decisions and the latest being by a Constitution Bench in Commissioner of Income-tax v. Anjum M. H. Ghaswala, 2002 (1) SCC 633 (Para 27). Applying the said principle, we are of the opinion that the question as to who shall be deemed to have been set up by a political party has to be determined strictly in accordance with paras 13 and 13-A of the Symbols Order and extrinsic evidence cannot be looked into for this purpose unless it is pleaded that the signature of the authorised person on Form B had been obtained from him under threat or by playing fraud upon him. Where signature is obtained under threat or by playing fraud, it will be a nullity in the eyes of law and the document would be void."

(emphasis supplied)

Similarly, in the decision in case of **Union of India & Anr. v. Shardindu [(2007) 6 SCC 276]**, the Hon'ble Apex Court has

observed in para-20 that:-

"20. As against this, learned senior counsel for the respondent, Mr. Gupta has strenuously urged before us that in case of statutory appointment there is no scope to cut short except to terminate the services of the incumbent in the manner provided under the Act. In this connection, our attention was invited to a decision of this Court in Sukhdev Singh and Ors. v. Bhagatram Sardar Singh Raghuvanshi and Anr. etc. [ (1975) 1 SCC 421] wherein the Constitution Bench held that the termination of service of an incumbent by the Corporation created by statute without complying with the regulations framed by the Corporation cannot be made. The reason was that the termination contravened the provisions contained in the Regulations. In short, when the appointment is made, the service conditions are laid down. The termination of such appointment could only be made in the manner provided in the statute and by no other way. Once the regulations have been framed and detailed procedure laid down therein, then in that case if the services of an incumbent are required to be terminated then that can only be done in the manner provided and none else. Similar view has been taken in the case of State of Kerala v. Mathai Verghese and Ors. [(1986) 4 SCC 746]. Therefore, in this background, we are of opinion that the submission of learned Additional Solicitor General cannot be sustained." (emphasis supplied)

Even with reference to the procedure for recording a confession laid down in the Code of Criminal Procedure, the Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **State of Uttar Pradesh v. Singhara Singh & Ors.** [AIR 1964 SC 358], more particularly in para-8 of the said decision observed thus:-

"8. .... Its result is that if a statute has conferred a power to do an act and has laid down the method in which that power has to be exercised, it necessarily prohibits the doing of the act in any other manner than that which has been prescribed. The principle behind the rule is that if this were not so, the statutory provision might as well not have been enacted. A magistrate, therefore, cannot in the course of investigation record a confession except in the manner laid down in S. 164...." (emphasis supplied)

19.1 In this view of the matter, power which is not conferred by law cannot be read into the said provisions, particularly in sub-section (2) of Section 11 more so, in face of the object of and mandate of sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act. In present case provisions U/Secs. 11(2), 19, 20(1), 20(3) & 22 r.w. Statutes 79, 81, 82, 91, 93 and 94 prescribe the procedure for holding meetings of the two councils and for taking action against member of academic staff, particularly in the matters covered under clauses mentioned in Section 20(3) and that therefore, the action could not have been taken in any other manner or by following any other procedure.

20. In view of the forgoing discussion and reasons, the petitioners' contention and submission that joint meeting of the

47

two councils can be held and/or that the Vice-Chancellor has power and authority in law to convene and conduct joint meeting/session of the said two councils in connection with the matters covered under clauses mentioned in Section 20(3) of the Act, is not sustainable. The relevant provisions do not admit such possibility or eventuality. Therefore, the said contention cannot be accepted.

21. The above referred provisions and the scheme under the Act and the statutes and ordinance bring out and clarify that the Act does not prescribe or contemplate joint meeting of Academic and Executive council and any power to convene joint meeting of said two authorities of the university is not conferred on Vice-Chancellor or on any officer of university. Thus, it follows that the joint meeting convened and held by Vice-Chancellor on 28.5.2011 was unauthorized and also that the Vice-Chancellor acted (in convening joint meeting of the two councils) without authority in law.

21.1 Therefore, as a corollary, it would also follow that the joint meeting of the two councils and the proceedings of the said meeting are unauthorized and the business conducted in and by the said joint meeting, including the resolutions passed during the said meeting, do not have force of law and they could not have been and/or cannot be enforced or acted upon and they are not sustainable. Consequently, the resolution passed in the joint meeting held on 28.5.2011 and the actions taken pursuant to and on the strength of the resolution passed during said meeting held on 28.5.2011 are without force of law and without authority in law and the challenge against the learned tribunal's decision whereby the resolution and the actions have been, though for different reasons, but rightly set aside, does not deserve to be and cannot be accepted and the said decision, to that extent, does not deserve to be disturbed.

22. The petitioners have also defended the action of convening and holding joint meeting of the two councils on the ground that  
48

any prejudice is not caused to the respondent No.1 on account of the joint meeting of the two councils.

22.1 Actually, when the proceedings, decision and action are found to be without authority in law, the said objection pales into insignificance. However, on this count, it is necessary to note that when statutory body is required to make unbiased recommendation to another statutory body, then, not only such recommendation should be free of bias and influence of any nature and in any form, but it should also appear to be unbiased and uninfluenced.

22.2 Besides this, sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act confers a right on member of academic staff that the Academic Council shall independently consider as to whether recommendation should be made to Executive Council or not and if it should be made then what should be the recommendation.

22.3 The said Section provides for two-tier-consideration of an issue i.e. consideration at two stages and by two authorities/bodies (Academic and Executive Council). This way the legislature has provided protection to member of academic staff in connection with his/her service conditions and he/she are assured that the two council shall independently consider any issue related to service conditions of any member of Academic Staff.

22.4 This is a substantial right conferred by the Act and cannot be diluted by the Vice-Chancellor.

22.5 A joint meeting would compromise the said provision and protection under sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act and the right to expect and receive independent consideration of an issue by two council would stand diluted. Denial of such opportunity and right would amount to prejudice.



22.6 In present case, in view of the fact that the impugned resolution is passed and impugned decision and actions have been taken in joint meeting of the two councils, the respondent No.1 has reason to believe that the view of the Academic Council or its agreement could have been influenced by and could have been biased on account of presence of members of Executive Council.

22.7 Therefore, the contention that any prejudice is not caused to the respondent No.1, cannot be accepted.

23. When the Court comes to the conclusion that the Vice-Chancellor's decision and action of convening and holding joint meeting of the Executive Council and Academic Council was unauthorized and contrary to relevant provisions since the provisions under the Act or University's statutes and ordinance do not provide for, or contemplate, joint meeting of the said two authorities / bodies, then, the said meeting and the proceedings of the said meeting as well as the business conducted during the said joint meeting including the resolutions passed during the said joint meeting would fall and they are rendered unsustainable, unauthorized and incompetent and the actions taken pursuant to or on the basis and on strength of or in furtherance of such decisions/resolution, i.e. placing the respondent under suspension and withdrawing the recognition as university teacher would also fall and can not survive and that therefore, the petitioner's contentions in support and defence of the decision and action of convening joint meeting and in support and defence of the resolution and the aforesaid actions taken pursuant to the resolution passed in such meeting cannot be and are not accepted. The petition, on that count and to that extent, fails and is not accepted.

23.1 In that event, it is, as such, not necessary to examine the petitioner's case against the learned tribunal's findings as regards

the other contentions or objections that, (a) in view of the provisions contained under clause 18 of Ordinance 172, the continuation of suspension beyond period of 6 months is unjustified and unauthorized as well as the contention that (b) the power of Registrar could not have been delegated to the Dean of Science Faculty and the communication by respondent No.4 and the objection that (c) withdrawal of recognition as university teacher are therefore, unauthorized; because when the joint meeting is held to be unauthorized, the said aspects would automatically fall and would not survive. However, despite its decision regarding the meeting the learned tribunal pronounced its decision as regards said aspects as well and the said part of learned tribunal's decision is challenged by the petitioners, therefore, it is necessary to briefly deal with the said aspects.

24. The respondent No.1 raised objection against the delegation of registrar's power to a dean claiming, inter alia, that the order dated 31.5.2011 placing the respondent No.1 under suspension was conveyed in the first instance, by registrar in-charge i.e. the respondent No.3 and then it was forwarded by respondent No.4 i.e. dean of science faculty vide order dated 31.5.2011 under his signature, however, since only registrar of the university can conduct official correspondence of executive council, the actions by the respondent No.4 are unauthorized in view of clause (c) of Statute 133.

24.1 On this count, it is noticed from the record that in view of the allegations by the respondent No.1 against the vice chancellor as well as against the registrar, it was resolved considering it necessary and appropriate to keep the vice chancellor and the registrar out of the entire process and proceedings and that therefore, the authority to take necessary actions and steps should be assigned to some other officer. Hence, the Executive Council

cc

itself assigned the said function to the Dean of faculty of science.

24.2 Thus, when, for reasons recorded by the executive council, and more particularly out of necessity, if the responsibility of taking necessary steps and actions in connection with the resolution/decision of the Executive Council is assigned by the executive council to the dean of science faculty then merely because the resolution and the decision of the executive council was forwarded and conveyed to the respondent by dean of science faculty under his signature, the said action can not be said to be unauthorized and it would not vitiate and invalidate the resolution.

24.3 On this count, the submission of the petitioners that the conclusion and decision of the learned tribunal holding that the respondent No.4 could not have communicated and forwarded the decision and resolution of the executive council to the respondent No.1 as the said power is conferred only on registrar and there is no provision for delegation of registrar's power to a dean of any faculty, is incorrect, is justified and has to be accepted and the learned tribunal's decision to that extent cannot be sustained. However, it is clarified that since the meeting in which such decision (i.e. to authorize respondent No.4 to take necessary actions) was taken and resolution was passed, is found to be unauthorized, entire business transacted in the said meeting would also fall and would not survive. Therefore, the said decision and actions taken on the basis of said decision would, in any case, fall and they would, otherwise also, not survive.

**25. Re: Right to suspend:-**

25.1 So far as the next contention against continued period of suspension of the respondent No.1 is concerned, it is claimed that in view of the provisions under Ordinance 172 Clause 18(b), his suspension beyond 6 months is contrary to the said provision and therefore, unauthorized. Per contra, the petitioners have submitted

uc-

that the provision under Ordinance 172 Clause 18(b) does not apply to the respondent No.1 inasmuch as the said provision is applicable to the teachers of affiliated colleges and recognized institutions and not to the teachers of the petitioner university.

25.2 In response to the said submission, the respondent No.1 would contend that in that event, there is no provision authorizing the petitioner university to place any teacher of university under suspension and that therefore, suspension of the respondent No.1 is, from inception, unauthorized and bad in law. The said submission is countered by petitioners with the claim that the university has adopted relevant provisions under Gujarat Civil Service Rules for the said purpose.

25.3 As mentioned earlier, since this Court has reached the conclusion that the action of convening joint meeting of two councils was unauthorized and incompetent, all actions, decisions, resolutions, the meeting as well as the proceedings of the meeting and the business transacted during and by the said meeting including the impugned resolution and the decision to place the respondent No.1 under suspension and withdrawing his recognition would also fall as they also do not have force and support of law.

25.4 So far as the issue about the petitioner's power or right to suspend the respondent No.1 and/or legality of the suspension are concerned, it is relevant to refer to the observations by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the decision in case of **The Management Hotel Imperial, New Delhi & Ors. v. Hotel Workers' Union** [AIR 1959 SC 1342] wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court observed that:-

"10. The first question therefore that falls for consideration is the extent of the power of the employer to suspend an employee under the ordinary law of master and servant. It is now well settled that the power to suspend, in the sense of a right to forbid a servant to work, is not an implied term in an ordinary contract between master and servant, and that such a power can only be the creature either of a statute governing the contract or of an express term in the contract itself. Ordinarily, therefore the absence of such power either as an express term in the contract or in the rules framed under some statute.

50

would mean that the master would have no power to suspend a workman and even if he does so in the sense that he forbids the employee to work, he will have to pay wages during the so-called period of suspension. Where, however, there is power to suspend either in the contract of employment or in the statute or the rules framed there under, the suspension has the effect of temporarily suspending the relation of master and servant with the consequence that the servant is not bound to render service and the master is not bound to pay."

(emphasis supplied)

It emerges from the said observation that the power to forbid an employee to work should be available to the employer either by virtue of contract of employment or under the statute and if the employer suspends an employee, in absence of such power, then, he would be obliged to pay full wages to his employee during the period of suspension.

25.5 The said issue was subsequently considered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case between **T. Cajee v. U. Jormonik Siem & Anr.** [AIR 1961 SC 276] wherein the above referred decision in the case of The Management Hotel Imperial is further explained by the Hon'ble Apex Court with the observations that :-

"10. ....But it does not follow from this that the appointment or removal of a chief is a legislative act or that no appointment or removal can be made without there being first a law to that effect. The High Court also seems to have thought that as there was no provision in the Sixth Schedule in terms of Arts. 73 and 162 of the Constitution the administrative power of the District Council would not extend to the subject enumerated in paragraph 3(1). Now para 2(4) provides that the administration of an autonomous district shall vest in the District Council and this in our opinion is comprehensive enough to include all such executive powers as are necessary to be exercised for the purposes of the administration of the district. It is true that where executive power impinges upon the rights of citizens it will have to be backed by an appropriate law; but where executive power is concerned only with the personnel of the administration it is not necessary - even though it may be desirable - that there must be laws, rules or regulations governing the appointment of those who would carry on the administration under the control of the District Council. .... But from this it does not follow that till the regulations were made or the laws were passed, there could be no appointment or dismissal of the personnel of the administration. In our opinion, the authorities concerned would at all relevant times have the power to appoint or remove administrative personnel under the general power of administration vested in them by the Sixth Schedule. The view therefore taken by the High Court that there could be no appointment or removal by the District Council without a law having been first passed in that behalf under para. 3(1) (g) cannot be sustained.

14. It is urged on the basis of these observations that in any case the respondent could not be suspended. Suspension is of two kinds. In the first place, suspension may be as a punishment, but the present is not a case of this kind of suspension; in the second place interim suspension may be made pending inquiry into a case where removal is the result sought. It was this type

82

of interim suspension which was dealt with in the case of Hotel Imperial, (1960) 1 SCR 476 : (AIR 1959 SC 1342) and it was pointed out that without an express term in the contract or without some provision of a statute or the rules there could not be interim suspension in the sense that the master could withhold the wages of the servant. But that case did not lay down that the master could not forbid the servant from working while he was inquiring into his conduct with a view to removing him from service. It was specifically said there that if the master does so namely, forbids the servant to work and thus in fact suspends him as an interim measure he will have to pay the wages during the period of interim suspension. These wages or payment for the work done or emolument of the office held could not be withheld in whole or in part unless there is power to make an order of interim suspension either in the contract of employment or in the statute or the rules framed thereunder. The effect of that decision is that in the absence of such power the master can pass an order of interim suspension but he will have to pay the servant according to the terms of contract between them. In the present case the terms and conditions communicated to the respondent do not indicate an express term giving power to the District Council to make an order of interim suspension while inquiring into the conduct of the respondent with a view to his ultimate removal. No statute or rules framed thereunder have been brought to our notice which authorised interim suspension having the effect of withholding remuneration in whole or in part. In the circumstances therefore though an order of interim suspension could be made against the respondent while inquiry into his conduct with a view to his ultimate removal is going on, his remuneration according to the terms and conditions communicated to him cannot be withheld unless there is some statute or rules framed thereunder which would justify the withholding of the whole or part of the remuneration. So far therefore as there is no statute or rule thereunder the remuneration cannot be withheld from the respondent even though an order of interim suspension, in the sense he is told not to do the work of his office, may be made against him. The order of interim suspension therefore passed in this case on July 7, 1959, would be valid subject of course to the respondent being paid the full remuneration unless the District Council can legitimately withhold the whole or part of it under some statute or rules framed thereunder, there being undoubtedly no express contract to that effect in this case."

(emphasis supplied)

Thus, the right to stop the employee from discharging his duties is not an implied term in ordinary contract between the employer and employee and such power should be available to the employer either under the statute governing the contract or by way of an express term in the employment contract.

25.6 In case of **V.P.Gindroniya v. State of Madhya Pradesh & Anr.** [AIR 1970 SC 1494] the Hon'ble Apex Court considered the decisions in case of The Management Hotel Imperial and in case of T.Cajee and explained the right to suspend an employee and consequence of suspending an employee in different situation – circumstances. The Hon'ble Apex Court observed that:-

"7. The legal position as regards a master's right to place his servants under suspension is now well settled by the decisions of this Court. In Management of Hotel Imperial, New Delhi v. Hotel Workers' Union, (1960) 1 SCR 476 = (AIR

1959 SC 1342), the question whether a master could suspend his servant during the pendency of an enquiry came up for consideration by this Court. Therein this Court observed that it was well settled that under the ordinary law of master and servant the power to suspend the servant without pay could not be implied as a term in an ordinary contract of service between the master and the servant but must arise either from an express term in the contract itself or a statutory provision governing such contract. It was further observed therein that ordinarily in the absence of such a power either in express terms in the contract or under the rules framed under some statute would mean that the master would have no power to suspend a workman and even if he does so in the sense that he forbids the employee to work he will have to pay the wages during the so-called period of suspension. Where, however, there is power to suspend either in the contract of employment or in the statute or the rules framed thereunder, the suspension has the effect of temporarily suspending the relationship of master and the servant with the consequence that the servant is not bound to render service and the master is not bound to pay.

8. The same view was reiterated by this Court in *T. Cajee v. U. Jormanik Siem*, (1961) 1 SCR 750 = (AIR 1961 SC 276). The rule laid down in the above decisions was followed by this Court in *R. P. Kapur v. Union of India*, (1964) 5 SCR 431 = (AIR 1964 SC 787). The law on the subject was exhaustively reviewed in *Balvantray Ratilal Patel v. State of Maharashtra*, (1968) 2 SCR 577 = (AIR 1968 SC 800). Therein the legal position was stated as thus: The general principle is that an employer can suspend an employee of his pending an enquiry into his misconduct and the only question that can arise in such a suspension will relate to the payment of his wages during the period of such suspension. It is now well settled that the power to suspend, in the sense of a right to forbid a employee to work, is not an implied term in an ordinary contract between master and servant, and that such a power can only be the creature either of a statute governing the contract, or of an express term in the contract itself. Ordinarily, therefore, the absence of such a power either as an express term in the contract or in the rules framed under some statute would mean that an employer would have no power to suspend an employee of his even if he does so in the sense that he forbids the employee to work, he will have to pay the employee's wages during the period of suspension. Where, however, there is power to suspend either in the contract of employment or in the statute or the rules framed thereunder, the order of suspension has the effect of temporarily suspending the relationship of master and servant with the consequence that the servant is not bound to render service and the master is not bound to pay. It is equally well settled that an order of interim suspension can be passed against the employee while an enquiry is pending into his conduct even though there is no such term in the contract of employment or in the rules, but in such a case the employee would be entitled to his remuneration for the period of suspension if there is no statute or rule under which, it could be withheld. The distinction between suspending the contract of a service of a servant and suspending him from performing the duties of his office on the basis that the contract is subsisting is important. The suspension in the latter case is always an implied term in every contract of service. When an employee is suspended in this sense, it means that the employer merely issues a direction to him that he should not do the service required of him during a particular period. In other words the employer is regarded as issuing an order to the employee which because the contract is subsisting, the employee must obey."

(emphasis supplied)

26. In light of the position explained by the Apex Court, it becomes necessary to ascertain whether there is any provision either in its regulation or under respondent's employment contract which confers power on the university to place the respondent No.1 under suspension, or not. By virtue of its defence that the provision under Clause 18(b) of



Ordinance 172 is not applicable in case of respondent No.1, the university itself has admitted, which establishes the fact, that under the statutes or under the ordinance or under employment contract, there is no provision conferring power on the petitioner university or its authorities/officers to suspend member of academic staff of the university. Any provision conferring such power is not shown from the Act or ordinance or statute. It is not even the case of the university that such power flows from employment contract of respondent No.1. However, the petitioner university has taken recourse under resolution adopting the provisions under the Gujarat Civil Service Rules so far as the power to suspend an employee is concerned.

26.1 It is claimed that the university has adopted relevant provisions under the Gujarat Civil Service Rules which confer authority to suspend an employee. The petitioner has not been able to dispute or disprove the said assertion. Therefore, the Court has proceeded on the premise that the relevant provisions related to subject of suspension contained under the Gujarat Civil Services Rules, are adopted by the petitioner university and therefore, such powers are available to the petitioner university.

26.2 In this view of the matter, it would be relevant to take into account the provisions contained under Rule-5 of Part-II of the Gujarat Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1971, which reads thus:-

**"5. Suspension :-**

(1) The appointing authority or any authority to which it is subordinate or the disciplinary authority or any other authority empowered by Government in that behalf may place a Government servant under suspension :

(a) Where a disciplinary proceeding against him is contemplated or is pending,

Provided that, where a Government Servant against whom disciplinary proceeding is contemplated is suspended, such suspension shall not be valid unless before the expiry of a period of ninety days from which the Government was suspended, disciplinary proceeding is initiated against him,

Provided further that the Government or any other authority empowered by

CL



the government by special or general order may at any time before the expiry of the said period of ninety days and after considering the special circumstances for not initiating disciplinary proceedings, to be recorded in writing extend the period of suspension beyond the period of ninety days without disciplinary proceeding being initiated:

Provided also that such extension of suspension shall not be for a period of ninety days at a time.

(b) Where a case against him in respect of any criminal offence .....

Provided that .....

(2) A Government servant shall be deemed to have been placed under suspension by an order of appointing authority -

- (a) with effect from the date of his detention, .....
- (b) with effect from the date of his conviction.....

Explanation :- xxx      xxx

(2A) An order of suspension made or deemed to have been made under this rule shall be reviewed by the authority competent to modify or revoke the suspension, before expiry of ninety days from @ the effective date of suspension. After such review, the competent authority may pass an order either extending or revoking the suspension. The subsequent reviews shall be made before expiry of the extended period of suspension. The extension of suspension shall not be for a period exceeding one hundred and eighty days, at a time.

\*(...) an order of suspension made or deemed to have been made under sub-rule (1) or (2) of this rule, shall not be valid after a period of ninety days unless it is extended after review, for a further period before the expiry of ninety days.

+ Provided that no such review of suspension shall be necessary in the case of deemed suspension under sub-rule (2), if the Government servant continues to be under suspension at the time of completion of ninety days of suspension and the ninety days period in such case will be count from the date the Government servant detained in custody is released from detention or the date on which the fact of his release from detention is intimated to his appointing authority, whichever is latter.

- (3)    xxx    xxx
- (4)    xxx    xxx
- (5)    xxx    xxx"

26.3 On plain reading of the said provision, it comes out that power to suspend an employee pending departmental proceedings or even when such proceedings are contemplated, are conferred on and are available to the employer in view of the said provision. Therefore, when the petitioner university is said to have adopted the said provision, the said power would also be available to the petitioner university. The said provision also provides the rate at which the employee under suspension would be paid his salary/suspension allowance during the period he remains under

६८

suspension.

26.4 In light of the fact that the petitioner university has adopted relevant provisions under GCSR, the conclusion of the learned tribunal that the petitioner university has no authority to place the respondent No.1 under suspension can not be sustained. However, the Court would hasten to add that since the said power has not been exercised in accordance with law, i.e. in accordance with the provisions contained under sub-section (3) of Section 20 r.w. Section 20(1) and Clause (xxix) and (xxx) of Section 20(1), the decision and action of placing the respondent No.1 under suspension is rendered illegal and unauthorized. Therefore, the said decision and action of placing the respondent No.1 under suspension should fall, not for want of power, but on account of irregular and arbitrary exercise of power, which is impermissible in view of the provisions contained under sub-section (3) of Section 20 of the Act. Since the meeting wherein the resolution placing the respondent No.1 under suspension was unauthorized, the resolutions passed in the meeting and the actions taken on strength of or as result of the resolutions, would, otherwise also, automatically fall and they cannot survive. Thus, the action of placing respondent No.1 under suspension falls and does not survive. Hence, the petition seeking decision and declaration that the said action may be declared legal cannot be accepted and entertained.

27. The petitioners have also challenged the conclusion by the learned tribunal that there is no order of suspension. It is claimed by the petitioners that the resolution passed in the joint meeting held on 28.5.2011 is very clear and the tribunal is in error in holding that any resolution to place respondent No.1 under suspension was not passed in the said meeting.

27.1 On this count, the learned tribunal failed to appreciate that it is one thing to contend that joint meeting could not have been convened and such resolution could not have been passed in joint meeting, and another to contend that any resolution to place respondent No.1 under suspension was not passed in the meeting. The two aspects are distinct. Likewise, it is one thing to contend that the resolution (placing the respondent No.1 under suspension) in question is not legal but is unauthorized, and another to hold that resolution placing respondent No.1 under suspension was not passed at all in the said joint meeting.

27.2 Having regard to the text of the resolution passed in the joint meeting held on 28.5.2011, as well as its object and its effect, it cannot be said that any resolution to place the respondent No.1 under suspension was not passed by the said joint meeting held on 28.5.2011.

27.3 A resolution passed by the council cannot be construed and interpreted like the provisions under any statute. Such resolutions have to be examined and understood in view of the purpose of the meeting and the object of the resolution.

From the agenda of the meeting reading with sub-para (4) of para-3 of resolution, it becomes clear that the decisions covered under clause (1) to (4) of para-3 of the resolution, were unanimously taken in the meeting. True it is that the said joint meeting itself, and the proceedings of the meeting are, for the foregoing reasons, not sustainable. However, the said illegality can not lead to conclusion that such resolution was not passed at all, but at the same time, the said illegality would lead to the conclusion that the resolution stands vitiated and is rendered unsustainable. Therefore, while the learned tribunal is right and justified in holding that the petitioner's decision and action of placing the respondent No.1 under suspension is not legal and just,

but the conclusion and observations by the learned tribunal that any resolution to suspend the respondent No.1 was not passed at all is contrary to record.

28. Learned tribunal has, in the impugned order dated 13.10.2011, held that, (a) there is no provision in the Act or statute or ordinance conferring powers on the Registrar / In-charge Registrar or Vice-Chancellor to call and hold joint meeting of Executive Council and Academic Council, especially to consider the subject matter of conditions of service of the university employee or withdrawal of recognition as teacher; and (b) upon reading the contents of the resolution, it does not appear that it was resolved to suspend the applicant (i.e. present respondent No.1) and that nowhere in the resolution it is stated that "it is resolved to suspend the applicant" and that therefore, the communication dated 31.5.2011 by Dr. B.V.Patel that the applicant is put under suspension, cannot be sustained; and (c) there is no provision in view of which Vice-Chancellor or Registrar can confer or delegate their duty to anyone else and that therefore, authorization in favour of Dr. B.V.Patel cannot be sustained.

28.1 The learned tribunal has, having reached such conclusion, quashed the resolution No.2 dated 28.5.2011 and the communication dated 31.5.2011 by Dr. B.V.Patel is declared illegal and has directed the Vice-Chancellor, In-charge Registrar and the university to permit the respondent No.1 to resume his duties.

28.2 As regards the authority to convene and hold joint meeting of Academic Council and Executive Council, more particularly in connection with the matters covered under Clauses mentioned in sub-section (3) of Section 20, learned tribunal has, though for different reasons, reached correct conclusion in holding that such power is not conferred on Vice-Chancellor. In light of the relevant

Q.22

56

provisions under the Act, statute and ordinance of the university, it has emerged that the Vice-Chancellor or any other authority does not have any power conferred by the Act to convene joint meeting of the Academic Council and Executive Council in connection with the matters falling within clause of Section 20(1) which are mentioned in sub-section (3) of Section 20. Therefore, the said conclusion and the direction to permit the respondent to resume his duties cannot be faulted and is not disturbed. To that extent, the petition fails and it can not be accepted and is not accepted. Since, for the foregoing reasons, the joint meeting held on 28.5.2011 is held and declared unauthorized, the proceedings of the meeting and the business transacted in and by the said meeting, including the resolutions passed in joint meeting also become unauthorized. Consequently, the respondent's suspension and withdrawal of the recognition as teacher would also fall and can not survive and/or cannot be sustained.

28.3 The observations and findings by the learned tribunal as regards the authorization in favour of Dr. B.V.Patel and the observations and conclusion that the resolution No.2 dated 28.5.2011 does not contain decision to place the respondent No.1 under suspension are erroneous, however, since the joint meeting itself, wherein the resolution (including the resolution placing the respondent under suspension and the resolution to authorize Dr. B.V.Patel) came to be passed was convened and held without authority in law, the said decisions and actions by the petitioners automatically fall and otherwise also, they could not have been enforced and/or could not have been acted upon and/or can not be allowed to continue.

29. In view of the foregoing discussion and for the reasons recorded in present order, the petition fails and is not accepted. The joint meeting held on 28.5.2011, the proceedings of the said

56

joint meeting and the business transacted by and in the said joint meeting, including the resolution in question, are without authority and force of law, incompetent and void. Consequently, the challenge against the learned tribunal's decision in connection with the actions taken pursuant to and on the strength of the said resolution cannot be accepted and sustained.

In the result, the learned tribunal's decision against university's decision and action of placing the respondent No.1 under suspension and withdrawing his recognition as teacher of the university is not disturbed and the petition is not accepted. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed off. Rule is discharged.

(K.M.Thaker, J.)

kdc..

90

FREE COPY

UR/21/2-8

D. H. S.  
RegistrarBEFORE THE HON'BLE GUJARAT UNIVERSITIES SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT AHMEDABAD

અરજી મળ્યા તારીખ 12/5/11

નકલ લેવાર ચકા તારીખ 12/5/11

નકલ આપ્યા તારીખ 12/5/11

ફાઈલ ની સહી Dr. Pradeep P. Prajapati

APPLICATION NO. 47 OF 2011

... Applicant

Vs.

Dr. Parimal H. Trivedi,  
Vice Chancellor & Ors.

... Opponents

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT: 26:-  
08/10/2012

1. This application was adjourned to sine die vide this Tribunal's order dated 23/12/2011 passed below Exhibit: 22.

2. Applicant moved Misc. application 5/10/2012 on administrative side and got this matter listed on today's board.

As per the endorsement appearing on Misc. application, intimation was given to Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai appearing for opponent No.2 and 4 and Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya appearing for opponent No.1. In response to that both Ld. Advocates are present.



3. Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai is requested to see that his V.P. is filed on behalf of opponent No.2 on the record of case on next date.

4. Applicant submitted little and his attempt is to see that this Tribunal may pass an order in his favour, considering observations made in Para 1 of this Tribunal's order dated 23/12/2011 and the judgment delivered by Hon'ble High Court in SCA No.17244 of 2011, being dated 3/10/2012, the simple copy of which is annexed with the Misc. application (supra) moved.

5. It appears that no one was appearing for opponent No.3 when the matter was adjourned to sine die. Procedural law and principle of natural justice requires that this opponent No.3 requires to be intimated that now this Application No.47 of 2011 is being taken up on the Board for judicial hearing and adjudication accordingly.

2012

6. So far as request made by applicant that this Tribunal should pass final orders in this application considering above, it appears that judgment delivered by Hon'ble High Court in aforesaid SCA is after hearing the Ld. Senior Counsel for opponent University and accordingly the judgment delivered is very much within knowledge of the University.

Needless to say that judgment is binding to the University and therefore it is for the University to do needful about cause of action involved in this application, considering the ratio laid down by Hon'ble High Court in aforesaid judgment of SCA.

I understand that pendency of this matter may be hurdle for University for doing so and therefore it is clarified that it will be open for University to do needful regarding cause of action involved in present application, considering the law laid down by Hon'ble High Court vide judgment dated 3/10/2012 passed in aforesaid SCA and pendency of present application will not come in the way of the University to do needful.

When things require to be done by Manager/management/executive, it requires to be done by one only and Court should not take over the work of executive, therefore it is expected that University will pass necessary orders considering the judgment of Hon'ble High Court and put the copy of the same on the record of this case on next date and thereby clear its stand before this Tribunal for final disposal of present application.



7. Registry of this Tribunal to issue notice to opponent No.3 for his knowledge.

As per convenience of Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai matter is S.O. to 17/10/2012, with no objection from applicant and Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for opponent No.1.

Let applicant to produce certified copy of the judgment annexed with Misc. application, provided copy of writ is served in the Registry of the Tribunal.

Free copy of this order be provided to parties on record on one's written request.

Sd/-

(Rajendra A. Patel)

Tribunal Judge

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal  
State of Gujarat

Received at 10/10/2012

*[Signature]*  
Registrar

**True Copy**

*[Signature]*  
Registrar

20/10



ફેક્સ : (૦૭૯)૨૬૩૦૨૬૫૪  
ટેલિફોન : ૨૬૩૦૧૪૧-૨૬૩૦૦૩૪૨-૪૩  
૨૬૩૦૦૧૨૬ અને ૨૬૩૦૦૬૬૪  
ટેલિગ્રામ : યુનિ.ગુજરાત



FAX : (079) 26302654  
Phone : 26301341-26300342-43,  
26300126 & 26300664  
Gram : UNIGUJARAT

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી  
GUJARAT UNIVERSITY

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલય,  
પોસ્ટ બોક્સ નં.૪૦૧૦  
નવરંગપુરા, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦ ૦૦૯.

OFFICE OF THE GUJARAT UNIVERSITY  
POST BOX NO.4010, NAVRANGPURA  
AHMEDABAD-380 009.

નં.એઝ-૧/ ૫૩૬૪ / ૨૦૧૨

તા.૧૬/૧૦/૨૦૧૨

પ્રતિ,

શ્રી બી.પી.મુનશી  
ઇન્ડસ્ટ્રી ઓફિસરશ્રી,  
(મિલિટરી ડિસ્ટ્રીક્ટ જવજી)  
૪૬, દત્ત સોસાયટી,  
રામજી મંદિર પાછળ,  
ભઠકા, પાલડી,  
અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦ ૦૦૬


વિષય:- ડો. પ્રદીપ પી. પ્રજાપતિ, એસોસિએટ પ્રોફેસર, ઇકોનોમિક્સ ડિપાર્ટમેન્ટ, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી  
વિરૂદ્ધની ખાતાકીય તપાસની કાર્યવાહી.

શ્રીમાન,

ડો. પ્રદીપ પી. પ્રજાપતિ (એસોસિએટ પ્રોફેસર, અર્થશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગ, સમાજવિદ્યા ભવન, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી)એ યુનિવર્સિટી તેમજ ફોરજિડ કિતને ધ્યાનમાં રાખીને તેઓશ્રીને યુનિવર્સિટીના તા.૩૧/૫/૨૦૧૧થી કરવામાં આવેલ ફરજમોડૂકી રદ કરી નોકરીમાં પુનઃસ્થાપન કરવા અંગેની તા.૦૩/૦૮/૨૦૧૨થી કરેલ વિનંતી અરજના સંદર્ભમાં જણાવેલ વિગતોને ધ્યાને લઈ નામદાર ટ્રીબ્યુનલના તા.૧૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૧ના હુકમ તથા ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના ઓર્ડિનન્સ : ૧૭૨ ની પેટા કલમ ૧૮(iii) મુજબ માન. કુલપતિશ્રીએ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એક્ટ ૧૯૪૬ની કલમ ૧૧(૪) હેઠળ તેમને મળેલ સત્તાની રૂએ એમની અરજ મંજૂર રાખેલ છે અને તદ્દનુસાર ડો. પ્રદીપ પી. પ્રજાપતિન સમાજવિદ્યા ભવનના અર્થશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગના એસોસિએટ પ્રોફેસર તરીકેની જગ્યાની ફરજો સંભાળી લીધેલ છે. આથી હવે ડો. પ્રદીપ પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામે ખાતાકીય તપાસની આગળની કાર્યવાહી કરવાની રહેતી નથી, જે આપશ્રીની સાદર જાણ સાર.

આભાર સહ,

આપનો વિશ્વાસુ,

  
ડૉ.કુલસચિવ

નકલ રવાના જાણ તથા જરૂરી કાર્યવાહી માટે :

૧. ડો. પ્રદીપ પી. પ્રજાપતિ, એસોસિએટ પ્રોફેસર, અર્થશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગ, સમાજવિદ્યા ભવન, ગુજ.યુનિ.
૨. ડો. એન.ડી.શાહ, રજૂઆત અધિકારીશ્રી (ડોન, કોમર્સ ફેકલ્ટી), ગુજ.યુનિ., અમદાવાદ-૯
૩. અધ્યક્ષશ્રી, સમાજવિદ્યા ભવન, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી, અમદાવાદ-૯
૪. હેડ ઓફ ધી ઇકોનોમિક્સ ડિપાર્ટમેન્ટ, સમાજવિદ્યા ભવન, ગુજ.યુનિ., અમદાવાદ
૫. રજીસ્ટ્રારશ્રી, ઇકોનોમિક્સ ડિપાર્ટમેન્ટ, સમાજવિદ્યા ભવન, ગુજ.યુનિ., અમદાવાદ-૯

ગામ. ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી સર્વિસીસ  
ટ્રિબ્યુનલ જજ સાર્વજનિક ની સેરિમાં,  
અમદાવાદ.

અરજ નં. ૪૭/૨૦૧૨

પ્રતિષ્ઠા પ્રતિષ્ઠા - - - અરજદાર

વિરુદ્ધ

ડૉ પરિશિષ્ટ ગિલ્ડો

તથા અરજી - - - - - સામાન્યજાતી


ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી  
સર્વિસીસ  
ટ્રિબ્યુનલ  
અમદાવાદ

૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨  
૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨

Received copy  
23/10/12  
23/10/12

આરો અરજી અરજદાર અરજદાર  
તથા જણાવ્યો કરો કે સદર ફેસ ના  
સામાન્યજાતી નં. ૪ ના અરજી લેખના પત્ર  
નં. ૨૦૧૨/૪૩૫૭/૨૦૧૨ ના સ્વરૂપે ૨૦૧૨ સી.  
અરજી અરજદાર વિરુદ્ધ ની ખાતેના લખના  
+૨૦૧૨ અરજીની રજૂ કરી આ.પી. ગુજરાતે અરજી  
અરજદાર સામે ખાતેના લખનાની અરજીની  
સર્વિસીસ તરફની રજૂ કરી નવો નવો મહુ સિવા  
ફોર્મ, અરજી અરજદાર સદર પત્ર આપી ગયા.  
જજ સાર્વજનિક રામદા રજૂ કરી માત્ર/સદર અરજી  
માં રજૂ કરી ફોર્મ/આરો તરફ મરુ અરજી રજૂ.

તા. ૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨  
અરજી: અમદાવાદ

  
અરજદાર  
(પ્રતિષ્ઠા પ્રતિષ્ઠા)

Received copy  
23/10/12  
for  
(Admitted)

In view of the above representation, the  
kindly instructions the petition and  
oblige  
23/10/12  
for  
for

97

FREE COPY 4/12/12-9

A. K. K.  
Registrar.BEFORE THE HON'BLE GUJARAT UNIVERSITIES SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT AHMEDABADAPPLICATION NO. 47 OF 2011

Dr. Pradeep P. Prajapati.  
B/1/ G2 Shanti Apartment,  
Near Devashish School, Bodakdev,  
Ahmedabad.

અરેજુ મળ્યા તારીખ - ૧૨/૧/૧૩  
લેડર ડેપુટી રજા તારીખ - ૧૨/૧/૧૩  
લેડર આપના તારીખ - ૧૨/૧/૧૩  
કલાર્ક બી સહી - (Patel)

Serving as:

Associate Professor  
Department of Economics  
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad

...Applicant

Vs.

1. Dr. Parimal H. Trivedi  
Vice Chancellor  
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad.

Residing at:

Vice Chancellor's Bungalow  
Gujarat University Campus  
Navrangpura, Ahmedabad.

2. Shri Minesh S. Shah  
I/C Registrar, Gujarat University  
Ahmedabad.  
Residing at:  
Z/2/5, Lake View Apartment  
Opp. Vastrapur Lake, Ahmedabad.

3. Dr. B.V. Patel  
Dean, Faculty of Science  
Gujarat University, Ahmedabad.  
Residing at:  
1/ Sputnik Society  
Nr. Gopal Park, Ghatlodiya  
Ahmedabad.

4. Gujarat University  
(Notice to be served through  
I/C. Registrar)  
Navrangpura, Ahmedabad.

... Opponents

Appearances:-

1. Applicant party in person.
2. Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for opponent No.1.
3. Opponent No.2 & 3 served.
4. Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for opponent No.4.

(Order annexed herewith)

૨૦૮



**ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT: 30****(Per Hon'ble Tribunal Judge, SHRI RAJENDRA A. PATEL)****23/10/2012**

1. Applicant Dr. Pradeep P. Prajapati, party in person, is present. Ld.Ad. Ms. F.D. Upadhyaya for opponent No.1 and Ld.Ad. Mr. M.M. Desai for opponent No.4 are present. No one is present for opponent No.2 & 3, though served.

2. When called out, applicant herein preferred one Misc. application on the record of this case (Exhibit: 30, Page-228). The contents of the same along with endorsement made by respective Ld. Advocates (supra) are reproduced here below for ready reference:-

“આથી અમો અરજદાર આદરપૂર્વક લખી જણાવીએ છીએ કે સદર કેસના સામાવાળા નં.૪ નાઓએ તેમના પત્ર નં.એકા/૪૩૬૭/૨૦૧૨, તા.૧૮/૧૦/૨૦૧૨થી અમો અરજદાર વિરુદ્ધની ખાતાકીય તપાસ કરનાર અધિકારી શ્રી બી.પી.મુન્શીને અમો અરજદાર સામે ખાતાકીય તપાસની આગળની કાર્યવાહી કરવાની રહેતી નથી તેવી જાણ કરેલ હોય, અમો અરજદાર સદર પત્ર આપ નામદાર જજ સાહેબશ્રી સમક્ષ રજુ કરીએ છીએ. ઉપરના સંજોગોમાં મારી સદર અરજી ઇન્ફક્યુઅસ થઇ જાય છે તેથી આ સંજોગોમાં મારી સદર અરજીનો નિકાલ કરતો યોગ્ય હુકમ કરવા નમ્ર અરજ છે.

તા.૨૩/૧૦/૨૦૧૨

સ્થળ: અમદાવાદ.

સહી/-

(પ્રદીપ પ્રજાપતિ)

અરજદાર

Seen

Sd/-M.M.Desai

Advocate

23/10/12

Seen

Sd/- F.D.Upadhyaya

23/10/12

3. Reading aforesaid contents, it appears that applicant has disclosed that his present application has become infructuous. Accordingly he has requested to dispose this application. Even Mr. Prajapati – applicant has also submitted accordingly before me.

Both Ld. Advocates appearing for relevant opponent have endorsed “seen”. When they have not objected the request (supra) made by applicant. It is presumed that they have no objection. If this application is disposed accordingly

93

4. In view of aforesaid, request made by applicant is hereby accepted and this application is disposed as infructuous. No order as to cost.

Free copy of this order be provided to parties on record on one's written request.

Sd/-

(Rajendra A. Patel)

Tribunal Judge

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal  
State of Gujarat

Copy to be sent to

*Rajendra A. Patel*

By Registrar

Date: 30/10/2012



**True Copy**

*Ashokra*  
12.6.13  
Registrar

Gujarat Universities Services Tribunal  
Ahmedabad.

## ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી

## ઑકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલ

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની ઑકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભા શનિવાર, તા.૧૫/૦૨/૨૦૧૩ ના રોજ સવારે ૧૧-૦૦ કલાકે યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયમાં મળી હતી. સભાનું પ્રમુખસ્થાન કુલપતિશ્રી ડૉ. આદેશ પાલે સંભાળ્યું હતું. સભામાં હાજરી નીચે પ્રમાણે હતી:

ક્રમાંક	સભ્યનું નામ	તા.૧૫/૦૨/૨૦૧૩
૧.	ડૉ. આદેશ પાલ, માન.કુલપતિશ્રી	હાજર
૨.	ડૉ. મુકુલભાઈ શાહ, માન.ઉપકુલપતિશ્રી	હાજર
૩.	ઉચ્ચ શિક્ષણ કમિશનરશ્રી,	હાજર
૪.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ ટેકનીકલ એજ્યુકેશન	હાજર
૫.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ હેલ્થ એન્ડ મેડિકલ સર્વિસ એન્ડ મેડિકલ એજ્યુકેશન	હાજર
૬.	ડૉ. મહિપતસિંહ ડી. ચાવડા	હાજર
૭.	શ્રી ઇન્દ્રવિજયસિંહ ગોહેલ	હાજર
૮.	શ્રી પટેલ સતિષકુમાર નટવરલાલ	હાજર
૯.	શ્રી જૈન કૌશિકકુમાર સુખલાલ	હાજર
૧૦.	પ્રો. વિજયાલક્ષ્મી ચારી	હાજર
૧૧.	ડૉ. કુ. નિશા કે. શાહ	હાજર
૧૨.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. મહેતા ગીતા પ્રાણલાલ	હાજર
૧૩.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. ઉપાધ્યાય પ્રદ્યુમન ચંદ્રકાન્ત	ગેરહાજર
૧૪.	ડૉ. શાહ ભરત જે.	હાજર
૧૫.	ડૉ. રાવલ કૌશિકકુમાર ચિમનલાલ	હાજર
૧૬.	ડૉ. દિગ્વિજયસિંહ ધનશ્યામસિંહ ગોહેલ	હાજર
૧૭.	ડૉ. પટેલ ધવલકુમાર રમણલાલ	હાજર
૧૮.	ડૉ. ઠક્કર જશવંતભાઈ હરીભાઈ	હાજર
૧૯.	શ્રી નરહરિભાઈ એચ. અમીન	હાજર
૨૦.	ડૉ. પટેલ હિમાંશુ વિઠ્ઠલભાઈ	હાજર
૨૧.	શ્રી દેવાંગ નાણાંવટી	ગેરહાજર

૨(૮૫) ડૉ.પ્રદીપ પી.પ્રજાપતિ (એસોસીએટ પ્રોફેસર, અર્થશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગ, સમાજવિદ્યા ભવન, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી)ને યુનિવર્સિટી તેમજ શૈક્ષણિક હિતને ધ્યાનમાં રાખીને તેઓશ્રીને યુનિવર્સિટીના તા.૩૧-૫-૨૦૧૨થી કરવામાં આવેલ ફરજ મોકુફી રદ કરી નોકરીમાં પુનઃસ્થાપન કરવા અંગેની તા.૦૩-૦૮-૨૦૧૨થી કરેલ વિનંતી અરજીના સંદર્ભમાં જણાવેલ વિગતોને ધ્યાનમાં લઈ નામદાર ટ્રીબ્યુનલના તા.૧૩-૧૦-૨૦૧૧ના હુકમ તથા ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના ઓર્ડીનન્સ-૧૭૨ની પેટા કલમ (૧૮ (iii) મુજબ માન.કુલપતિશ્રીએ અરજી મંજૂર કર્યાનું અને તદનુસાર ડૉ.પ્રદીપ પી.પ્રજાપતિને સમાજવિદ્યાભવનના અર્થશાસ્ત્ર વિભાગના એસોસીએટ પ્રોફેસર તરીકેની જગ્યા પર હાજર કર્યાનું. વધુમાં નામ.હાઇકોર્ટે ડૉ.પ્રદીપ પ્રજાપતિની તરફેણમાં ચુકાદો આપેલ તેનો અમલ કર્યોનું.

## ખાનગી

## ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી

## એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલ

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભા શનિવાર, તા.૬/૦૯/૨૦૧૩ ના રોજ સવારે ૯-૩૦ કલાકે યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયમાં મળી હતી. સભાનું પ્રમુખસ્થાન કુલપતિશ્રી ડૉ. મુકુલભાઈ શાહે સંભાળ્યું હતું. સભામાં હાજરી નીચે પ્રમાણે હતી:

ક્રમાંક	સભ્યનું નામ	તા.૬/૦૯/૨૦૧૩
૧.	ડૉ. મુકુલભાઈ શાહ, માન. કા.કુલપતિશ્રી	હાજર
૨.	ઉચ્ચ શિક્ષણ કમિશનરશ્રી,	ગેરહાજર
૩.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ ટેકનીકલ એજ્યુકેશન	ગેરહાજર
૪.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ હેલ્થ એન્ડ મેડિકલ સર્વિસ એન્ડ મેડિકલ એજ્યુકેશન	ગેરહાજર
૫.	ડૉ. એન. ડી. શાહ	હાજર
૬.	શ્રી ઇન્દ્રવિજયસિંહ ગોહેલ	ગેરહાજર
૭.	શ્રી પટેલ સતિષકુમાર નટવરલાલ	હાજર
૮.	શ્રી જૈન કૌશિકકુમાર સુભલાલ	હાજર
૯.	પ્રો. વિજયાલક્ષ્મી ચાર્ડી	હાજર
૧૦.	ડૉ. કુ. નિશા કે. શાહ	હાજર
૧૧.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. મહેતા ગીતા પ્રાણલાલ	હાજર
૧૨.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. ઉપાધ્યાય પ્રદ્યુમ્ન ચંદ્રકાન્ત	ગેરહાજર
૧૩.	ડૉ. શાહ ભરત જે.	હાજર
૧૪.	ડૉ. રાવલ કૌશિકકુમાર ચિમનલાલ	હાજર
૧૫.	ડૉ. દિગ્વિજયસિંહ ધનશ્યામસિંહ ગોહેલ	હાજર
૧૬.	ડૉ. પટેલ ધવલકુમાર રમણલાલ	હાજર
૧૭.	ડૉ. ઠક્કર જશવંતભાઈ હરીભાઈ	હાજર
૧૮.	શ્રી નરહરિભાઈ એચ. અમીન	હાજર
૧૯.	ડૉ. પટેલ હિમાંશુ ચિદ્ધભાઈ	ગેરહાજર
૨૦.	શ્રી અમિતભાઈ શાહ	હાજર
૨૧.	શ્રી ગીરીશભાઈ ચૌધરી	હાજર
૨૨.	શ્રી ધ્રુમીલભાઈ ડી. પટેલ	હાજર
૨૩.	ડૉ. મહેશભાઈ પટેલ	હાજર
૨૪.	શ્રી દેવાંગભાઈ નાણાંવટી	હાજર



બા.ક.૧ તા.૧૫-૦૨-૨૦૧૩ તથા ૧૬-૦૮-૨૦૧૩ના રોજ મળેલ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવા બાબત.

ઠરાવ તા.૧૫-૦૨-૨૦૧૩ની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાના બા.ક.૨(૮૦) થી ૨(૮૫)માં દર્શાવેલ શાંતાન્સીયલ એડવાઇઝરી કમીટીએ કરેલ ભલામણો સ્વીકારીને તેનો અમલ કર્યાના કુલપતિશ્રીએ કરેલા કાર્યોની યુનિવર્સિટીના સ્ટેચ્યુટ તેમજ નીતિ-નિયમો પ્રમાણે યથાર્થતાની ચકાસણી કરીને તે અંગેનો તપાસ અહેવાલ રજુ કરવાનું ઠરાવેલ હોઇ તે કાર્યો સિવાયની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ તથા ૧૬-૦૮-૨૦૧૩ના રોજ મળેલ એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની સભાની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવામાં આવી અને તે પર કુલપતિશ્રીએ સહી કરી.

ખાનગી

## ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલ

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની અસાધારણ સભા શુક્રવાર, તા.૦૧/૦૬/૨૦૧૪ ના રોજ સવારે ૧૧-૦૦ કલાકે યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયમાં મળી હતી. સભાનું પ્રમુખસ્થાન કુલપતિશ્રી ડૉ. એમ. એન. પટેલે સંભાળ્યું હતું. સભામાં હાજરી નીચે પ્રમાણે હતી:

ક્રમાંક	સભ્યનું નામ	તા.૦૧/૦૬/૨૦૧૪
૧.	ડૉ. એમ. એન. પટેલ. કુલપતિશ્રી	હાજર
૨.	ડૉ. મુકુલભાઈ શાહ, ઉપકુલપતિશ્રી	ગેરહાજર
૩.	ઉચ્ચ શિક્ષણ કમિશનરશ્રી.	ગેરહાજર
૪.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ ટેકનીકલ એજ્યુકેશન	ગેરહાજર
૫.	ડાયરેક્ટર ઓફ હેલ્થ એન્ડ મેડિકલ સર્વિસ એન્ડ મેડિકલ એજ્યુકેશન	ગેરહાજર
૬.	ડૉ. એન. ડી. શાહ	હાજર
૭.	ડૉ. ઇન્દ્રવિજયસિંહ ગોહિલ	હાજર
૮.	શ્રી પટેલ સતિષકુમાર નટવરલાલ	હાજર
૯.	શ્રી જૈન કૌશિકકુમાર સુખલાલ	હાજર
૧૦.	પ્રો. ડૉ. વિજયાલક્ષ્મી ચારી	ગેરહાજર
૧૧.	પ્રો. ડૉ. કુ. નિશા કે. શાહ	હાજર
૧૨.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. મહેતા ગીતા પ્રણલાલ	ગેરહાજર
૧૩.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. ઉપાધ્યાય પ્રદ્યુમન ચંદ્રકાન્ત	હાજર
૧૪.	ડૉ. શાહ ભરત જે.	ગેરહાજર
૧૫.	ડૉ. રાવલ કૌશિકકુમાર ચિમનલાલ	હાજર
૧૬.	ડૉ. દિગ્વિજયસિંહ ધનરયામસિંહ ગોહિલ	હાજર
૧૭.	ડૉ. પટેલ ધવલકુમાર રમણલાલ	હાજર
૧૮.	ડૉ. ઠક્કર જયવંતભાઈ હરીભાઈ	ગેરહાજર
૧૯.	ડૉ. મહિતપસિંહ ચાવડા	હાજર
૨૦.	પ્રિ. ડૉ. નિરજાબેન અરૂણ	ગેરહાજર
૨૧.	શ્રી નરહરિભાઈ એચ. અમીન	હાજર
૨૨.	ડૉ. પટેલ હિમાંશુ વિદ્યુલભાઈ	હાજર
૨૩.	શ્રી અમિતભાઈ શાહ	હાજર
૨૪.	શ્રી ગીરીશભાઈ ચૌધરી	હાજર
૨૫.	શ્રી ધ્રુવીલભાઈ ડી. પટેલ	હાજર
૨૫.	ડૉ. મહેશભાઈ પટેલ	ગેરહાજર
૨૬.	શ્રી દેવાંગભાઈ નાણાવટી	હાજર

બા.ક.૧૬ ડો. પી. પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામે ખાતાકીય તપાસ કરવા માટે એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની તા.૨૬/૦૬/૨૦૧૧ની સભાના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક : ૧૦થી તપાસ અધિકારી તરીકે શ્રી બી. પી. મુનશી, રીટાયર્ડ ડીરેક્ટર અને સેશન્સ જજની નિમણૂક કરવામાં આવી હતી. આ કામગીરી માટે તેઓને રૂ.૧,૦૦,૦૦૦/- (એક લાખ પૂરા) ચુકવવાનું નક્કી થયેલ હતું. રૂ.૧,૦૦,૦૦૦/- (એક લાખ પૂરા) પૈકી રૂ.૫૦,૦૦૦/- (પચાસ હજાર પૂરા) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી દ્વારા તેઓને ચુકવવામાં આવેલ છે.

તા.૧૩-૧૮/૮/૨૦૧૨ના ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના પત્રથી ડો. પી. પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામેની ખાતાકીય તપાસ પડતી મુકવામાં આવી છે. આ સંદર્ભમાં તેઓએ તા.૧/૫/૨૦૧૩ના પત્રથી યુનિવર્સિટીને બાકીના રૂ.૫૦,૦૦૦/- (પચાસ હજાર પૂરા) ચુકવવા વિનંતી કરી છે, જે તેઓની માંગણી અંગે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

(ફાઇનાન્સ સમિતિની તા.૨૮/૫/૨૦૧૪નાં રોજ મળેલ સભાએ બાબત ક્રમાંક : ૧૨થી ઉપરોક્ત બાબત એકિઝક્યુટિવ કાઉન્સિલની વિચારણા માટે મુકવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે).

ઠરાવ: આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ડો. પી. પી. પ્રજાપતિ સામેની પડતી મુકવામાં આવેલ તપાસ કરાવવાનું રાખવું અને તપાસના મહેનતાણા પેટે નક્કી કરવામાં આવેલ રૂ.૧,૦૦,૦૦૦/- પૈકી બાકી રહેતી રકમ રૂ.૫૦,૦૦૦/- (પચાસ હજાર પૂરા) તપાસ પૂરી થયેથી તપાસ અધિકારી શ્રી બી. પી. મુનશીને ચુકવવાનું રાખવું.

22-11-17-06-2015.

G118-13.

22-11-17-06-2015.

GUJARAT UNIVERSITY  
Ahmedabad-9

Confidential:

A meeting of the Selection Committee for recommending name for appointment to the post of Controller of Examination in the Gujarat University, Ahmedabad : 380 009 was held on 4<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 at 11.00 a.m. in the office of the Gujarat University, Ahmedabad. Dr. M. N. Patel, Vice Chancellor, Gujarat University presided the meeting.

The attendance of the Selection Committee member was as under :

		04/05/15
1.	Dr. M. N. Patel, Vice Chancellor	: Present
2.	Registrar, Gujarat University	: Present
3.	Dr.G.P.Vadodaria	: Present
4.	Mr.Dhrumil Patel	: Present
5.	Dr.Gitaben Maheta	: Present
6.	Dr. K.H.Chikhalia	: Present

The following conditions were called for interview.

Seat No.	Name	Present/ Absent
1	DASHRATH M.PATEL	Present

After interviewing the candidates who remained present and considering their relative merits the Committee recommends as under:

Dashrath M. Patel - Selected

(Prin. M. N. Patel)  
Vice Chancellor

Dr. H.C. Patel  
Registrar

Dr.G.P.Vadodaria

Mr.Dhrumil Patel

Dr.Gitaben Mehta

Dr.K.H.Chikhalia

Gujarat University  
Interview of Controller of Examination  
Date: 04/05/2015

Sr. No.	Name of Candidates	Educational Qualification and Research Attitude 25 Marks	Database Handling Skill 25 Marks	General Attitude for the work 25 Marks	Communication Skill 25 Marks	Out of Total 100 Marks	Remark
1	PATEL DASHARATH MULIBHAI	18	10	20	18	66	Recommended

227

  
Mr. Dhruvil Patel

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

  
Dr. G. P. Vadodaria

  
Dr. Geetaben Mehta

  
Dr. H. C. Patel

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

EC 11: 17-06-2015.

G1.5-14.

12/12-5.

GUJARAT UNIVERSITY  
Ahmedabad-9  
Placement officer-cum-PRO

Confidential:

A meeting of the Selection Committee for recommending name for appointment to the post of Placement officer-cum-PRO in the Gujarat University, Ahmedabad : 380 009 was held on 9<sup>th</sup> May, 2015 at 1.00 p.m. in the office of the Gujarat University, Ahmedabad. Dr. M. N. Patel, Vice Chancellor, Gujarat University presided the meeting.

The attendance of the Selection Committee member was as under :

		09.05.15
1.	Dr. M. N. Patel, Vice Chancellor	Present
2.	Shri. Amithbhai Shah	Present
3.	Dr. K. B. Bhayani	Present
4.	Dr. Himanshu Pandya	Present
5.	Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya	Present

The following conditions were called for interview.

Seat No.	Name	Present/ Absent
57	MANSURI SHAHGUPTA NASEEM S.	P
95	PATEL MAHESHKUMAR KANU BHAI	P
142	VANARA MANOJ BATUKBHAI	P
53	KORADIA KALPESH CHATURBHAI	P
36	GUJARATI HITESH SHAMBHUBHAI	P
59	MEHTA ANAND DINESH	P
141	VANAR SWETLANA BHAGWATSINH	P
72	PADHIAR VAISHALI VAJENDRASINH	P
12	BHATT SACHIN KANAIYALAL	P
86	PATEL BHADRESH BHAVANBHAI	P
25	DESAI KINJAL DR. BHARATBHAI AMIN	P
29	GADHVI JITENDRA PRATAPDAS	P

GUJARAT UNIVERSITY

Ahmedabad-9  
Placement officer-cum-PRO

Seat No.	Name	Present/ Absent
144	VARGHESE MEJO K.A. VERGHESE	P
112	SHAH ANANDKUMAR M.	P
148	YADAV HIREN PRABHUDAS	P

After interviewing the candidates who remained present and considering their relative merits the Committee recommends as under:

1) Vanara Manoj Batukbhai — Selected.

(Principal's Seal)  
Vice Chancellor

Shri. Amitbhai Shah

Dr. K. B. Bhoyani

Dr. Himanshu Pandya

Dr. K. H. Chikhaliu

2) Desai Kiyel Dr. Bhavesh Amin - Waiting - 01  
3) Vanar Shethana Bhagwant-sing - Waiting - 02  
Selection is valid for 6 months.

VKA

Dr. K. H. Chikhaliu

દેતી:- 17-06-2015  
ગી.ક્ર- 15.  
પરિશિષ્ટ- 6.

## Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



### Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Law** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 15/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 07 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : Dr. Parvhi Vinod Motiyani

#### Selection Committee

- |                            |                            |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel          |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia       |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. K. C. Raval          |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Principal J. U. Nanavati |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. B. G. Maniar         |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Rashmi Oza           |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya     |

Waiting:- Dr. Madhavi Parikh

Dr. M. N. Patel

622



Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Friday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Law

Post : Assistant Professor ( 1 Open)

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic record and research performance (50%),

(B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),

(C) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Madhuri Parikh	24	16	11	51
2	Dr. Rupa Amit Pathakji	24	13	12	49
3	Dr. Prachi Vinod Motiyani	23	19	14	56
4	Dr. Jatdevi Gulabrai Rajyaguru	20	10	07	37
5	Mr. Karnani Ravidankaur Rahul	20	11	09	40
6	Mr. Sindhi Rameez Sadatbhai	A - B - S - E - N - T -			
7	Mr. Solanki Vinay Prahladbhai	A - B - S - E - N - T -			
8	Mr. Mehta Anand Dinesh	15	10	10	35
9	Dr. Vimal R. Pandya	15	05	03	23

Dr. M. N. Patel

Dr. M. B. Dholakia

Dr. B. C. Maniar

Dr. Rashmi Oza

Prin. J. U. Nanavati

Dr. K. C. Kaval

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Law														
Assistant Professor														
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks	
			UG			PG				Ph. D				
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization			
1	Karnani Ravidankaur Rahul	OPEN	BA	58	2nd	LL.M	2007	59	2nd	---	---	NET - 2010	Eligible	
2	Dr. Madhuri Parikh	OPEN	BA	52.3	2nd	MA	1995	59	2nd	2012	Law	No	Eligible	
3	Dr. Rupa Amit Pathakji	OPEN	BCOM	68	1st	MCOM	2000	56	2nd	2014	Law	NET - 2009	Eligible	
4	Dr. Prachi Vinod Motiyani	OPEN	LL.B	62.3	2nd	LL.M	2010	55	2nd	2014	Law	No	Eligible	
5	Sindhi Rameez Sadatbhai	SEBC	LL.B	61.3	2nd	LL.M	2011	59	2nd	---	---	SLET - 2014	Eligible	
6	Solanki Vinay Prahladbhai	OPEN	BA	49.8	PASS	LL.M	2011	55	2nd	---	---	GSET - 2015	Eligible	
7	Mehta Anand Dinesh	OPEN	LL.B	66	1st	LL.M	2005	58	2nd	---	---	SLET - 2006	Eligible	
8	Dr. Jatdevi Gulabrai Rajyaguru	OPEN	LL.B	54.6	2nd	LL.M	2002	57	2nd	2010	Law	No	Eligible	
9	Dr. Vimal R. Pandya	OPEN	LLB	50%	2nd	LLM	2007	57	2nd	2006	Law	No	Eligible	

End

*Handwritten signatures and marks:*  
 Muregan  
 H  
 RMOZE  
 15/5/15

# Gujarat University

Subject wise posts sanctioned by Education Department Resolution No. GUY/1311/867255/Kh dated: 12/04/2012 & 17/10/2013

## Backlog Posts

Sr. No.	Subject	Professor						Associate Professor						Assistant Professor						Total			
		ST		SC		SEBC		Total	ST		SC		SEBC		Total	ST		SC			SEBC		Total
		Total	F	Total	F	Total	F		Total	F	Total	F	Total	F		Total	F	Total	F		Total	F	
1	Gujarati						0						1	1	1							0	1
2	Persian						0								0							1	1
3	Urdu						0								0							1	1
4	Philosophy						0						1	1	1							0	1
5	Political Science						0								0							0	0
6	Physics, Space Science & Electronics						0					1		1	1	1					1	2	3
7	Chemistry						0	1							1	1	1				1	2	3
8	Botany						0	1	1						1							0	1
9	Mathematics						0	1							1							0	1
10	Statistics						0								0	1						1	1
11	Geography						0								0	1						2	2
12	Computer Science					1	1					1		1	1	1	1					1	3
13	Business Management						0					1		1	1	1	1					1	2
14	Law						0								0							0	0
15	Library Science						0								0		1	1				1	1
Total		0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	5	2	8	7	3	0	0	5	1	21

The cutoff marks for female candidate preferential is as follows:

Female: 50 Marks

Male : 55 Marks

*Handwritten signature and initials*

*Handwritten signature*

**Gujarat University**  
Subject wise posts sanctioned by Education Department Resolution No. GY/1311/867255/Kh dated: 12/04/2012 & 17/10/2013

**New Posts**

Sr. No.	Subject	Professor										Associate Professor										Assistant Professor									
		ST		SC		SEBC		Open		Total	ST		SC		SEBC		Open		Total	ST		SC		SEBC		Open		Total			
		Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total			
		Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total	F	Total			
1	Hindi								0		0	1														1	1				
2	Political Science								0		0															2	2				
3	Chemistry								0		0														1	1					
4	Botany								0		1														0	1					
5	Micro Biology								0		0														1	1					
6	Zoology								0		0														1	1					
7	Business Management								0			1													0	1					
8	Law								0																1	1					
Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9					

The cutoff marks for Female candidate preferential is as follows:

Female: 50 Marks

Male : 55 Marks

Vice Chancellor

225

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India










## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Urdu** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 13/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 04 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

Name of the selected candidate : Dr. Masihuzzaman H Ansari

### Selection Committee :

- |                            |                          |   |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel        |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia     |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Ranjana Argade     |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Saheb Ali          |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Tauqeer Ahmed Khan |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Anwar Zahir Ansari |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia    |  |

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Urdu


Post : Assistant Professor


Assessment is based on

- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)


The cutoff is 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Ms. Shaikhsipai Ayeshabanu Niyazahmed	20	13	10	43
2	Dr. Salmabanu Fakkhruddin Shaikh	25	12	10	47
3	Dr. Nasrinbanu Munirali Shaikh	20	10	10	40
4	Dr. Masihuzzama H. Ansari	30	16	12	58

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Anwar Zaheer Ansari

  
Dr. Saheb Ali

  
Dr. Taugee Ahmed Khan

  
Dr. Ranjana Argade

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Urdu													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Dr. Masihuzzama H. Ansari	SEBC	BA	71.9	Dist.	MA	1998	63	1st	2007	Urdu	NET - 2012	Eligible
2	Shaikhsipai Ayeshabanu Niyazahmed	SEBC	BA	69.4	1st	MA	2008	68	1st	---	---	NET - 2011	Eligible
3	Shaikh Salmabanu Fakkhruddin	SEBC	BA	55.3	2nd	MA	2005	59	2nd	2013	Urdu	NET - 2006	Eligible
4	Shaikh Nasrinbanu Munirali	SEBC	BA	63.5	1st	MA	2006	62	1st	2015	Urdu	No	Eligible

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*


*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*  
13/8/2015

*[Handwritten signature]*

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Persian** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 13/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 01 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : *Shaukh Mohammed Rafeeq*  
*Mohammed HASAN*

### Selection Committee

- |                            |   |                     |                    |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : | Dr. M. N. Patel     | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : | Dr. M. B. Dholakia  | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : | Dr. Ranjana Argade  | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : | Dr. Chander Shekhar | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : | Dr. Iraq Raza Zaidi | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : | Dr. Wajeehuddin     | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 7. Academic Representative | : | Dr. K. H. Chikhalia | <i>[Signature]</i> |



Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Persian (1 SEBC)

Post : Assistant Professor


Assessment is based on

- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)


The cutoff is 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Mr. Shaikh Mohammed Rafeeq Mohammed Hasan	25	20	10	55

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Chandar Shekhar

  
Dr. Iraq Raza Zaidi

  
Dr. Wajeerhuddin

  
Dr. Ranjana Argade

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhhalia

Persian													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG			Ph. D				
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Shaikh Mohammed Rafeeq Mohammed Hasan	SEBC	BA	71	1st	MA	1999	61	1st	---	---	NET - 2010	Eligible

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Associate Professor** in the subject **Business Management** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 15/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 05 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : 1) Dr. Mamta Dimashbhai Brahmbhatt  
2) Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal

### Selection Committee

- |                            |                        |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel      |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia   |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Prateek Kanchan  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Shirish Kulkarni |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. J. P. Majmudar   |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. B. A. Prajapati  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia  |

*[Signatures of Selection Committee members]*  
15-05-2015

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Friday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Business Management

Post : Associate Professor (2 SEBC)

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic Background (20%),

(B) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%),

(C) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (20%),

(D) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 20	B 40	C 20	D 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Mamta Dineshbhai Brahmabhatt	12	24	12	12	60
2	Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal	11	23	12	11	57
3	Dr. Kishorsinh Boghabhai Barad	05	10	09	08	32
4	Dr. Hareshkumar Bhagvandas Barot	08	15	09	08	40
5	Dr. Dharmendra Shanabhai Mistry	07	14	10	07	38

Dr. M. N. Patel

Dr. M. B. Dholakia

Dr. B. A. Prasad

Dr. J. P. Majmudar

Dr. Shirish Kulkarni

Dr. Prateek Kanchan

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Business Management																
Associate Professor																
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									Experience	Publications	API Score After Capping	NET/SLE T	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D						
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization					
1	Dr. Kishorsinh Boghabhai Barad	SEBC	BBA	57.9	2nd	MBA	1996	67	1st	2009	Buss. Man.	14 Yrs.	---	362.6	No	Eligible
2	Barot Hareishkumar Bhagavandas	SEBC	BCOM	67.3	1st	MBA	2010	64	1st	2014	Buss. Man.	6 Yrs.	---	374	NET – 2012	Eligible
3	Brahmbhatt Mamta Dineshbhai	SEBC	BBA	72.8	1st	MBA	2001		B	2011	Buss. Man.	13 Yrs.	---	718.5	GSE T - 2014 NET – 2012	Eligible
4	Mistry Dharmendra Shanabhai	SEBC	BCOM	68	1st	MBA	2013	60	1st	2009	Buss. Man.	14 Yrs.	---	442.1	NET – 2014	Eligible
5	Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal	SEBC	BBA	61.6	1st	MBA	2001	65	1st	2012	Buss. Man.	12 Yrs.	---	321.75	No	Eligible

*[Signature]*

*Munyen*

*[Signature]*  
2015-11-11

*[Signature]*  
15-05-2015

*[Signature]*

00000  
15-5-15

# Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kishorsinh Boghabhai Barad

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Matching the country...	2011	Case study for class discussion
2.	A case study of object paper	2013	Fair
3.	A case study on selling...	Accepted for publication	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: MAJUMDAR J.P.

Designation

: PROFESSOR

Address

: M.K. BHAV. V.M. DHANAVAR

Date

: 15-5-15

Place

: A'bad

  
Signature

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Hareshkumar Bhagvandas Barot

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Measuring Employee Job Satisfaction	Oct - Dec 2011	Good
2.	Service quality and customer satisfaction	Sep-12	V. Good
3.	Working Capital cycle	Jul-Dec-12	V. Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : MAJUMDAR J.P.

Designation : PROFESSOR

Address : M.K. BHAV. VIVE. BHAVNAGAR

Date : 15/05/15

Place : Mumbai

  
Signature

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Mamta Dineshbhai Brahmbhatt

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Tax payers' perception towards E-file Adoption...	July-Dec 2012 issue	Good
2.	Analysis of GAP in service quality through SERVQUAL...	Oct-Dec 2010	Good
3.	Supermarket SERVQUAL: ...	JAN-2014	FAIR
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: MAJMUDAR J.P.

Designation

: PROFESSOR

Address

: M. K. BHAVNAGAR UNZ. BHAVNAGAR

Date

: 15/05/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

Signature



**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Dharmendra Shanabhai Mistry

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	A comp. study on the profitability -----	June-2012	Good
2.	Financial accounting -----	July-Dec 2014	11
3.	An evaluation of CIR	Dec-2014	11
4.	-----	-----	-----
5.	-----	-----	-----

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☐

Good

☒

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: MAJUMDAR J.P.

Designation

: Professor

Address

: M. K. Bhavnagar uni. Bhavnagar

Date

: 15/05/15

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature

### Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Capital Budgeting --- Decision	Jan-14	FAIR
2.	Working Capital --- Decision		Good
3.	Management change and --- Development		FAIR
4.	Financial Planning --- decision	March-14	Good
5.	---	---	---

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐ Good ☒ Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : MASMUDAR J.P.

Designation : Professor

Address : M. K. Bhavnagar Uni, Bhavnagar

Date : 15/05/2015

Place : Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kishorsinh Boghabhai Barad

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Mitigating the impacts The 2020-21 year	2011	Case study for class discussion. Average
2.	A case study of Lijjat Rajpur.	Jun - Mar 2013	Average
3.	A case study on selling by distribution	accepted for publication	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☒

Good

☐

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: Shirish Kulkarni

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Faculty of commerce The M.S. University

Date

: 15/5/15

Place

: Al bad.

Signature

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Hareshkumar Bhagvandas Barot

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Measuring employee job satisfaction	Oct-Dec 2011	good
2.	Service quality & customer satisfaction	Sep 2012	good
3.	Working capital mgmt.	July-Dec 2012	good.
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☐

Good

☒

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: Shrinish Kulkarni

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Faculty of Comm. The M.S. Uni.

Date

: 15/5/15

Place

: Al bad.



Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Mamta Dineshbhai Brahmabhatt

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Taxpayers Perception Personnel style	July-Dec 2012 JRM	good
2.	Analysis of GDP in India during	Oct-Dec 2010	good.
3.	super market --	June 2017	fair
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☐

Good

☒

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: Shrivish Khulkarni

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Faculty of commerce The M.S. Uni

Date

: 15/5/15

Place

: Alibud.

Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Dharmendra Shanabhai Mistry

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	A comparative study on Probation	June 2012	good
2.	Forensic A/C 113	July - Dec 2014	good
3.	An evaluation of CSR	Dec 2014	good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

Shrinish Kulkarni

Designation

professor

Address

Faculty of commerce The M-SU

Date

15/5/15

Place

Ahmed

  
Signature

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Capital Budgeting Practice & Investment Decision	Jan 14	good.
2.	Working Capital and Profitability planning		good.
3.	Management change and organizational development		Fair
4.	Financial Planning & long term asset mix decision	March 14	good
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Shirish Kulkarni

Designation : Professor

Address : Faculty of Commerce The M.S. Uni

Date : 15/5/15

Place : A'bad.



Signature

404.

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kishorsinh Boghabhai Barad

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Multitasking the business. The Success ....	2011	Case Study
2.	A Case study of Lijjat ....	Jan. March 2013	Average
3.	A Case study on selling & distribution	Accepted for publication	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Dr. B.A. PRAJAPATI

Designation

: Prof. & Head,

Address

: School of Bus. Mgt, HNLU, Patna

Date

: 15th May 2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

Signature



**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Hareshkumar Bhagvandas Barot

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Measuring Employee Job Satisfaction ----	Oct-Dec. 2011	Very Good
2.	Service Quality & Customer Satisfaction ----	Sept 2012	Very Good
3.	Working Capital Mgt ----	July, Dec 2012	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. B-A. PRAJAPATI

Designation

: Professor & Head

Address

: School of Bus. Mgt, HNGL - Patan

Date

: 15th May 2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

Signature



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Mamta Dineshbhai Brahmabhatt

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Tax payers perception	Jul-Dec 2012	Good
2.	Analysis of GAP in service quality	Oct-Dec 2010	Good
3.	Supermarkets	Jan-2014	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☐

Good

☒

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. B. A. PRAJAPATI

Designation

: Professor & Head

Address


: School of Bus. Mgt, HNK Um. Patan

Date

: 15th May 2015

Place

: Ahmedabad.

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Dharmendra Shanabhai Mistry

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	A Comparative Study on the Profitability Performance - - - -	June 2012	Very Good.
2.	Forensic Accounting: The perception of Auditors - - - -	July-Dec. 2014	Good
3.	An Evaluation of CSR Practices in selected - - - -	Dec- 2014	Good.
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Dr. B.A. PRAJAPATI

Designation

: Professor & Head

Address

: S.K. School of Business Management -  
Hem, North Gujarat Uni. Patan

Date

: 15th May 2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nilam Jayesh Panchal

Subject : Business Management

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Capital Budgeting Practices	Jan 2014	good
2.	Working Capital and Profitability in Pharma		good
3.	Mgt. change as org. develop		fair
4.	Financial Planning & long term investment decision	March 2014	good
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Dr. B. A. PRADIPATI

Designation : Professor & Head

Address : SK School of Busi. Mgt. H.N.R. Uni. Patan

Date : 15th May 2015

Place : Ahmedabad

Signature 

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Geography** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 15/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IOAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed <sup>2 (3 & 3)</sup> candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

Name of the selected candidate :

- 1) Patel Mahesh Kumar (SEBC)
- 2) Damar Rajesh Kumar (SEBC)

### Selection Committee

1. Hon. Vice Chancellor : Dr. M. N. Patel
2. Government Nominee : Dr. M. B. Dholakia
3. Director School of Science : Dr. M. V. Rao
4. Subject Expert : Dr. Sadhana Kothari
5. Subject Expert : Dr. Rolee Kanchan
6. Subject Expert : Dr. Ravindra Jaybhaye
7. Academic Representative : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

*(Signatures of Selection Committee members)*

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Friday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Geography

Post : Assistant Professor (1 ST)

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic record and research performance (50%),


(B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),

(C) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Meena Bharat Lal	15	05	04	24
2	Mr. Tabiyar Jagdishbhai Dhuljibhai	16	06	05	27
3	Mr. Damor Rajeshkumar Makabhai	30	14	11	55
4	Ms. Sabale Kailash Devram	29	11	10	50


  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Ravindra Jaybhaye

  
Dr. Rolee Kanchan

  
Dr. Sadhana Kothari

  
Dr. M. V. Rao

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Friday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Geography

Post : Assistant Professor (1 SEBC)

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic record and research performance (50%),

(B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),


(C) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Mr. Patel Maheshkumar Khemashibhai	29	15	12	56
2	Dr. Jaymalbhai Govabhai Rangiya	25	15	10	50

4323


  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Ravindra Jaybhaye

  
Dr. Rolee Kanchan

  
Dr. Sadhana Kothari

  
Dr. M. V. Rao

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Geography													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Classes	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Rangiya Jaymalbhai Govabhai	SEBC	BA	61	2nd	MA	1993	57	2nd	2009	Geography		Eligible Already in PB-4
2	Meena Bharat Lal	ST	BA	52.6	2nd	MA	2006	61	1st	2014	Geography	NET - 2006 / 2008	Eligible ST from other State
3	Tabiyar Jagdishbhai Dhuljibhai	ST	BA	66.4	1st	MA	2010	52	2nd	---	---	NET - 2012	Eligible
4	Patel Maheshkumar Khemashibhai	SEBC	BA	70	1st	MA	2011	55	2nd	---	---	NET - 2013	Eligible
5	Damor Rajeshkumar Makanbhai	ST	BA	63.7	1st	MA	2005	52	2nd	---	---	NET - 2007	Eligible
6	Sabale Kailash Devram	ST	BA	66.7	1st	MA	2009	58	2nd	---	---	NET - 2014 SET - 2014	Eligible ST other State

KV/K

Munyar

Munyar

Geography

Dee Kancha  
15/5/15

Dee Kancha  
15/5/15

Dee Kancha



# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Professor** in the subject **Computer Science** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 15/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 01 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

Name of the selected candidate : — nil —

### Selection Committee

- |                            |                       |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Savita Gandhi   |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Apurva Desai    |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. R. Nagaraj      |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. A. D. Parikh    |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |  |

21/4

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Friday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Computer Science

Post : Professor ( 1 SEBC)

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic Background (20%),

(B) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%),

(C) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (20%),

(D) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 20	B 40	C 20	D 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnalal Modi	10	05	08	10	33

445

Dr. M. N. Patel

Dr. M. B. Dholakia

Dr. Apurva Desai

Dr. R. Nagaraj

Prof. Ajay D. Parikh

Dr. Savita Gandhi

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India










## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Associate Professor** in the subject **Computer Science** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 15/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 02 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : Dr. Krunaljit I. Lakhtaria

### Selection Committee

- |                            |                       |   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Savita Gandhi   |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Apurva Desai    |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. R. Nagaraj      |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. A. D. Parikh    |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |  |

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Friday 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Computer Science

Post : Associate Professor (1 SEBC)

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic Background (20%),

(B) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%),


(C) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (20%),

(D) Interview performance (20%)


The cutoff is 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 20	B 40	C 20	D 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnalal Modi	10	05	08	10	33
2	Dr. Kamaljit I. Lakhtaria	12	28	11	09	60

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Apurva Desai

  
Dr. R. Nagaraj

  
Prof. Ajay D. Parikh

  
Dr. Savita Gandhi

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnalal Modi

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	An inquiry based detection & mitigation...	May - 2013	Average
2.	GSM Mobile Authentication...	Nov - Dec 2014	Average
3.	False base station attack...	Nov - 2014	Average
4.	A comparative analysis...	April - 2012	Average
5.	Anatomy and mechanism...	Oct - 2012	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. Apurva A. Desai

Designation

: Professor & Head

Address

: Dept. of Computer Science, VNSGU, Surat

Date

: 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Place

: A'bad

  
Signature

244

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kamaljit I. Lakhataria

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Enhancing QoS and QoE...	2009	very good
2.	Analysing Web 2.0 ...	2010	very good
3.	Protecting Computer Netw...	2011	very good
4.	Rigorous Design of ...	2011	very good
5.	The Impact of the New Web 2.0 ...	2013	very good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐ Good ☒ Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Dr. Apurva A. Desai

Designation : Professor & Head

Address : Dept. of Computer Science, VNSCV, Surat

Date : 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Place : A'bad

  
Signature

# Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kamaljit I. Lakhataria

Subject : Computer Science

Exam 7 Rigorous Review 2011

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	A Comparative Study of the		Quality of publication
2.	Providing Court space		is good, focused on
3.	Evolution of OS & QOS	2009	the area of multimedia
4.	Analysis of 2.0	2010	communication. Best
5.	Protecting Computer sys	2011	design and interface

Group  
Spicy  
Chairman

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

it was found that there was no clarity in basic concepts. He looked confused and was not able to comprehend satisfactorily.

Name of the Expert :

Designation :

Address :

Date :

Place :

Dr. NAGARAJ R  
Director  
DA-11CT  
15.05.2015  
Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnalal Modi

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	False base station attack in GSM network	Nov-2014	Average
2.	An intrusion base detection and mitigation	May-2013	Average
3.	An Analysis of multipath routing		
4.	A Comparative analysis of Net layer threats	April-2012	Average
5.	Anatomy and Mechanism of Research	April-2012	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Ajay D Parikh

Designation

: Prof. & Head

Address


: Dept. of Computer Sc, Gov. vidyalaya

Date

: 15/5/2015

Place

: Ahmednagar

  
Signature

ESX



### Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kamaljit I. Lakhataria

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Enhancing GIS and GRS...	2009	Very good
2.	Analyzing Web 2.0 Integr...	2010	good
3.	The Impact of the New web	2011	Good
4.	Protecting Computer Netw...	2011	Very good
5.	Rigorous Design of moving sequences	2013	Very good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Ajay Kumar

Designation

: Prof. and Head

Address

: Dept. of Comp. Sc. Guyana Vidyalaya

Date

: 15/05/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

Signature

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnal Modi

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	An acquisition based detection & mitigation ....	May - 2013	Good Average
2.	ASM Mobile Authentication ....	Nov - Dec 2014	Average
3.	False base station attack in GSM	Nov - 2014	Average
4.	A comparative analysis ...	April - 2012	Average
5.	Architecture and Mechanism of DCS Attack	OCT - 2012	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Dr. Apurva A. Desai

Designation : Professor & Head

Address : Dept. of Computer Science, VNSGU, Surat

Date : 15<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Place : A'bad.

  
Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnalal Modi

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	False base identification C-Sm. ....	Nov-2014	Average
2.	Acquisition based detection and mitigation	May-2013	Average
3.	An analysis of Multipath.		Average
4.	A competitive analysis of Network ....	April-2012	Average
5.	Anatomy and Mechanism of ...	April-2012	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Ajay Parikh

Designation : Prof. & Head.

Address : Dept. of Comp. Sc., Gujarat Vidyapeeth

Date : 15/05/2015

Place : Ahmedabad.

  
Signature

# Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Professor

*Associate Professor*

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nileshkumar Krushnalal Modi

Subject : Computer Science

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	False Base Station Attack	Nov/14	None of the papers are candidate's original publications. HC is a co-author and was not able to comprehend on the findings of the paper & cannot be used for judging candidate's research capabilities.
2.	GSM Mobile Authentication	Dec/14	
3.	An intrusion based Detection	May/13	
4.	A Comparative Analysis	April/12	
5.	Bruteforce & Mechanism	Oct/12	
6.	Genetic Algorithm	Nov/14	

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☐

*No Comments*

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

*No Comments*

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert :

*Dr. NAGARAJ. R*

Designation :

*Director*

Address :

*DA-11CT*

Date :

*15.05.2015*

Place :

*Ahmedabad*

Signature

Computer Science																
Associate Professor																
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									Experience	Publications	API Score After Capping	NET/ SLE T	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D						
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Classes	Year	Specialization					
1	Modi Nileshekumar Krushnalal	SEBC	BCOM	73	1st / DT	MCA	2002	74	1st / DT	2006	Comp. Sci.	11.42 Yrs.	61	492	No	Eligible
2	Dr. Kamaljit I. Lakhtaria	SEBC	BCA BSC-IT	58.9	2nd	MCA	2005	70	Dist.	2010	Comp. Sci.	13.33 Yrs.	---	590.6	No	Eligible

BIT  
BCA  
✓  
Bachelor of Information  
Technology & Computer Application

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*

Computer Science																
Professor																
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									Experience	Publications	API Score After Capping	NET/ SLE T	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D						
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization					
1	Modi Nileshkumar Krushnalal	SEBC	BCOM	73	1st / DT	MCA	2002	74	1st / DT	2006	Comp. Sci.	11.42 Yrs.	61	492	No	Eligible







257

## Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



### Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Political Science** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 14/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 21 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

Name of the selected candidate :

1) Hitesh Ragnikant Patel  
2) Dhokkik Ragnikant Patel

Selection Committee :

1. Hon. Vice Chancellor : Dr. M. N. Patel
2. Government Nominee : Dr. M. B. Dholakia
3. Head of the Department : Mr. Mukesh Khatik
4. Subject Expert : Dr. Priyavadan M. Patel
5. Subject Expert : Dr. Satish Patel
6. Subject Expert : Dr. Surendra J. Bondhale
7. Academic Representative : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya

Waiting:- 1) Ashok Kumar Bhikkhal Prashit-  
2) Ravi Saxena

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Thursday 14<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Political Science

Post : Assistant Professor (2 Open)

Assessment is based on

- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Ms. Patel Hemlataben Amrutlal	10	15	10	35
2	Dr. Meenakshi Rushikesh Trivedi	08	05	03	16
3	Dr. Tanushree Srivastava	12	10	08	30
4	Ms. Udage Dhanushri Dasrath	10	05	05	20
5	Dr. Divyaben Dilipkumar Patel	06	03	03	12
6	Dr. Rajni Chaoudhary	08	05	05	18
7	Ms. Meena Sunita	14	13	07	34
8	Dr. Deval V. Bhatt	10	08	10	28
9	Mr. Rathod Pravinkumar Valjibhai	08	04	03	15
10	Mr. Kahkashan Kamal	15	15	10	40
11	Mr. Warwantkar Sachin Govindrao	18	16	11	45

067  
m. Gokhale

Munshi

B. C.


Patel


S. J. Jadhav




12	Mr. Tundaliya Vishal Manharvadan	03	05	04	12
13	Mr. Soni Birenkumar Sunilkumar	08	03	10	21
14	Mr. Mehta Harshit	10	07	06	23
15	Mr. Sharma Bhavesh Sureshbhai	10	07	06	23
16	Mr. Saxena Ravi	26	18	11	55
17	Mr. Patel Divyang Navinchandra	14	15	10	39
18	Mr. Patel Hitesh Rajnikant	30	20	10	60
19	Dr. Ranjanakumari Chhaganbhai Dholakia	28	18	11	57
20	Dr. Ganeshbhai Somabhai Prajapati	10	04	03	17
21	Dr. Ashokkumar Bhikhalal Purohit	27	19	10	56

757


  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Priyavadan M. Patel

  
Dr. Satish Patel

  
Dr. Surendra J. Jondhale

  
Mr. Mukesh Khatik

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Political Science													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Trivedi Meenakshi Rushikesh	OPEN	BA	61	1st	MA	2006	64	1st	2013	Pol. Sci.	NET - 2008	Eligible
2	Kahkashan Kamal	SEBC	BA	64.3	1st	MA Pol	2012	73	1st	---	Pol. Sci	NET - 2013 (Int. Relations)	Eligible
3	Warwantkar Sachin Govindrao	OPEN	BA	57	2nd	MA	2013	57	2nd	---	---	NET - 2013 GSET 2013	Eligible
4	Srivastava Tanushree	OPEN	BSC	63	1st	MA	2003	68	1st	2007	Pol. Sci.	GSET - 2013	Eligible
5	Udage Dhanushri Dasrath	OPEN	BA	59.4	2nd	MA	2006	67	1st	---	---	GSET - 2012	Eligible
6	Tundaliya Vishal Manharvadan	OPEN	BA	66	1st	MA	2006	57	2nd	---	---	GSET - 2011	Eligible
7	Dr. Divyaben Dilipkumar Patel	OPEN	BA	68.6	1st	MA	2003	69	1st	2012	Pol. Sci.	No	Eligible
8	Dr. Rajni Chaoudhary	OPEN	BA	63	1st	MA	2002	61	1st	2009	Pol. Sci.	NET - 2002 / 2003 / 2003	Eligible
9	Meena Sunita	ST	BA	58	2nd	MA	2012	65	1st	---	---	NET - 2011 (Int. and Area Study)	Eligible
10	Soni Birenkumar Sunilkumar	OPEN	BE	62.6	1st	MA	2011	55	2nd	---	---	GSET - 2013	Eligible
11	Mehta Harshit	OPEN	BA History Polt. Sci. Soc. Stud.	60	1st	MA	2001	59	2nd	---	---	NET - 2001 / 2003	Eligible
12	Sharma Bhavesh Sureshbhai	SEBC	BA	61.3	1st	MA	2011	68	1st	---	---	GSET - 2013	Eligible
13	Saxena Ravi	OPEN	BA	64.4	1st	MA	2000	55	2nd	---	---	NET - 2005	Eligible

14	Patel Divyang Navinchandra	SC	BA	61.9	1st	MA	2013	60	1st	---	---	NET - 2013 GSET - 2013	Eligible
15	Patel Hitesh Rajnikant	SC	BA	67	1st	MA	2002	61	1st	---	---	GSET - 2003	Eligible
16	Rathod Pravinkumar Vajibhai	SC/PH	BA	60	1st	MA	1995	64	1st	---	---	GSET - 2011	Eligible
17	Dholakia Ranjanakumari Chhaganbhai	OPEN	BA	56	2nd	MA	1998	55	2nd	2014	Pol. Sci.	GSET - 2003	Eligible
18	Prajapati Ganeshbhai Somabhai	SEBC	BA	56.8	2nd	MA	2007	61	2nd	2015	Pol. Sci.	No	Eligible
19	Patel Hemlataben Amrutlal	PH	BA	62.9	1st	MA	2008	80	Dist.	---	---	GSET - 2011	Eligible
20	Bhatt Deval V.	OPEN	BA	67	1st	MA	2000	67	1st	2015	Pol. Sci.	No	Eligible
21	Purohit Ashokkumar Bhikhalal	OPEN	BA	67	1st	MA	1997	61	1st	2010	Pol. Sci.	GSET - 2012	Eligible GSET certificate is not attached

૧૬૭

**Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India**










**Minutes of Selection Committee**

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Associate Professor** in the subject **Gujarati** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 13/05/2015.


After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 04 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : Dr. Maheshvar Kanti Lal Karshora

**Selection Committee**

- |                            |                        |   |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel      |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia   |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Kirtida Shah     |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Pinakini Pandya  |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Darshan Dholakia |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Neeta Bhagat     |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya |  |

Waiting:- Patel Deepakbhai Prabhubhai

  
13/5/15

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Gujarati

Post : Associate Professor

Assessment is based on


- (A) Academic Background (20%),
- (B) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%),
- (C) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (20%),
- (D) Interview performance (20%)


The cutoff marks for Female candidate preferential is as follow :


Female : 50 Marks

Male : 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 20	B 40	C 20	D 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Kantilal Karshan Malsatar	14	26	15	14	69
2	Dr. Himmatbhai Dhudabhai Bhalodiya	13	20	10	10	53
3	Dr. Bharatkumar Nandlal Solanki	10	16	08	09	43
4	Dr. Deepakbhai Prabhubhai Patel	12	24	13	11	60


  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Darshana Dholakia

  
Dr. Neeta Bhagat

  
Dr. Pinakini Pandya

  
Dr. Kirtida Shah

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya

Gujarati																
Associate Professor																
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									Experi ence	Publi cation s	API Score After Cappin g	NET/ SLE T	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D						
			Su bje ct	Perc enta ge	Cla ss	Subje ct	Year	Perc enta ge	Clas s	Year	Speci alizati on					
1	Bhalodiya Himmatbhai Dhudabhai	SEBC	BA	65.6	1st	MA	1998	60.9	1st	2007	Gujar ati	12 Yrs.	34	430.65	No	Eligible
2	Dr. Malsatar Kantilal Karshan	SEBC + PH	BA	58.5	2nd	MA	2002	64	1st	2007	Gujar ati	8 Yrs.	50	496	(No)	Eligible
3	Solanki Bharatkumar Nandlal	SEBC	BA	65.9	1st	MA	1990	60	1st	1994	Gujar ati	24 Yrs.	21	320	No	Eligible
4	Patel Deepakbhai Prabhubhai	SEBC	BA	63.9	1st	MA	1996	58	2nd	2002	Gujar ati	15 Yrs.	63	826.5	NET - 1999	Eligible

*[Signature]*

K. H. D. K. K.

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*  
De. S. K.

*[Signature]*

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kantilal Karshan Malsatar

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Dalit Katha Vimarsan	2010	good
2.	Dalit Sandarbha Vyan	2012	good
3.	Dalit digdarshan	2015	good
4.	Samkalin Dalit Kahaniya	2010	good (Arb.)
5.	Dalit Sahitya Paramparana Kabir	2010	" (Arb. Dalit Sah dhan

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

Prof. Pinakini D. Pandya  
professor  
11, Tulsi Angan Township, Bakrol-Arand  
13/5/2015  
Albad

Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Himmatbhai Dhudabhai Bhalodiya

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Gujarati Vivechan na kettak sampratyaya	2009	Good
2.	Ravji Patel ni Varta Sarjan	2010	"
3.	Pannalal Patel ni Janpuchi	5th 2013	"
4.	Arvalli no Vanprades " "	- (Art)	"
5.	Bhutiya Ane Paschatya	2011	"

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐ Good ☒ Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

Prof. Pinakini D. Pandya  
Professor  
11, Talsi Angan Township Bakrol - Anand  
13/5/2015  
A'bad

Signature



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Bharatkumar Nandlal Solanki

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Sitanshu yashaschandra	not submitted	
2.	Sabdayan	not submitted	
3.			
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☐

No ☐

NIL

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

NIL

Fair ☐

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

Dr. P. D. Pandya

Designation

Professor

Address

11, Tulsi Arjun Township, Bakrol - Anand

Date

13/5/2015

Place

Anand

Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Deepakbhai Prabhubhai Patel

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Arijit	2006	good
2.	Bhatee Sahitya Kruti	2009	good
3.	Xibandhlok	2011	good
4.	Akho — Ek Swadhyay	2012	Average
5.	Gujarati Jagysothari	2013	good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

Prof. P. D. Pandya  
Professor  
11, Tulsi Angan Township, Bakret-Arond  
13/5/2015  
A'bad

Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kantilal Karshan Malsatar

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Dalit Kathavimarsh	2010	very good
2.	Dalit: Sandhaubhathi Vyanjana	2012	very good
3.	Vishista Dalit Atmakatha	2014	very good
4.	Vyaktinimite Panjatona Daliton Pidakha	2015	very good
5.	Sankalin Gujarati Dalit Varta	2012	very good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Prof. Nita Bhagat

Designation : Professor

Address : Dept. of Gujarati, The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodra

Date : 13-05-2015

Place : Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Himmatbhai Dhudabhai Bhalodiya

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Gujarati Vivechanna ke Hak Sambhattyaya	2009	very good
2.	Ravji Patelni Vastu Sayakta	2010	good
3.	Bharatiya gram Paniveshna Uttam Atekhak	not mentioned	good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Prof. Nita Bhagat  
Designation : Professor  
Address : The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodra  
Date : 13-05-2015  
Place : Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Bharatkumar Nandlal Solanki

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Sabdagan	not mentioned	Good
2.	Sitanshy Jashaschandani Kawita	not mentioned	Average
3.	Gujarati Toonki Varta Aaj Ane Kaal	1995	Average
4.	Adhunik Gujarati Vartana Sannidhikaran	not mentioned	Average
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Prof. Nita Bhagat  
Designation : Professor  
Address : The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodra  
Date : 13-05-2015  
Place : Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Deepakbhai Prabhubhai Patel

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Bheele Sahitya Kruti and Sanskriti	2009	very good
2.	Ajiti	2006	very good
3.	Nibandhlok	2011	very good
4.	AKho: EK Swadhyay	2006	good
5.	Gosar: Bha-Aiyatana Sandalhe Raghuvanshi Ane Kalaakhyani comparative study	2015	Very good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert :

Prof. Nity Bhagat

Designation :

Professor

Address :

The M. S. University of Baroda, Vadodra

Date :

13-05-2015

Place :

Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Kantilal Karshan Malsatar

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Dalit karhu vitarth	2010	very good
2.	<del>Dalit karhu vitarth</del>		
3.	<del>Dalit karhu vitarth</del> Dalit karhu vitarth	2010	very good
4.	Dalit samdarbh		
5.	Dalit samdarbh	2014	very good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. Dushmanti Dholakiya

Designation

: Professor Dept. of Gujarati

Address

: K.S.K.V. Karshi Uni. Bhuj

Date

: 13.5.15

Place

: Ahmedabad

Signature

4/2

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Himmatbhai Dhudabhai Bhalodiya

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Gujarati Virodhana Kavitakalpa	2009	Very Good
2.	Barji parichay - Gujarati Kavitakalpa	2010	Good
3.	Gujarati Virodhana Kavitakalpa		
4.	Gujarati Virodhana Kavitakalpa	3rd-2010	Good
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒ No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐ Good ☒ Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert : Dr. Ganesh Prasad  
Designation : Professor, Department of Gujarati  
Address : K.J.K.V. Kutch Univ., Bhuj  
Date : 13.5.15  
Place : Ahmedabad

Signature

268



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Bharatkumar Nandlal Solanki

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☐

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert :

Designation :

Address :

Date :

Place :

Dr. Darshana Dholani  
Professor Dept. of Gujarati  
R. J. K. V. Kutch Uni.  
Bhuj  
R. J. K. V.  
Bhuj

Signature

49

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Deepakbhai Prabhubhai Patel

Subject : Gujarati

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Bhakti Sahitya Arjit	2006	Good
2.	Bhakti Sahitya - Kavi Samiksha	2009	Good
3.	Nibandh Lok	2011	Good
4.	Akshat: BK Samiksha	2006	Good
5.	Dr. Kavyashri Nibandh Samiksha	2014	Good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert :

Dr. Sushama Chaudhary

Designation :

Professor

Address :


Depn. of Gujarati, K.J. Somaiya Univ., Bhavnagar

Date :

12.01.15

Place :

Ahmedabad

  
Signature

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Hindi** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 13/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 12 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : Dr. Bhambhen Manubhai Chikhalia

### Selection Committee

- |                            |                       |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Ranjana Argade  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. B. K. Kalaswa   |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Daxa Mistry     |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Madhav Hada     |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |

Waiting:- Chandhan Prarenbhai Manubhai

2/22

2/22

Gujarat University Ahmadabad  
Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> May 2015  
Subject : Hindi (1 ST)  
Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on


- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)

The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Sheelaben Kalubhai Ninama	13	06	06	25
2	Dr. Bhanuben Manabhai Chaudhari	26	18	12	56
3	Dr. Prafullaben Mohanbhai Patel	22	13	10	45
4	Dr. Parulbaben Thakurbhai Chaudhari	15	08	07	30
5	Mr. Damor Kanaiyalal Pannalal	26	12	11	49
6	Dr. Pravinbhai Madhubhai Chaudhari	26	16	13	55
7	Dr. Dineshbhai Kasantbhai Kishori	24	14	10	48
8	Mr. Vasava Anandbhai Damjibhai	21	10	10	41
9	Dr. Ramsinh Nathabhai Parmar	20	13	11	44
10	Mr. Chaudhari Anilkumar Sumabhai	16	08	07	31


023

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. B. K. Kalaswa

  
Dr. Daxa Mistry

  
Dr. Madav Hada

  
Dr. Ranjana Argade

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Hindi													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Cat ego ry	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Su bje ct	Per cent age	Class	Subj ect	Year	Per cen tag e	Clas s	Year	Specia lizatio n		
1	Damor Kanaiyalal Pannalal	ST	BA	67.7	1st	MA	2003	60	1st	---	---	NET - 2005	Eligible
2	Dr. Ninama Sheelaben Kalubhai	ST	BA	58	2nd	MA	1998	52	2nd	2007	Hindi	No	Eligible
3	Chaudhari Pravinbhai Madhubhai	ST	BA	54.8	2nd	MA	2005	60	1st	2009	Hindi	SLET - 2015	Eligible SLET final Cert. is to be verified
4	Kishori Dineshbhai Kasanbhai	ST	BA	58.1	2nd	MA	2006	62	1st	2011	Hindi	NET - 2008	Eligible
5	Dr. Chaudhari Bhanuben Manabhai	ST	BA	64.4	1st	MA	1997	62	1st	2002	Hindi	No	Eligible
6	Vasava Anandbhai Damjibhai	ST	BA	62.8	2nd	MA	2007	61	2nd	---	---	NET - 2009	Eligible
7	Patel Prafullaben Mohanbhai	ST	BA	51.6	PASS	MA	2006	62	1st	2012	Hindi	SLET - 2014	Eligible SLET final Cert. is to be verified
8	Dr. Parmar Ramsinh Nathabhai	ST	BA	53.6	2nd	MA	2005	54	2nd	2014	Hindi	NET - 2007	Eligible
9	Chaudhari Parulbaben Thakurbhai	ST	BA	56.8	2nd	MA	2007	65	1st	2012	Hindi	No	Eligible
10	Chaudhari Anilkumar Sumabhai	ST	BA	63.6	1st	MA	2003	56	2nd	---	---	NET - 2010	Eligible

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Chemistry** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 12/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 02 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications. Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : Dilip Kumar Vajibhan Vasa

### Selection Committee

- |                            |                        |
|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel      |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia   |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Ashwar Anand     |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. D. K. Raval      |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. J. J. Vora       |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya |

*[Handwritten signatures and initials for each member of the Selection Committee, corresponding to the list above.]*

No waiting  
*[Handwritten signature]*

202

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India










## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Chemistry** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 12/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 25 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate** : 1/ Hemant Kumar Ramakant Bhatnagar  
2/ Hitesh Kumar Manojkumar Parikh

### Selection Committee

- |                            |                       |   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Ashwar Anand    |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. D. K. Raval     |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. J. J. Vora      |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |  |

Waiting:- 1/ Dr. Atul Harishchandra Maheshwari  
2/ Kalash Mahamadali Nor-mohammad



Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Tuesday 12<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Chemistry (1 ST)

Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on

(A) Academic record and research performance (50%),

(B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),

(C) Interview performance (20%)


The cutoff marks for female candidate preferential is as follow :


Female : 50 Marks

Male : 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Dilipkumar Virjibhai Vasava	29	16	10	55
2	Dr. Mineshkumar Dahyabhai Patel	20	13	07	40
3	Dr. Manishkumar Jerambhai Patel	← A — B — C — D — E — F — G — H — I — J — K — L — M — N — O — P — Q — R — S — T — U — V — W — X — Y — Z →			

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. Ashwar Anand

  
Dr. R. K. Raval

  
Dr. J. J. Vora

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia



Gujarat University Ahmadabad  
Tuesday 12<sup>th</sup> May 2015  
Subject : Chemistry (2 SEBC)  
Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on

- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
  - (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
  - (C) Interview performance (20%)
- The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Lina A. Patel	20	08	07	35
2	Dr. Dipti Kishorbhai Dodiya	23	15	09	47
3	Dr. Jigisha Ashish Modi	20	10	06	36
4	Ms. Lavadia Bhavna Ranabhai	16	07	06	29
5	Dr. Parimal Manmohandas Chatrabhuji	14	06	05	25
6	Dr. Pinkeshkumar Gopalbhai Sutariya	22	11	07	40
7	Dr. Sunil R. Mistry	17	07	06	30
8	Dr. Manish Jamanadas Solanki	23	12	08	43
9	Dr. Hemantkumar Ramanlal Prajapati	28	20	12	60
10	Dr. Neerajkumar Balvantrai Patel	21	14	10	45
11	Dr. Amit Maheshkumar Dodiya	22	12	08	42
12	Mr. Jayswal Milan Mukundrai	13	07	06	26

*[Handwritten signatures and marks]*

13	Dr. Hiteshkumar Manubhai Parekh	34	12	12	58
14	Dr. Vijaykumar Ranabhai Ram	<-----	A - B - 5	E - 11 - E	----->
15	Dr. Vijaykumar Manubhai Barot	<-----	- A - B -	5 - 6 -	11 - E ----->
16	Dr. Manishkumar Bhikhabhai Patel	15	07	06	28
17	Dr. Parashar Harshadbhai Modh	17	08	07	32
18	Mr. Katariya Kanubhai Dulabhai	20	11	10	41
19	Dr. Govindbhai Jagmalbhai Kher	24	10	08	42
20	Dr. Atul Haribhai Makwana	33	15	08	56
21	Mr. Mistry Bhupendrabhai Mohanlal	<-----	A - B -	5 - 6 -	11 - E ----->
22	Dr. Kalpesugiri Velgiri Goswami	16	07	06	29
23	Dr. Shashikant Bhikhubhai Teraiya	20	08	07	35
24	Dr. Vijaykumar Ishverlal Patel	18	08	06	32
25	Mr. Kalvat Mahamadatik Normahamad	30	13	12	55
26	Mr. Dhobi Dipakkumar Dashrathbhai	14	06	06	26
27	Dr. Maheshkumar Chandubhai Varia	29	15	09	53
28	Mr. Patel Hirenkumar Mohanlal	10	06	06	22

Dr. M. N. Patel

Dr. M. B. Dholakia

Dr. Ashwar Anand

Dr. D. K. Raval

Dr. J. J. Vora

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

**Chemistry**  
**Assistant Professor**

Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Chatrabhuji Parimal Manmohandas	SEBC	B.SC	48	2nd	M.SC	1995	58	2nd	2000	Chem.	No	Eligible
2	Dr. Pinkeshkumar Gopalbhai Sutariya	SEBC	B.SC	63.8	2nd	M.SC	2010	64	1st	2013	Chem.	No	Eligible
3	Dr. Sunil R. Mistry	SEBC	B.SC	52.9	2nd	M.SC	2007	65	1st	2013	Chem.	No	Eligible
4	Solanki Manish Jamanadas	SEBC	B.SC	62.1	1st	M.SC	2005	65	1st	2009	Chem.	No	Eligible
5	Dr. Prajapati Hemantkumar Ramanlal	SEBC	B.SC	67	1st	M.SC	1997	58	2nd	2006	Chem.	No	Eligible
6	Dr. Neerajkumar Balvantrai Patel	SEBC	B.SC	63.2	2nd	M.SC	2007	73	1st	2013	Chem.	No	Eligible
7	Dr. Lina A. Patel	SEBC	B.SC	72	Dist.	M.SC	2002	72	Dist.	2010	Chem.	No	Eligible
8	Dr. Amit Maheshkumar Dodiya	SEBC	B.SC	63.7	1st	M.SC	2006	66	1st	2011	Chem.	No	Eligible
9	Jayswal Milan Mukundrai	SEBC	B.SC	66.3	1st	M.SC	2007	71	Dist.	---	---	GSET	Eligible
10	Dr. Hiteshkumar Manubhai Parekh	SEBC	B.SC	55.5	2nd	M.SC	2000	56.76	2nd	2006	Chem.	No	Eligible
11	Ram Vijaykumar Ranabhai	SEBC	B.SC	67.7	1st	M.SC	2006	60	2nd	2010	Chem.	No	Eligible
12	Barot Vijaykumar Manubhai	SEBC	B.SC	67.5	1st	M.SC	1989	63	1st	1994	Chem.	No	Eligible
13	Patel Manishkumar Bhikhabhai	SEBC	B.SC	61.1	1st	M.SC	2008	67	1st	2013	Chem.	No	Eligible
14	Modh Parashar Harshadbhai	SEBC	B.SC	63.1	2nd	M.SC	2005	63	1st	2014	Chem.	No	Eligible
15	Katariya Kanubhai Dulabhai	SEBC	B.SC	73.1	1st	M.SC	2004	66	1st	---	---	GSTE	Eligible
16	Kher Govindbhai Jagmalbhai	SEBC	B.SC	60.9	1st	M.SC	2007	61	1st	2012	Chem.	No	Eligible
17	Makwana Atul Haribhai	SEBC	B.SC	70	1st / DT	M.SC	1994	72	1st / DT	2008	Chem.	GSET - 2011	Eligible

18	Mistry Bhupendrabhai Mohanlal	SEBC	B.SC	60.9	1st	M.SC	2005	62	2nd	2015	Chem.	No	Eligible	AD
19	Dodiya Dipti Kishorbhai	SEBC	B.SC	67.4	1st	M.SC	2007	70	Dist.	2011	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
20	Dr. Kalpeshgiri Velgiri Goswami	SEBC	B.SC	60	2nd	M.SC	2006	60	2nd	2013	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
21	Vasava Dilipkumar Virjibhai	ST	B.SC	55	2nd	M.SC	2000	66	1st	2012	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
22	Dr. Jigisha Ashish Modi	SEBC	B.SC	75	Dist.	M.SC	2005	72	Dist.	2010	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
23	Patel Mineshkumar Dahyabhai	ST	B.SC	56.8	2nd	M.SC	2006	61	2nd	2012	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
24	Teraiya Shashikant Bhikhubhai	SEBC	B.SC	66.8	1st	M.SC	2007	66	1st	2012	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
25	Dr. Vijaykumar Ishverlal Patel	SEBC	BSC	76	1st	MSC	2008	78	Dist.	2014	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
26	Kalvat Mahamadatik Normahamad	SEBC	BSC	60	1st	MSC	2014	77.77	1 <sup>st</sup> / Dist.	---	---	GSET	Eligible	P
27	Dhobi Dipakkumar Dashrathbhai	SEBC	BSC	69.2	1st	MSC	2012	67	1st	---	---	GSTE	Eligible	P
28	Lavadia Bhavna Ranabhai	SEBC	BSC	81.3	Dist.	MSC	2012	80	Dist.	---	---	NET - 2013	Eligible	P
29	Patel Manishkumar Jerambhai	ST	BSC	57.8	2nd	MSC	2006	57	2nd	2012	Chem.	No	Eligible	DI
30	Dr. Maheshkumar Chandubhai Varia	SEBC	BSC	52	2nd	MSC	2002	66	2nd	2010	Chem.	No	Eligible	P
31	Patel Hirenkumar Mohanlal	SEBC	BSC	71.7	1st	MSC	2014	59	2nd	---	---	GSET	Eligible	P

excused for absent

WPN

A

12-5-15

12-5-15

Mugan

⊕

AS 30000 1/1/15 5166 1

**Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India**



**Minutes of Selection Committee**

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Associate Professor** in the subject **Physics** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 03 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

*Dr Chetan Krishnbhai Limbachiya*

**Selection Committee :**

- |                            |                       |                    |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. M. V. Rao       | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. B. L. Ahuja     | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. B. Y. Thakore   | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. P. B. Thakore   | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia | <i>[Signature]</i> |

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Monday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Physics (1 SEBC)


Post : Associate Professor

Assessment is based on


- (A) Academic Background (20%),
- (B) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%),
- (C) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (20%),
- (D) Interview performance (20%)
- (E) The cutoff is 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 20	B 40	C 20	D 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Mukeshkumar Manharlal Jotani	08	10	07	05	30
2	Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani	09	12	08	06	35
3	Dr. Chetan Girishbhai Limbachiya	13	26	13	13	65


  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. B. L. Ahuja

  
Dr. B. Y. Thakore

  
Dr. P. B. Thakor

  
Dr. M. V. Rao

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Physics																
Associate Professor																
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									Experience	Publications	API Score After Capping	NET/ SLE T	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D						
			Subj ect	Perc enta ge	Cla ss	Subj ect	Year	Per cen tag e	Clas s	Year	Speci alizati on					
1	Jotani Mukeshkumar Manharlai	SEBC	BSC	71.3	1st	MSC	1985	61	1st	2003	Physi cs	37 Yrs.	50	367.6	No	Eligible
2	Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani	SEBC	BSC	71.2	2nd	MSC	1988	66	1st	2011	Physi cs	31 Yrs.	58	320.5	No	Eligible
3	Limbachiya Chetan Girishbhai	SEBC	BSC	67	1st	MSC	1993	61	1st	2006	Physi cs	30 Yrs.	34	926.1	No	Eligible

# Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Mukeshkumar Manharlal Jotani

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Crystal structure --- acetamide	2014 Solid Stat. Phen.	Good
2.	tert-butyl --- carboxylate	Acta Cryst. 2013	Very Good
3.	Orientalism disorder --- chloride	Physica B 2013	Very Good
4.	2 Z - Butyl --- carboxylate	Indian J. Phys. 2010	Good
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

: Prof. B. L. Athiyar  
: Prof of Physics & Director, Computer Centre  
: M. L. Sukhadia Univ., Udaipur (Raj.)  
: 11.5.2015  
: Ahmedabad

  
Signature



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Dielectric properties ... frequencies	2014 Indian J. Phys.	Good
2.	Effect of saline water - soil	2011 Indian J. Phys.	Good
3.	Effect of salinity on ... water	2012 Indian J. Phys.	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Prof. B.L. Ahuja

Designation

: Prof. of Physics and Director, computer centre

Address

: M.L. Sukhadia University, Udaipur

Date

: 11.5.2015

Place

: Ahmedabad



Signature

# Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Chetan Girishbhai Limbachiya

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Electron impact ... dimethylforman	2015, Mol. Phys.	Very Good IF 1.67
2.	Electron impact ... formamide	2014 JAP	Excellent IF 2.21
3.	Electron impact ... to 5 keV	2013 Mol. Phys.	Very Good IF 1.67
4.	Computation of ... (0.1 - 2000 eV)	2013 PR-A	Excellent IF 3.042
5.	Calculation of ... 2 keV	2011 PR-A	Excellent IF 3.042

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications? \*

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Prof. B.L. Arora

Designation

: Prof. of Physics & Director, Computer Centre

Address

: M.L. Sukhadia Univ., Udaipur

Date

: 11.5.2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

Signature

\* I am very happy to see six publications of the candidate in Physical Rev. A (USA), h-index as 11 and citations more than 425.

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Mukeshkumar Manharlal Jotani

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Crystal Structure --- acetamide	2014	Average
2.	tert-butyl --- carboxylate	2013	Good
3.	Orientational --- cadmium chloride	2012	Good
4.	(2Z)-Ethyl --- 6-carboxylate	2010	Good
5.	—	—	—

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: ~~Prof. B. Y. Thakore~~ Prof. B. Y. Thakore

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Physics, S. P. Uni, VV Nagar

Date

: 11/5/15

Place

: Ahmednagar

Signature  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Dielectric ... radio frequency	June 2014	Average
2.	Effect of ... of soil	Aug. 2011	Good
3.	Effect of ... properties of water	June 2012	Good
4.	—	—	—
5.	—	—	—

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Prof. B. Y. Thakore

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Physics, S. P. Univ, VV Nagar

Date

: 11/5/15

Place

: Ahmednagar

Dr. B. Y. Thakore  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Chetan Girishbhai Limbachiya

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Electron impact --- dimethylfuran	2015	Excellent
2.	Elec. impact --- formamide	2014	Very Good
3.	Ele. impact --- 5 keV	2013	Excellent
4.	Computations --- (0.1-2000 eV)	2013	Very Good
5.	calculations --- eV to 2 keV	2011	Excellent

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☐

Excellent ☒

Name of the Expert

: Prof. B.Y. Thakore

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Physics, S.P. Univ. Vvnagar

Date

: 11/5/15

Place

: Ahmedabad

B. Y. Thakore  
Signature

# Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Mukeshkumar Manharlal Jotani

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Crystal structure -- acetamide	19-11-2013	Average
2.	Tert butyl --- Carboxylate	21-09-2013	Good
3.	Orientational --- Chloride	19-10-2011	Good
4.	22-Ethyl --- Carboxylate	11-09-2010	Good
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Prof P B Thakur

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Physics, VRSGB, Surat

Date

: 11/05/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Dielectric --- Frequencies	June-2011	Good
2.	Effect --- of Soil	August 2011	Average
3.	Effect --- Properties of water	June 2012	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Prof P B Thakkar

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Physics, MNSU, Surat

Date

: 11/09/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Chetan Girishbhai Limbachiya

Subject : Physics

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Electron impact -- dimethyl/fluor	2015	Very Good
2.	Electron impact -- benzene/methylene	2014	Excellent
3.	Calculation -- from 0.01 eV to 2 keV	2011	Excellent
4.	Electron -- from threshold to 5 keV	2013	Very Good
5.	Compilation -- range of impact energy (0.1-2000 eV)	2013	Excellent

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Prof P B Thakur

Designation

: Professor

Address


: Department of Physics, VNISGU, Surat

Date

: 11/05/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature



Assistant Professor ST-I

Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



### Minutes of Selection Committee








The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Physics** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 03 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

Mr Patel Dharmeshkumar Balubhai

**Selection Committee :**

- |                                    |                        |   |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor            | : Dr. M. N. Patel      |  |
| 2. Government Nominee              | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia   |  |
| 3. Head of the Department/Director | : Dr. M. V. Rao        |  |
| 4. Subject Expert                  | : Dr. B. L. Ahuja      |  |
| 5. Subject Expert                  | : Dr. B. Y. Thakore    |  |
| 6. Subject Expert                  | : Dr. P. B. Thakore    |  |
| 7. Academic Representative         | : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya |  |

att

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Monday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Physics (1 ST)

Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on

- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)
- (E) The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Mr. Patel Lalitkumar P.	22	10	03	35
2	Mr. Patel Manish Makanjibhai	26	10	04	40
3	Mr. Patel Dharmeshkumar Balubhai	35	15	05	55

Dr. M. N. Patel

Dr. M. B. Dholakia

Dr. B. L. Ahuja

Dr. B. Y. Thakore

Dr. P. B. Thakor

Dr. M. V. Rao

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Physics													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Panchal Jignesh Natvarlal	SEBC	BSC Elec	62.6	1st	MSC Elect.	2006	59	1st	2014	Elect.	No	Eligible
2	Patel Lalitkumar P.	ST	BSC	75.5	1st	MSC	2010	61	2nd	---	---	GSET	Eligible
3	Dr. Suthar Punitkumar Harshadbhai	SEBC	BSC	67.8	1st	MSC	2004	58	2nd	2012	Physics	No	Eligible
4	Tank Kashmira Prabhudasbhai	SEBC	BSC	72.4	Dist.	MSC	2006	66	1st	2013	Physics	No	Eligible
5	Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani	SEBC	BSC	71.2	2nd	MSC	1988	66	1st	2011	Physics	No	Eligible
6	Panchal Nital Rameshchandra	SEBC	BSC	65.1	1st	MSC	2004	56	2nd	2011	Physics	No	Eligible
7	Patel Manish Makanjibhai	ST	BSC	48.8	2nd	MSC	2002	51	2nd	---	---	No	Eligible Ph. D - Subject to its Notification
8	Parikh Harikrishna Natvarbhai	SEBC	BSC	62.3	1st	MSC	1998	70	1st	---	---	NET - 1997	Eligible M. Sc. in Electronics
9	Dr. Kanti Gopabhai Bambhaniya	SEBC	BSC	76.8	Dist.	MSC	2008	77	Dist.	2013	Physics	GSET	Eligible
10	Kadve Anurag Maheshbhai	SEBC	BSC	65.9	1st	MSC	2013	68	1st	---	---	GSET - 2013	Eligible
11	Dr. Mayuri Yogeshkumar Barot	SEBC	BSC	68.1	1st	MSC	2009	61	1st	2014	Physics	No	Eligible
12	Dabhi Shweta Devendrabhai	SEBC	BSC	69	1st	MSC	2012	75	Dist.	---	---	NET - 2012 GSET - 2013	Eligible
13	Patel Dharmeshkumar Balubhai	ST	BSC	56.6	2nd	MSC	2008	53	2nd	---	---	NET - 2012	Eligible NET Cert. is to be verified
14	Patel Amit Bhagubhai	SEBC	BSC	68.9	1st	MSC	2010	70	Dist.	2014	Physics	GSET	Eligible

Assistant Professor SEBC 1

Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



### Minutes of Selection Committee








The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Physics** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 11 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

Dr. Armit Bhagubhai Patel

**Selection Committee :**

- |                                    |                       |   |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor            | : Dr. M. N. Patel     |  |
| 2. Government Nominee              | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  |  |
| 3. Head of the Department/Director | : Dr. M. V. Rao       |  |
| 4. Subject Expert                  | : Dr. B. L. Ahuja     |  |
| 5. Subject Expert                  | : Dr. B. Y. Thakore   |  |
| 6. Subject Expert                  | : Dr. P. B. Thakore   |  |
| 7. Academic Representative         | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |  |

Waiting : Ms. Dabhi Shweta Devendrabhai


Gujarat University Ahmadabad  
Monday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015  
Subject : Physics (1 SEBC)  
Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on


- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)
- (E) The cutoff is 55 Marks


Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Kashmira Prabhudasbhai Tank	25	10	05	40
2	Dr. Nital Rameshchandra Panchal	23	12	06	41
3	Dr. Mayuri Yogeshkumar Barot	24	14	08	46
4	Ms. Dabhi Shweta Devendrabhai	28	13	10	51
5	Dr. Jignesh Natvarlal Panchal	20	05	04	29
6	Dr. Punitkumar Harshadbhai Suthar	22	06	04	32
7	Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani	25	15	05	45
8	Mr. Parikh Harikrishna Natvarbhai	24	14	12	50
9	Dr. Kanti Gopabhai Bambhaniya	25	05	03	33
10	Mr. Anurag Maheshbhai Kadve	22	15	11	48
11	Dr. Amit Bhagubhai Patel	32	18	15	65


  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. B. L. Ahuja

  
Dr. B. Y. Thakore

  
Dr. P. B. Thakor

  
Dr. M. V. Rao

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Physics													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Panchal Jignesh Natvarlal	SEBC	BSC Elec	62.6	1st	MSC Elect.	2006	59	1st	2014	Elect.	No	Eligible
2	Patel Lalitkumar P.	ST	BSC	75.5	1st	MSC	2010	61	2nd	---	---	GSET	Eligible
3	Dr. Suthar Punitkumar Harshadbhai	SEBC	BSC	67.8	1st	MSC	2004	58	2nd	2012	Physics	No	Eligible
4	Tank Kashmira Prabhudasbhai	SEBC	BSC	72.4	Dist.	MSC	2006	66	1st	2013	Physics	No	Eligible
5	Dr. Deepak Hargovind Gadani	SEBC	BSC	71.2	2nd	MSC	1988	66	1st	2011	Physics	No	Eligible
6	Panchal Nital Rameshchandra	SEBC	BSC	65.1	1st	MSC	2004	56	2nd	2011	Physics	No	Eligible
7	Patel Manish Makanjibhai	ST	BSC	48.8	2nd	MSC	2002	51	2nd	---	---	No	Eligible Ph. D - Subject to its Notification
8	Parikh Harikrishna Natvarbhai	SEBC	BSC	62.3	1st	MSC	1998	70	1st	---	---	NET - 1997	Eligible M. Sc. in Electronics
9	Dr. Kanti Gopabhai Bambhaniya	SEBC	BSC	76.8	Dist.	MSC	2008	77	Dist.	2013	Physics	GSET	Eligible
10	Kadve Anurag Maheshbhai	SEBC	BSC	65.9	1st	MSC	2013	68	1st	---	---	GSET - 2013	Eligible
11	Dr. Mayuri Yogeshkumar Barot	SEBC	BSC	68.1	1st	MSC	2009	61	1st	2014	Physics	No	Eligible
12	Dabhi Shweta Devendrabhai	SEBC	BSC	69	1st	MSC	2012	75	Dist.	---	---	NET - 2012 GSET - 2013	Eligible
13	Patel Dharmeshkumar Balubhai	ST	BSC	56.6	2nd	MSC	2008	53	2nd	---	---	NET - 2012	Eligible NET Cert. is to be verified
14	Patel Amit Bhagubhai	SEBC	BSC	68.9	1st	MSC	2010	70	Dist.	2014	Physics	GSET	Eligible

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India









## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Statistics** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed — candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

Name of the selected candidate : — Absent, Nil

### Selection Committee :

- |                            |                       |   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. R. G. Bhatt     | —   |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. K. Murlidharan  |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. P. A. Patel     |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. R. D. Patel     |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia |  |

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Monday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Statistics (1 ST)


Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on


- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)
- (E) The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Shital Shankarbhai Patel	←		→	Absent


  
Dr. M. N. Patel


  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

  
Dr. K. Murlidharan

  
Dr. P. A. Patel

  
Dr. R. D. Patel

  
Dr. R. G. Bhatt

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhalia



# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Zoology** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 08 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

Dr. Gaurangkumar Mansukhlal Sindhe

**Selection Committee :**

- |                            |                                    |  |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel                  |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia               |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. M. V. Rao                    |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. A. V. R. L. Narasimhacharaya |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Maheep Bhatnagar             |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. P. C. Mankodi                |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia              |  |

Waiting : Dr. Saraswati M. Bukhanasidi

2015

Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Monday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Zoology ( 1 SEBC)

Post : Assistant Professor

Assessment is based on

- (A) Academic record and research performance (50%),
- (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%),
- (C) Interview performance (20%)
- (E) The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Sarasvati M. Sukhanandi	22	17	11	50
2	Dr. Hetalben Mangaldas Parekh	16	11	07	34
3	Dr. Heena Amritbhai Prajapati	15	10	05	30
4	Dr. Panchal Sneha Rajendrakumar	18	10	07	35
5	Dr. Lalitkumar Arjanji Thakor	13	09	06	28
6	Dr. Gaurangkumar Mansukhlal Sindhav	26	20	10	56
7	Dr. Ratilal Bhikhabhai Bharwad	20	12	09	41
8	Mr. Nimbark Nihar Kiritkumar	21	13	11	45

Dr. M. N. Patel

Dr. M. B. Dholakia

Dr. A. V. R. L. Narasimhacharaya

Dr. Maheep Bhatnagar

Dr. P. C. Mankodi

Dr. M. V. Rao

Dr. K. H. Chikhalia

Zoology													
Assistant Professor													
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Thakor Lalitkumar Arjanji	SEBC	BSC	62.1	1st	MSC	2001	59	2nd	2011	Zoology	No	Eligible
2	Sukhanandi Sarasvati M.	SEBC	BSC Micro	61.9	1st	MSC	2009	71	Dist.	2011	Zoology	GSET - 2013	Eligible
3	Parekh Hetalben Mangaldas	SEBC	BSC	74.2	Dist.	MSC	2003	61	1st	2014	Zoology	No	Eligible
4	Dr. Sindhav Gaurangkumar Mansukhlal	SEBC	BSC	71.8	1st	MSC	2009	63	1st	2014	Zoology	No	Eligible
5	Panchal Sneha Rajendrakumar	SEBC	BSC	62.4	1st	MSC	2009	63	1st	2014	Zoology	No	Eligible
6	Prajapati Heena Amrilbhai	SEBC	BSC	60.2	2nd	MSC	2008	59	2nd	2014	Zoology	No	Eligible
7	Prajapati Rakeshkumar Chhaganbhai	SEBC	BSC	61.6	1st	MSC	2007	56	2nd	2015	Zoology	No	Eligible
8	Bharwad Ratilal Bhikhabhai	SEBC	BSC	65	1st	MSC	1991	59	2nd	2010	Zoology	No	Eligible
9	Nimbark Nihar Kiritkumar	SEBC	BSC	75.5	Dist.	MSC	2011	72	Dist.	---	---	GSET	Eligible

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Assistant Professor** in the subject **Microbiology** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 10/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed \_\_\_ candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

Dr. Kivansinh N. Rajapat — Selected

**Selection Committee :**

- |                            |                       |           |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     | SKV       |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  | Mugger    |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Meenu Saraf     | Har       |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. B. P. Dave      | Belane    |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. K. C. Patel     | Gabe      |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. S. P. Singh     | SPS       |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia | Chikhalia |

Waiting:-  
1. Chaitanya Kumar Jha  
2. Jignasha T Thakur

SKV

**Gujarat University Ahmadabad**  
**Sunday 10<sup>th</sup> May 2015**  
**Subject : Microbiology**  
**Post : Assistant Professor (1 Open)**

Selection is based on (A) Academic record and research performance (50%), (B) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (30%), (C) Interview performance (20%)

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 50	B 30	C 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Viral Yogeshkumar Shukla	10	12	08	30
2	Ms. Singh Saloni	—	—	—	Absent
3	Dr. Datta Himmatlal Parmar	09	09	07	25
4	Dr. Riddhi Harshadray Dave	10	11	08	29
5	Dr. Jignasha Trikamlal Thumar	29	18	12	59
6	Dr. Nidhi Laljibhai Gondaliya	11	09	09	29
7	Dr. Nasreen Shakil Munshi	25	18	12	55
8	Dr. Agrawal Shweta	20	19	11	50
9	Dr. Aditee Jamsinh Jadeja	13	09	07	29
10	Dr. Nidhi Vishal Maheshwari	25	12	12	49
11	Dr. Hiral Rajnikant Shah	14	09	07	30
12	Dr. Kena P. Anshuman	18	09	08	35
13	Dr. Dhara Bhagwatiprasad Patel	24	12	08	44
14	Dr. Harsha P. Soni	—	—	—	Absent
15	Dr. Shilpa Akshay Gupte	27	12	09	48
16	Dr. Sanjaykumar Ganpatbhai Patel	14	11	08	33
17	Mr. Nathani Sandip Ratanshi	14	09	08	31
18	Dr. Chaitanyakumar Jha	32	14	14	60
19	Dr. Jwalant Kaushikbhai Bhatt	21	13	11	45
20	Dr. Pinakin Chandubhai Dhandhukia	22	11	08	41
21	Dr. Nishant Satishchandra Junnarkar	20	14	10	44
22	Dr. Bhavtosh Anilkumar Kikani	27	17	12	56
23	Dr. Jagdishkumar P. Patel	21	12	10	43
24	Dr. Noushad Parvez	23	13	09	45
25	Dr. Manojkumar Vasantray Koradiya	19	08	07	34
26	Dr. Kiransinh Narendrasinh Rajput	33	14	14	61

Signature, Selection Committee Members

Microbiology – 10/05/2015 Time 10:00 to 14:00

Assistant Professor

Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									NET/SLE T	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D			
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization		
1	Nathani Sandip Ratanshi	OPEN	B SC	59.2	2nd	M SC	2011	71	1st	---	---	NET (Life Sci.)	Eligible
2	Dr. Sanjaykumar Ganpatbhai Patel	PII	B SC	68	1st	M SC	2006	58	2nd	2014	Micro.	NET - 2013	Eligible ICAR - 2014
3	Dr. Viral Yogeshkumar Shukla	OPEN	B SC	56	2nd	M SC	2001	64	1st	2010	Micro.	No	Eligible
4	Singh Saloni	OPEN	B SC Chem. Botany. Zoology	75.8	1st	M SC Micro	2014	90	1st	---	---	NET (Life Sci.) - 2013	Eligible
5	Parmar Datta Himmatlal	SC	B SC	64.3	1st	M SC	2003	64	1st	2007	Micro.	No	Eligible
6	Dr. Riddhi Harshadray Dave	OPEN	B SC	64.1	1st	M SC	2005	61	1st	2013	Micro.	No	Eligible
7	Jha Chaitanyakumar	OPEN	B SC	76	1st	M SC	2007	72	1st	2012	Micro	No	Eligible
8	Bhatti Jwalant Kaushikbhai	OPEN	B SC	67.8	1st	M SC	2008	74	1st/DT	2013	Micro	No	Eligible
9	Dr. Jignasha Trikamal Thumar	OPEN	B SC	58.7	2nd	M SC	2001	69	1st	2007	Micro	No	Eligible
10	Dr. Nidhi Laljibhai Gondaliya	OPEN	B SC	51.8	2nd	M SC	2007	57	2nd	2013	Micro.	No	Eligible

11	Dr. Nasreen Shakil Munshi	OPEN	B.SC	65.1	1st	M.SC	1996	63	1st	2004	Micro	No	Eligible	P
12	Agrawal Shweta	OPEN	B SC	73	1st	M.SC	2002	67	1st	2014	Micro	NET (Life Science) 2002	Eligible	P
13	Jadeja Aditee Jamsinh	OPEN	B.SC	70.8	1st	M.SC	2007	69	1st	2012	Micro	No	Eligible	P
14	Dr. Nidhi Vishal Maheshwari	OPEN	B.SC Botany, Chem Zoology	72.3	1st	M.SC	2005	81	1st	2010	Micro	No	Eligible	P
15	DR. Hiral Rajnikant Shah	OPEN	B.SC	58.5	2nd	M.SC	2000	61	1st	2014	Micro	No	Eligible	P
16	Dhandhukia Pinakin Chandubhai	OPEN	B.SC	62.2	1st	M.SC	2001	63	1st	2009	Micro	No	Eligible	P
17	Dr. Kena P. Anshuman	OPEN	B.SC	51.5	2nd	M.SC	1998	57	2nd	2005	Micro	No	Eligible	P
18	Patel Dhara Bhagwatiprasad	OPEN	B.SC	67	1st	M.SC	2006	62	1st	2014	Micro	No	Eligible	P
19	Junnarkar Nishant Satishchandra	OPEN	B SC	59	2nd	M.SC	2001	62	1st	2009	Micro	GSET - 2014	Eligible	P
20	Dr. Harsha P. Soni	OPEN	B SC	75.3	1st	M SC	1995	60	1st	2006	Micro	No	Eligible	Ab
21	Dr. Bhavtosh Anilkumar Kikani	OPEN	B.SC	62	1st	M SC	2007	57	1st	2013	Micro	No	Eligible	P
22	Dr. Jagdishkumar P. Patel	OPEN	B.SC	64.4	1st	M.SC	2000	59	2nd	2012	Micro	NET (Life Sci) 2009	Eligible	P
23	Dr. Noushad Parvez	OPEN	B SC	78.4	1st	M SC	2009	60	1st	2014	Micro	No	Eligible	P
24	Koradiya Manojkumar Vasantray	SC	B.SC	55.4	2nd	M SC	2005	59	2nd	2013	Micro	No	Eligible	P
25	Dr. Shilpa Akshay Gupte	OPEN	B SC	69.3	1st	MSC	1999	67	1st	2013	Micro	No	Eligible	P
26	Rajput Kiransinh Narendrasinh	OPEN	B SC	62.5	2nd	MSC	1995	60	1st	2009	Micro	No	Eligible	P

P

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee

The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Associate Professor** in the subject **Botany** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed 4 candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

*Dr. Naimesh Rajendrakumar Modi (selected)*

**Selection Committee :**

- |                            |                       |                    |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel     | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia  | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Archana Mankad  | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Jitendra Pandey | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Sumitra Chanda  | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. M. N. Reddy     | <i>[Signature]</i> |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhalia | <i>[Signature]</i> |

*Waiting 1 Dr. Ilka Chandubhai Patel*

*229*

*[Signature]*



Gujarat University Ahmadabad

Monday 11<sup>th</sup> May 2015

Subject : Botany (1 Open)


Post : Associate Professor



Assessment is based on


- (A) Academic Background (20%),
- (B) Research performance based on API score and quality of publications (40%),
- (C) Assessment of Domain Knowledge and teaching skills (20%),
- (D) Interview performance (20%)
- (E) The cutoff is 55 Marks

Sr. No.	Candidate Name	A 20	B 40	C 20	D 20	Out of 100
1	Dr. Susmita Sahoo	08	19	09	07	43
2	Dr. Illa Chandubhai Patel	12	20	13	11	56
3	Dr. Nirmalkumar J. I.	08	21	07	09	45
4	Dr. Narendrakumar K. Patel	07	16	08	08	39
5	Dr. Sureshkumar Karmshibhai Patel	08	18	10	09	45
6	Dr. Bhaskar L. Punjani	11	17	11	09	48
7	Dr. Nainesh Rajendrakumar Modi	13	22	12	12	59

  
Dr. M. N. Patel

  
Dr. M. B. Dholakia

   
Dr. Jitendra Pandey Dr. M. N. Reddy

  
Dr. Sumitra Chanda

  
Dr. Archana Mankad

  
Dr. K. H. Chikhali

# Gujarat University, Ahmedabad-380009, India



## Minutes of Selection Committee








The selection committee for the direct recruitment at Gujarat University for the post of **Associate Professor** in the subject **Botany** was chaired by **Dr. M. N. Patel**, Hon. Vice-Chancellor, Gujarat University, Ahmedabad on 11/05/2015.

After screening the application of the candidates by the IQAC, Gujarat University, the selection committee has interviewed — candidates for Academic Background, Research Performance, Quality of Publications, Assessment of Domain Knowledge, Teaching Skills etc. as mentioned in the current applicable UGC regulation for the Direct Recruitment of a teacher in the university. The Selection Committee recommends the following as suitable candidate:

**Name of the selected candidate :**

—Nil— →

**Selection Committee :**

- |                            |                        |   |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|
| 1. Hon. Vice Chancellor    | : Dr. M. N. Patel      |  |
| 2. Government Nominee      | : Dr. M. B. Dholakia   |  |
| 3. Head of the Department  | : Dr. Archana Mankad   |  |
| 4. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Jitendra Pandey  |  |
| 5. Subject Expert          | : Dr. Sumitra Chanda   |  |
| 6. Subject Expert          | : Dr. M. N. Reddy      |  |
| 7. Academic Representative | : Dr. K. H. Chikhaliya |  |



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Narendrakumar K. Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Herbal Remedies - - -	2003	Average
2.	Ethnomedicinal - - - Gujarat	2010	Average
3.	Ethnomedicinal - - - North Gujarat	2009	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. Sumitra Chanda

Designation

: Professor

Address


: Dept. of Biosciences, Sav. Univ. Rajkot

Date

: 11/5/15

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature

API score verified

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Susmita Sahoo

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Micropropagation of Plumbago	1998	Good
2.	Approach - - - oriented study	?	Average
3.	Phytoremediation of Heavy Metals	?	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Dr. Sumitra Chanda

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Biosciences, Saurashtra Univ. Rajkot

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmedabad

  
Signature

HPI Score

Verified

23/5

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Ila Chandubhai Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Micropropagation ----- Moringa explant	2014	Good
2.	Quantitative analysis ----- Drosera	2015	Average Good
3.	Studies ----- Piper longum	2013	Average
4.	Biochemical ----- Merrill	2014	Average
5.	Performance ----- with PCR	2008	Average Good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Dr. Sumitra Chanda

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Biosciences, Sav. Univ. Rajkot

Date

: 11/5/15

Place

: Ahmedabad

API Verified

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Sureshkumar Karmshibhai Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Grasses - - - a review	2012	Good
2.	Some - - - North Gujarat	2010	Average
3.	Observation - - - of Gujarat	2003	Average
4.	Religious - - - India	2003	Average
5.	Fodder - - - India	2002	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. Samitra Chanda

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Biosciences, San. Univ. Rajkot

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmedabad

APS score verified

S. Chanda  
Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Bhaskar L. Punjani

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Allelopathic growth of rice	2006	Very Good
2.	Natural India	2007	Very Good
3.	Herbal Felt - Gujarat	2010	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☐

Excellent ☒

Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

: Dr. Sumitra Chanda  
: Professor  
: Dept. of Biosciences, Sav. Univ. Rajkot  
: 11-5-15  
: Ahmedabad

API score  
verified

S. Chanda  
Signature



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nainesh Rajendrakumar Modi

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	A record --- Ahmedabad		Average
2.	Biodiversity --- in Campus		Average
3.	Ecological --- wetland		Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. Sumitra Chanda

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Biosciences, Sardar Univ. Rajkot

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmedabad

API score verified

Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nirmalkumar J. I.

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Heavy metal -- Gujarat India	2012	Very Good
2.	An evaluation -- western India		Good
3.	Macrophyte --- Gujarat	2008	Good
4.	Chronic Toxicity --- Junek	2010	Good
5.	Metagenome --- classification	2014	Very Good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Dr. Sumitra Chanda

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept of Biosciences, Sav. Univ. Rajkot

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmedabad

API Verified

  
Signature

## Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nirmalkumar J. I.

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.	2010	Excellent
2.	Turkish J. Fish Aquat. Sci.	2009	Average
3.	Bull. Env. Contam. Toxicol.	1995	Average
4.	Stomach & Biocenergy	2010	Average / V. Good
5.	J. Limnol.	2008	Very Good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

: Prof. Jitendra Pandey

: Professor

: Department of Botany

: Bapuji's Hindu University, Varanasi

: 11/5/15

: Ahmedabad

API  
12/5/15  
11/5/2015

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Narendrakumar K. Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Ethnobotanical leaflets	2009	Average
2.	Ind. J. Traditional Knowledge	2003	Average
3.	Life Sci. leaflets	2010	Average
4.	J. Econ. Taxon Bot.	—	Average
5.	Geophytology	2003	Good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

: Prof. Silendra Pandey

: Professor

: Department of Botany,  
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

: 11/5/2015

: Ahmedabad

Signature

A.P.I. verified

11/5/2015

226

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Susmita Sahoo

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	J. Herbs, Species & Medicinal Herb	1998	Average
2.	Book Chapter - Ethnobotany	Not given	Average
3.	Plant Herbs & Water Sci. Technol.	Not given	Average
4.	Orissa J. Horticulture	1997	Average
5.	Allelopathy Journal	2014	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Prof. Jitendra Pandey

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Botany

Date

: Banaras Hindu University Varanasi

Place

: 11.5.2015, Ahmedabad

API verified  
11/5/15

  
Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Illa Chandubhai Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	European Acad. Research	2014	Good
2.	Life Sciences	2014	Excellent
3.	Int. J. Pharm Bio	2014	Good
4.	Ad. Plant Sci.	2008	Good
5.	Asian Journal Pharm. Clin. Res.	2015	Excellent

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

Designation

Address

Date

Place

: Prof. Jitendra Pandey

: Professor

: Department of Botany

: Banaras Hindu University

: Varanasi

: 11/5/2015

: Ahmedabad

Signature

Approved  
11/5/15

### Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Sureshkumar Karmshibhai Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Book chapter	1999-2002	Average
2.	Book chapter / Report	—	Average
3.	— do —	2002	Average
4.	— do —	2004	Average
5.	Life Sci. Leaflets	2012	Good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Prof. Jitendra Pandey

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Botany, Banaras Hindu University

Date

: Varanasi  
11/5/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

APR verified

11/5/15

23E

Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Bhaskar L. Punjani

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Allelopathy Journal	2005-	Good
2.	J. Swamy Bot. Cl.	2005-	Average
3.	Geobios	2006	Good
4.	Allelopathy Journal	2006	Good
5.	Allelopathy J. (Short Comm.)	2006	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Prof. Jitendra Pandey

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Botany,  
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

Date

: 11/5/2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

APR verified  
11/5/15

  
Signature



Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nainesh Rajendrakumar Modi

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Life Sci. Leaflets	2010	Very good
2.	Ind. J. Conservation Sciences	2013	Excellent
3.	Ind. J. Pharmacy & Life Sci.	2013	Excellent
4.	Wetland diversity & chemistry	2010	Excellent
5.	<del>Book: Evolutionary knowledge</del>	2003	Very good

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Prof. Jitendra Pandey

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Department of Botany  
Banarus Hindu University, Varanasi

Date

: 11.5.2015

Place

: Ahmedabad

API Verified

11/5/15

233

Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nirmalkumar J. I.

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Abstract - - - -	2013	Good
2.	Statistical - - - -	2013	Good
3.	Study on the - - - -	2012	Good
4.	An abstract - - - -	2012	Good
5.	Abstract - - - -	2012	Average

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. M. N. Reddy

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Botany, UMSOU

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmednagar

API verified  
CN Reddy

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Narendrakumar K. Patel

Sushmita School  
C.R. Reddy

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Micropropagation	1998	Good
2.	Approach of ...	7.	Average
3.	Plasmid	7.	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert :

Dr. M. V. Reddy

Designation :

Professor

Address :

Dept of BioScience, VRSU

Date :

11-5-15

Place :

Atmedakal.

API verified  
C.R. Reddy

C.R. Reddy  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant

: Dr. ~~Susmita Sahoo~~ *Dr. Susmita Sahoo*

Subject

: Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	<i>Pharmaceutical</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>Average</i>
2.	<i>Herbal remedies</i>	<i>2003</i>	<i>Good</i>
3.	<i>State of origin</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>Average</i>
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☒

Good

☐

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: *Dr. M. V. Reddy*

Designation

: *Professor*

Address

: *Dept. of BioScience, NRSU.*

Date

: *11-5-15*

Place

: *Ahmednagar.*

*M. V. Reddy*  
Signature

**Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant**

**Position : Associate Professor**

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Illa Chandubhai Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Micropropagation	2014	Average
2.	Broccoli Chives	2014	Good.
3.	Shitake mushroom	2013	Good
4.	Performance of 2004	2008	Average.
5.	Qualitative analysis	2015	Good.

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes



No



2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair



Good



Excellent



Name of the Expert

: Dr. M. V. Reddy

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Biosciences, VRSRU

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmednagar.

APC verified  
M. V. Reddy

M. V. Reddy  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Sureshkumar Karmshibhai Patel

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Limnophila lugosa	2014	Good
2.	Pineapple	2014	Average
3.	Plant Science	2014	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☒

Good ☐

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. M. V. Reddy

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Botany, WSEU

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmednagar

Dr. M. V. Reddy

Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Bhaskar L. Punjani

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Allopathy - - -	2006	Good
2.	Neotoma edge-	2007.	Average
3.	Herbal Folio - -	2010.	Average
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes

☒

No

☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair

☒

Good

☐

Excellent

☐

Name of the Expert

: Dr. M. V. Reddy

Designation

: Professor

Address

: Dept. of Botany, UMSU

Date

: 11-5-15

Place

: Ahmednagar.

API - verified  
CNS

  
Signature

Expert Evaluation Report on the Publications submitted by the applicant

Position : Associate Professor

Name of the Applicant : Dr. Nainesh Rajendrakumar Modi

Subject : Botany

Sr. No.	Publication	Date of Publication	Quality Average / Good / Very Good / Excellent
1.	Ariseal - - - -	2010	Average
2.	Biodiversity conservation	2013	Good
3.	Beckford - - - -	2013	Good
4.			
5.			

1. Are all the submitted publications fall under the subject area?

Yes ☒

No ☐

2. How will you rate the quality of the publications?

Fair ☐

Good ☒

Excellent ☐

Name of the Expert :

Designation :


Address :

Date :

Place :

Dr. N. R. Reddy  
Prof. of Botany  
Dept. of Botany  
VSSRU  
11-5-15  
Ahmednagar

  
Signature





Botany																
Associate Professor																
Sr. No.	Name	Category	Essential Qualification									Experience	Publications	API Score After Capping	NET / SLET	Remarks
			UG			PG				Ph. D						
			Subject	Percentage	Class	Subject	Year	Percentage	Class	Year	Specialization					
1	Dr. Nirmalkumar J. I.	OPEN	BSC	72	Dist.	MSC	1986	63	2nd	1991	Botany	27 Yrs.	158	1057.15	No	Eligible
2	Patel Narendrakumar K.	SEBC	BSC	69.8	1st	MSC	1994	61	1st	2001	Botany	17 Yrs.	70	631.02		Eligible
3	Dr. Susmita Sahoo	OPEN	BSC	69.4	1st	MSC	1993	72	Dist.	2002	Botany	10 Yrs. 6 Months	31	707.5	No	Eligible
4	Dr. Illa Chandubhai Patel	OPEN	BSC	63	1st	MSC	1989	72	Dist.	1997	Botany	11 Yrs. 9 Months	46	431.8	No	Eligible
5	Patel Sureshkumar Karmshibhai	OPEN	BSC	75	1st	MSC	1998	72	1st	2003	Botany	12 Yrs.	17	280.25	No	Eligible
6	Punjani Bhaskar L.	OPEN	BSC	62	1st	MSC	1983	58	2nd	1998	Botany	26 Yrs. 4 Months	29	533.1	No	Eligible
7	Modi Nainesh Rajendrakumar	OPEN	BSC	71.8	1st	MSC	1993	65	1st	2003	Botany	16 Yrs.	28	315.8	No	Eligible



YK(2)H - 30

411-8-16.

411-17/6/15.

REPORT OF LOCAL INQUIRY COMMITTEE – P.D.PANDYA MAHILA COMMERCE COLLEGE, AHMEDABAD

As per the instructions conveyed university order No. anu.b./46964/2015 dated 25.03.2015 the following committee members visited the college on 17.04.2015:

Dr.V.Chari

Dr.Gopalbhai Bhatt

Dr.Ashwin A. Purohit

After the visit the college was asked to provide several information and data which was provided by the college on April 27, 2015.

The college is at present running a P.G.Center (M.Com.) for both boys and girls. They have submitted an application that they would like to convert the center only for girls from the coming academic session.

Facts which were observed both in favour and against the application are mentioned below:

IN FAVOUR OF THE APPLICATION

1. They have a grant in aid Commerce college in which more than 700 girls study in each semester for the last three years. (appendix 1)
2. Most of these girls come from nearby areas and are keen to pursue higher studies. The principal has provided several applications from the guardians of the student to this effect.(appendices 2A to 2L).
3. There are no other nearby centers of M.Com. available for the girls.
4. The number of boys taking admission in M.Com. increased in 2013-14 from 2012-13 but declined in 2014-15. At present they have about 15% boys in the M.Com. program. (appendices 3,4,5).
5. As per appendix 6 boys who take admission in M.Com. program come from 12 different colleges of Ahmedabad and since many new M.Com. centers have been opened in 2014-15 they will have access to other colleges near their B.Com. colleges or in their B.Com. colleges itself.
6. With the improved transport infrastructure in the city the boys will not have much difficulty in travelling to other M.Com. centers.
7. The college also mentioned that in their campus other institutions are also mainly for girls. The BCA institute is an exception but it is run in a totally different building.
8. The campus is bordered on both sides by public roads so that there is access to the campus from two ends.
9. The college stated that most of the M.Com. students come early before the classes and just hang around.
10. At this time since a large number of programs are run in the premises it is difficult to monitor the flow of the students and restricting the entry to only genuine boy students is not possible.
11. The presence of boys also upsets both the girls and their parents. This also leads to a problem in maintaining proper discipline.

Wahant  
01.05.2015

Susha H.  
2/5/2015

242

AGAINST THE APPLICATION

1. If only girls are allowed the boys will be deprived of the opportunity and will have to take admission in other centers.
2. This is the first instance where such an application is being made.

UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES THE COMMITTEE MAKES THE FOLLOWING OBSERVATIONS:

- A) THE APPLICATION HAS BEEN MADE ON GENUINE GROUNDS.
- B) SINCE THIS IS THE FIRST CASE OF ITS KIND AND WILL FORM A PRECEDENCE FOR THE FUTURE IT IS SUGGESTED THAT THE FINAL DECISION BE TAKEN BY HIGHER AUTHORITY VIZ. THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL.

IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED THAT THIS REPORT BE TABLED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COUNCIL AND FINAL DECISION BE TAKEN THEREFROM.

*W. J. J.*  
01-05-2015

*Gurshah*  
2/5/2015

પરિશિષ્ટ-૯

તા. ૧૬-૬-૨૦૨૪  
૬૯ તા. ૧૭-૦૬-૨૦૨૪

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી

નો.એકા/સ્ટોર/૨૦૧૫  
ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલય,  
અમડાવાડ-૯ તા. ૧૬/૬/૨૦૨૪

ફાયનાન્સ સમિતિની એક સભા તા. ૧૫-૩-૧૫ને સોમવારના રોજ ૫-૦૦ કલાકે યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયમાં મળી હતી. સભાનું પ્રમુખ સ્થાન કુલપતિશ્રી ડો.એમ.એન. પટેલસાહેબે સંભાળ્યું હતું. સભામાં જાહેરાતો નીચે પ્રમાણે હતી :

- |    |                    |            |         |
|----|--------------------|------------|---------|
| ૧) | ડો.એમ.એન.પટેલ      | કુલપતિશ્રી | હાજર    |
| ૨) | શ્રી નરહરીભાઈ અમીન | સભ્યશ્રી   | ગેરહાજર |
| ૩) | ડો.જેશવંતભાઈ ઠક્કર | સભ્યશ્રી   | ગેરહાજર |
| ૪) | ડો.ધવલભાઈ પટેલ     | સભ્યશ્રી   | હાજર    |
| ૫) | શ્રી ધુમીલભાઈ પટેલ | સભ્યશ્રી   | હાજર    |
- ૧) ફાયનાન્સ સમિતિની તા.૧૨-૨-૨૦૧૫ની સભાની કાર્યવાહીની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવા બાબત.

- ફાયનાન્સ સમિતિની તા.૧૨-૨-૨૦૧૫ની સભાની કાર્યવાહીની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવામાં આવી.
- ૨) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના વિવિધ ટીચીંગ અને નોન-ટીચીંગ કર્મચારીઓના આઉટ સોર્સીંગ માટે ઇ.સી.ના તા.૧૫-૧૧-૨૦૧૪ના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક-૧૧ મુજબ તા.૮-૧-૧૫ના રોજ વર્તમાનપત્રમાં જાહેરાત આપી E-Tendering દ્વારા આવેલ વિવિધ એજન્સીઓના ભાવપત્રક અન્વયે સૌથી ઓછો ભાવ ભરનાર (L-૧) એજન્સી રાજદીપ એન્ટરપ્રાઇઝને વર્ક ઓર્ડર આપવા બાબત.

આથી એકિઝકયુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને બહામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે યુનિવર્સિટીના વિવિધ ટીચીંગ અને નોન-ટીચીંગ કર્મચારીઓના આઉટ સોર્સીંગ માટે ઇ.સી.ના તા.૧૫-૧૧-૨૦૧૪ના ઠરાવ ક્રમાંક-૧૧ મુજબ તા.૮-૧-૧૫ના રોજ વર્તમાનપત્રમાં જાહેરાત આપી E-Tendering દ્વારા આવેલ વિવિધ એજન્સીઓના ભાવપત્રક અન્વયે સૌથી ઓછો ભાવ ભરનાર (L-૧) એજન્સી રાજદીપ એન્ટરપ્રાઇઝને વર્ક ઓર્ડર આપવાનું રાખવું અને તે માટે રૂ.૩,૮૦,૨૦,૦૦૦/- (અંકે રૂપિયા ત્રણ કરોડ એસી લાખ વીસ હજાર પુરા)નો ખર્ચ મંજૂર કરવાનું રાખવું.

- ૩) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી પ્રેસ માટે DGS&Dના ભાવપત્રક મુજબ ટીઝીટલ કોપી પ્રિન્ટર.

ખરીદવા અંગે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત. આ અંગે અંદાજે રૂ.૨૧ લાખ જેટલો ખર્ચ થશે.

આથી એકિઝકયુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને બહામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિ. પ્રેસ બાબતે DGS&Dના ભાવપત્રક મુજબ ટીઝીટલ કોપી પ્રિન્ટર ખરીદવા માટે અગાઉના જુના ભાવે આપવા માટેનું લેખિતમાં સંમતિ લેવી અને જુના ચાર મહીનોને બાકાત આપીને નેમાંથી મેળવતો કુલ રકમને મુજબ કિર્મનમાંથી ગાદ કરીને બાકી રહેતા જુના ભાવ મુજબ ખરીદવાનું રાખવું.

- ૪) ગુજરાત યુનિ.પ્રેસ મેનોજર તરફથી બિદીયા એન્ટરપ્રાઇઝ પાસેથી કારણ તથા લેખના સામગ્રીની ખરીદી અંગે આવેલ કુલ રૂ.૮,૮૦,૨૦,૦૦૦/-ના બિલમાંથી દેવગીચ રકમ કુલ રૂ. ૩,૮૦,૦૦૦/- મંજૂર કરવા અંગેની બાબત કેપર વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

નોંધ : બાકી રહેલ કુલ રકમ રૂ.૪,૭૧,૮૭૦/-ના પુરામાંથી TDSની રકમ રૂ.૪૭૧૮/- પુરા કાપી લઈને બાકી રહેતી કુલ રકમ રૂ.૪,૫૭,૨૪૧/- પુરા ચેકનં.૫૦૭૧૫૮થી તા.૨૪-૪-૧૫ના રોજ ચુકવી આપવામાં આવેલ છે, જાણ સારું.

આથી એકિઝકયુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિ.પ્રેસ મેનેજર તરફથી બિટીયા એન્ટરપ્રાઇઝ પાસેથી કાગળ તથા લોખંડ સામગ્રીની ખરીદી અંગે આવેલ કુલ રૂ.૮,૦૭,૮૬૦/-ના બિલમાંથી દંડનીય રકમ કુલ રૂ. ૩,૪૫,૦૦૦/- જે ઘણી જ વધારે જણાય છે અને લેપારીને આ રકમ વસુલ કરતા આર્થિક રીતે ઘણું જ નુકશાન થાય તેમ હોવાથી બીલની કુલ રકમ રૂ.૮૦૭૮૬૦/-માંથી ૧૦% (દશ ટકા) રકમ લેખે દંડનીય રકમ તરીકે વસુલ કરવાનું રાખવું.

- ૫) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી પ્રેસ મેનેજરને રોજબરોજની પ્રેસની કામગીરીને લગતી આવશ્યક સાધનો તથા વસ્તુઓની રોકડમાં ખરીદી કરવા માટેની કુલ રૂ.૨૦૦૦/- (અંકે રૂપિયા બે હજાર પુરા) વાપરવાની સત્તા આપવા અંગે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત

આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી પ્રેસ મેનેજરને રોજબરોજની પ્રેસની કામગીરીને લગતી આવશ્યક સાધનો તથા વસ્તુઓની રોકડમાં ખરીદી કરવા માટેની કુલ રૂ.૨૦૦૦/- (અંકે રૂપિયા બે હજાર પુરા) વાપરવાની સત્તા આપવાનું મંજૂર કરવામાં આવે છે.

- ૬) રોલવાલા કોમ્પ્યુટર સેન્ટરના અધ્યક્ષશ્રી તરફથી GUNET પ્રોજેક્ટ હેઠળ શ્રી અજીત બોબરા ધ્વારા કરેલ કામગીરી અંગે આવેલ રૂ.૧,૭૫,૧૨૨-૦૦ના બીલની ચુકવણી અંગે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

આથી એકિઝકયુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે રોલવાલા કોમ્પ્યુટર સેન્ટરના અધ્યક્ષશ્રી તરફથી GUNET પ્રોજેક્ટ હેઠળ શ્રી અજીત બોબરા ધ્વારા કરેલ કામગીરી અંગે આવેલ રૂ.૧,૭૫,૧૨૨-૦૦ના બીલની ચુકવણી અંગે અગાઉ મા.કુલપતિશ્રીએ નિર્મેલ કમીટીમાં મંજૂર થયેલા દર મુજબ અગાઉ ચુકવેલ બીલની રકમ પ્રમાણે ઉપરોક્ત બીલની રકમ રૂ.૧,૭૫,૧૨૨-૦૦ ચુકવવાનું મંજૂર કરવાનું રાખવું.

- ૭) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી લેડીઝ હોસ્ટેલના NRI તથા ICCRના સ્ટુડન્ટને આ સાથે સામેલ કરેલ પરીશિષ્ટમાં જણાવ્યા મુજબના ચાર્જસ લઈને હોસ્ટેલના રૂમો ફાળવવા અંગેની બાબત પર વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

આથી એકિઝકયુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી લેડીઝ હોસ્ટેલના NRI તથા ICCRના સ્ટુડન્ટને નીચે જણાવ્યા મુજબના ચાર્જસ લઈને પચમાં જણાવેલ શરતો અનુસાર રૂમો ફાળવવાનું રાખવું.

૧) એડમિશન ફી રૂ.૧૦૦૦/-

૨) ટર્મ ફી રૂ.૧૨૦૦૦/- (પ્રથમ ટર્મ ફી રૂ ૬૦૦૦/- ન બીજો ટર્મ ફી રૂ.૬૦૦૦/-)

૩) હોસ્ટેલ ટીનોડીટ રૂ.૧૫૦૦/-

૪) Temporary students should pay the rent at the rate of Rs.૫૦/- for double seated and Rs.૧૦૦/- for single seated room per day

4) Hostel rent will be refunded to a student after deducting Rs.400/- for double seated and for single seated if he leaves the hostel with a week from the date of his joining it. One-half of rent shall be refunded. Fan, Electricity, Post share, News paper, TV, Tube light charges and student Union fees are not refundable.

૯) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રંથાલયના ગ્રંથપાલશ્રીના તા.૩૦-૪-૧૧ના પત્રકમાંકનં.GUL-૬૩

૨૦૧૧માં જણાવ્યા મુજબના સાધનોની ખરીદી રૂ.૨૦,૦૦,૦૦૦/- (રૂપિયા વીસ લાખ)ની UGC Infliibnetની ગ્રાન્ટ અને રૂ.૧૦,૦૦,૦૦૦/- (રૂપિયા દસ લાખ)ની વર્ષ ૨૦૧૧-૧૨ની રિઝર્વડાઉટ્રેગન અંગેની ગ્રાન્ટમાંથી કરવા અંગેની આજ્ઞા ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

આથી એડિક્સયુટીવ કાઉન્સિલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે Sodhganga Project અંતર્ગત UGC Grant પૃથક F.N-૨૫-૧/૨૦૧૨ (ICU) રૂ.૨૦,૪૬,૯૫૦/- પૈકી INFLIBNETની ગાઈડ લાઈન મુજબ ડિજિટાઇઝેશન અને સાધન સામગ્રી ખરીદવાનું કરાવેલ છે તેમજ યુનિવર્સિટી અંદાજપત્ર ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ની જોગવાઈ મુજબ ૧૦,૦૦,૦૦૦/- રૂપિયા સ્કેનર, પ્રિન્ટર તેમજ પ્રમુખ સ્થાનેથી રૂ.૩૫૦૦૦૦/-નો ખર્ચ Anti-plagiarism software મંજૂર કરવામાં આવેલ તેમજ બાકીના રૂ.૧૦,૦૦,૦૦૦/- એમ ફિલ ડિઝર્ટગન ડિજિટાઇઝેશન માટે કરાવેલ છે જે મંજૂર કરવાનું આવે.

(૯) ઉપાસના સ્થૂળ બોલક પર્કોમાં ગ આર્ટસના કો.ઓર્ડિનેટરશ્રી તરફથી તેઓને મળતા માનદ નેતનમાં

વધારો કરવા અંગે કરેલ સહુઆત પરત્વે અંગે વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

નોંધ : કો.ઓ.ડિનેટરશ્રી દ્વારા માસિક માનદ વેતન રૂ.૩૫૦૦૦/- મુકદ્દમા તથા ૬૨ વર્ષ નામાં ૩.૫૦૦૦/-નો વધારો કરવા હુકમવાદ છે.

આથી એકિઝકયુટીવ કાઉન્સિલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપાસના સ્કૂલ બોર્ડ પહોંચી જાઈસના કો.ઓફિસિટરશ્રીને મળતા માગદ વેતન મારિફ ૩.૩૫૦૦૦/- ચુકવવાનું અને દર વર્ષે તેમાં ૩.૩૦૦૦/-નો વધારો ત્રણ વર્ષ સુધી કરવાનું મંજૂર કરવું.

૧૦) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્ર ખાતે વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ દરમિયાન દવાઓ ખરીદવા માટે

ગુજરાત સરકારશ્રીના C M S O વિભાગ દ્વારા કરવામાં આવેલ રેકૃડ ડ્રો-ટાકટ પ્રમાણે બજેટની મર્યાદામાં ફાગળો ખર્ચીદલા અંગેની વિગતરૂપા કરવા આવત

આથી એકિન્કયુટીવ કાઉન્સિલને જાણના કરવામાં આવે છે કે યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય સેવાઓ વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ દરમિયાન કરવામાં પડીકરા માટે મુજબત ચારકારકીના C M S O વિભાગ દ્વારા કરવામાં આવેલ રેકર્ડ કોન્ટ્રાક્ટ પ્રમાણે અગાઉના વર્ષ જે ભાવે રેકર્ડ કોન્ટ્રાક્ટ કર્યા ને કે ભાવે વર્ષ ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ માટે કરવામાં પડીકરા અંગેનો રેકર્ડ કોન્ટ્રાક્ટ મેજુર કરવામાં આવ્યો.

૧૧) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના ગુરુત્વમ ટ્રસ્ટમાં ૧૫.૧.૨૦૨૨ની મુદતિ ૩૧.૧૦.૨૦૨૧ના રોજથી

સામાજિક શ્રી સહિયાર સ્વસ્તી સંસ્થાએ સમગ્ર ૨૦૨૦-૨૦ શ્રી વર્ષગાળામાં શ્રી ૪૦ લાખના મુદત, ડિજીટાઇઝેશનના મહેનતનામાં સમાવે કરવામાં આવેલ સમગ્ર વિગતોના કાગળો જાણવા.

આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રમાં તા.૫-૯-૨૦૧૨થી માસિક રૂ.૪૦૦૦/-ના વેતનથી સોમવાર થી શનિવાર સુધી દરરોજ સવારે સમય ૧૦-૦૦ થી ૧૧-૦૦માં સેવા અપાતા માનદ ફિઝિયોથેરાપીસ્ટના મહેનતાણમાં માસિક રૂ.૧૦૦૦/-નો વધારો કરવો જેથી હવે માસિક માનદ વેતન કુલ રૂ.૫૦૦૦/- ચુકવવાનું રાખવું.

૧૨) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રના મા. R.M.O.શ્રી અને L.M.O.શ્રીના ઉચ્ચ વેતનમાં તેમની સંયુક્ત અરજીમાં જણાવ્યા મુજબ વધારો કરવાની બાબત ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

(નોંધ-હાલમાં તેઓને ઉચ્ચ વેતન તરીકે રૂ.૨૦,૦૦૦/- પ્રતિ માસ ચુકવવામાં આવે છે.)

આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રના મા. R.M.O.શ્રી અને L.M.O.શ્રીના ઉચ્ચ વેતનમાં હાલમાં મળતા માસિક વેતન રૂ.૨૦૦૦૦/-માં રૂ.૮૦૦૦/-નો વધારો કરવો. તેથી હવે માસિક વેતન રૂ.૨૮૦૦૦/- ચુકવવાનું રાખવું.

૧૩) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રમાં સેવા આપતા માનદ ડોક્ટરશ્રીઓના માનદ વેતનમાં વધારો કરવા અંગેની સંયુક્ત અરજી ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

(નોંધ-હાલમાં સપ્તાહમાં એકવાર રૂ.૨૦૦૦/- અને સપ્તાહમાં બે વાર રૂ.૩૫૦૦/- સેવા આપતા માનદ ડોક્ટરોને માનદ વેતન તરીકે ચુકવવામાં આવે છે.)

આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના આરોગ્ય કેન્દ્રમાં સેવા આપતા માનદ ડોક્ટરશ્રીઓના માનદ વેતનમાં સપ્તાહમાં એક વાર સેવા આપતા ડોક્ટરશ્રીઓનું માનદ વેતન રૂ.૨૫૦૦/- અને સપ્તાહમાં બે વાર સેવા આપતા ડોક્ટરશ્રીઓનું માનદ વેતન રૂ.૪૦૦૦/- પાંચ વર્ષ સુધી ચુકવવાનું મંજૂર કરવામાં આવે છે.

૧૪) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના વપરાશ માટે વાર્ષિક સ્ટેશનરી ખરીદવા માટે E-Tendering દ્વારા મંગાવેલ અને આવેલ ભાવપત્રકોનો રેઈટ કોન્ટ્રાક્ટ કરવા અંગે.  
નોંધ: વેપારીઓના સંમતિપત્રકો આવેલ છે.

આથી એકિલકયુટીય કાઉન્સીલને બલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના વપરાશ માટે વાર્ષિક સ્ટેશનરી ખરીદવા માટે E-Tendering દ્વારા મંગાવેલ અને આવેલ ભાવપત્રકોમાંથી સૌથી નીચાનો ભાવ બરબાર વેપારીશ્રી સોનલ સ્ટેનર્ડ પાર્સી તેઓના તા.૫-૫-૨૦૧૫ના સંમતિપત્રક મુજબના ભાવ નીચા પારસી સ્ટેશનરી ખરીદવાનું રાખવું.

૧૫) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીમાં ગ્રાહતા ઉચ્ચસ્તરીય સેલ ફાયનાન્સના તમામ કોર્પોની મેટ્રિક્સની રકમ વધારીને રૂ.૫૦૦૦/- કરવાની બાબત ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

આથી ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીમાં ગ્રાહતા ઉચ્ચસ્તરીય સેલ ફાયનાન્સના તમામ કોર્પોની મેટ્રિક્સની રકમ વધારીને રૂ.૫૦૦૦/- મંજૂર કરવામાં આવે છે.

૧૬) બોયલ હોસ્ટેલના વોર્કનના પત્રમાં જણાવ્યા અનુસાર બોયલ હોસ્ટેલની પેટીકેશની રકમ રૂ.૧૦.૦૦૦/- છે, તેમાંથી રૂ.૧૦૦૦/- સુધીનો રોકડેથી ખર્ચ કરવાની સત્તા આપવી અને વળે પેટીકેશમાં મુકીને મંજૂર કરવા અંગેની બાબત ઉપર વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

આથી કરાવવામાં આવે છે કે બોયલ હોસ્ટેલના વોર્કનના પત્રમાં જણાવ્યા અનુસાર બોયલ હોસ્ટેલની પેટીકેશની રકમ રૂ.૧૦,૦૦૦/- છે. તેમાંથી રૂ.૧૦૦૦/- સુધીનો રોકડેથી ખર્ચ કરવાની સત્તા આપવાનું મંજૂર કરવામાં આવે છે.

૧૭) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની જુની ઉત્તરવહીઓ અને વેસ્ટ પેપરનો નિકાલ કરવા માટે તા.૨૦-૫-૧૫ના રોજ ત્રણ ગુજરાતી વર્તમાનપત્રોમાં જાહેર ખબર આપીને મંગાવેલ અને આવેલ ટેન્ડરો પરત્વે વિચારણા કરવા અંગે.

આથી એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીની જુની ઉત્તરવહીઓ અને વેસ્ટ પેપરનો નિકાલ કરવા માટે તા.૨૭-૫-૧૫ના રોજ ત્રણ ગુજરાતી વર્તમાનપત્રોમાં જાહેર ખબર આપીને મંગાવેલ અને આવેલ ટેન્ડરો પૈકી સૌથી વધુ ભાવ ભરનાર વેપારી શ્રી રામચંદ્રભાઈ બુચાભાઈને એક કિલોના રૂ.૧૮-૦૦ (રૂપીયા અઠાર અને ચત્તેર પેસા)ના ભાવે ટેન્ડરની શરતો મુજબ જુની ઉત્તરવહીઓ એક વર્ષ માટે વેચાણ આપવાનું રાખવું અને વેસ્ટ પેપરનો સૌથી વધુ ભાવ ભરનાર વેપારીશ્રી ભાખાલાલને એક કિલોના રૂ.૧૫-૫૦ (રૂપીયા પંદર અને પચાસ પેસા)ના ભાવે ટેન્ડરની શરતો મુજબ એક વર્ષ માટે વેચાણ આપવાનું રાખવું.

૧૮) રોલવાલા કોમ્પ્યુટર સેન્ટર માટે MS/OR/CC જેવી પરીક્ષાની સ્ટેશનરી તેમજ સ્લૅટ

કન્ટીન્યુસ સ્ટેશનરી ખરીદવા માટે કરવામાં આવેલ E-Tenderingના ભાવો મંજૂરવામાં આવેલ છે જેની છેલ્લી તા.૧૮-૩-૧૫ રાખવામાં આવેલ છે તો તે ટેન્ડરોનો નિકાલ કરવા અંગેની બાબત ઉપર વિચાર કરવા અંગે.

આથી એકિઝક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સીલને ભલામણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે ઉપરોક્ત બાબત અંગે E-Tendering ધ્વારા ભાવો આપ્યા બાદ નિર્ણય કરવાની સત્તા મા.કુલપતિશ્રીને આપવી.

ત્યારબાદ સભાનું કામકાજ પૂર્ણ થયું હતું.

  
સ. કુલપતિ



પરિશિષ્ટ-૯

તા. ૪-૧૯

દા.ત. ૧૭-૦૬-૨૦૧૫

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી

નં./એસ્ટેટ/બાંધકામ / /૨૦૧૫

૧૫/૦૫/૨૦૧૫

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી બાંધકામ સમિતિ ની દા.ત. ૧૫/૦૬/૨૦૧૫ ના રોજ  
સાંજે ૪ વાગે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલય ખાતે મળી હતી, જેનું પ્રમુખ સ્થાન  
માનનીય કુલપાત્રે શ્રી એમ.એન. પટેલ સાહેબે સંભાળ્યું હતું. તેમાં સભ્યો ની હાજરી  
નીચે મુજબ હતી.

  
શ્રી કુલપાત્રે

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| ૧. માનનીય કુલપાત્રે શ્રી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી                        | હાજર     |
| ૨. શ્રી નરસિંહભાઈ ભાગીચ, સિન્ડિકેટ મેમ્બર, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી      | ગેર હાજર |
| ૩. શ્રી રમેશભાઈ શાહ, સિન્ડિકેટ મેમ્બર, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી          | હાજર     |
| ૪. શ્રી રમેશભાઈ જૈન, સિન્ડિકેટ મેમ્બર, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી          | હાજર     |
| ૫. શ્રી પ્રમોદભાઈ સિંહ ચાવડા, સિન્ડિકેટ મેમ્બર, ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી | હાજર     |
| ૬. કાર્યવાહક શ્રી, માર્ગ અને મથાન વિભાગ                            | ગેર હાજર |

૨૫૬

## કામકાજ ની યાદી

ખા.ક. (૧) તા: ૨૭/૧૨/૨૦૧૪ ના રોજ મલેલ વાઘવામ સમિતિની કાર્યવાહી ની નોંધ મંજૂર કરવા બાબત.  
પરિશિષ્ટ 'અ' તરીકે સામેલ છે.  
કસાવક (૧) તા: ૨૭/૧૨/૨૦૧૪ ના રોજ મલેલ વાઘવામ સમિતિની કાર્યવાહી ની નોંધ વંચાણે લઈ  
સર્વાનુમતે મંજૂર કરવામાં આવી

આ.કે. (૨) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી હોમપેજ પર આવેલ વિવિધ સમાચાર તથા યુનિવર્સિટી ક્વાર્ટેસ માં કરાવવાના હતા પોટર પૂરિંગ ના કામ માટે અગ્રહ તા. ૧૩-૦૮-૨૦૧૭ ના રોજ મળેલ બાયકામ સમિતિ હાથ ને (૫) માં ભડકી ચલા મુજબ વર્તમાન પ્રકારમાં જ નહીં આવી છે - ટેન્ડર ધ્યાને લાવ મેળાવવામાં આવેલ જે પેટી સીલો બોલ (L ૧) નામ ના પાસ્પર રીજન્સી M/s PMP Infotech Pvt. Ltd. ને ફક્ત રૂ. ૩.29 (૩.૬૬૦૪) મુદ્દાઓ પરે પોટર પૂરિંગ છે જે ખણ મહેં.

(નોંધ :- પ્રસ્તુત પ્રર્થ ગુજરાત સરકાર દ્વારા આપવામાં આવેલા સમાચારકામ ગ્રાન્ટ ખાતે પડશે)

કરાવક (૨) ટેપરોક્ત બાબને લંબાણપૂર્વક ચર્ચા વિચારણા ને અને કરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી  
ટેમ્પલ પર આવેલ વિદિય ક્લબગ્રામાં તથા યુનિવર્સિટી કમ્પ્લેક્સ માં કરાવવાના ચત્ર. વોટર પુરિફી  
ના કામ માટે બગાડેલા ૧૨/૦૮/૨૦૨૪ ના રોજ મળેલ ભાષણમ સમિતિ કરાવ નં (૫) માં નક્કી  
થયેા મુજબ વર્તમાન ધરાવા જા - બંધાપી છુ - ટેન્ડર બ્યાર. કાવ મંજાવવામાં આવેલ જે પેઠી  
મોટી બીછા (૬ ૧ ) કાવ ભરનાર કોલમ્ની નામ મેચને ભાષણમ Pvt. Ltd. ને ફલ કે  
૧૨.૧૭.૨૦૨૦ -- મુજબની વડે એકંદર આવેલ છે. કે અનુક્રમિક કાવ પેઠી તેમજ ખર્ચ મંજૂર કરવાનું  
કાવનું. (નોંધ :- પ્રસ્તુત ખર્ચ ગુજરાત સરકાર બ્યાર કાવવામાં આવેલ સમારકામ કાવ બાબે  
પડશે)

બા.ક. (ક) મુજબના યુનિવર્સિટી કેમ્પસ પર કોવેલ લોવિયે બનાવી - ડિપાર્ટમેન્ટ ના વિસ્તારણ ના કામ માટે ફુનિ નં. ૧૧/૦૮/૨૦૧૪ ના રોજ પરીક્ષ બાદકામ અધિનિ કાયદે નં (ક) માં નક્કી થયો મુજબ વર્તમાન પ્રવાર નં - ૧૨ અ.પી. ૬ - ટેન્ડર બાદ, કામ માં પ્રવારનાં આવેલ જે પરત્વે Physics Department માં થતાં વિસ્તરણ ના કામ માટે જે પૈસા માર્ગ નં(ક) (L ૧ ) બાવ ભરનાર બેરન્ટી Mrs K.B. Builders ને ફાઇ રૂ ૧૩,૦૬,૪૨૦/- મુજબનો વડે ઓર્ડર આપેલ છે . જે આજ સારું.

1958 - 1960 - 1962 - 1964 - 1966 - 1968 - 1970 - 1972 - 1974 - 1976 - 1978 - 1980 - 1982 - 1984 - 1986 - 1988 - 1990 - 1992 - 1994 - 1996 - 1998 - 2000 - 2002 - 2004 - 2006 - 2008 - 2010 - 2012 - 2014 - 2016 - 2018 - 2020 - 2022 - 2024 - 2026 - 2028 - 2030 - 2032 - 2034 - 2036 - 2038 - 2040 - 2042 - 2044 - 2046 - 2048 - 2050 - 2052 - 2054 - 2056 - 2058 - 2060 - 2062 - 2064 - 2066 - 2068 - 2070 - 2072 - 2074 - 2076 - 2078 - 2080 - 2082 - 2084 - 2086 - 2088 - 2090 - 2092 - 2094 - 2096 - 2098 - 2100 - 2102 - 2104 - 2106 - 2108 - 2110 - 2112 - 2114 - 2116 - 2118 - 2120 - 2122 - 2124 - 2126 - 2128 - 2130 - 2132 - 2134 - 2136 - 2138 - 2140 - 2142 - 2144 - 2146 - 2148 - 2150 - 2152 - 2154 - 2156 - 2158 - 2160 - 2162 - 2164 - 2166 - 2168 - 2170 - 2172 - 2174 - 2176 - 2178 - 2180 - 2182 - 2184 - 2186 - 2188 - 2190 - 2192 - 2194 - 2196 - 2198 - 2200 - 2202 - 2204 - 2206 - 2208 - 2210 - 2212 - 2214 - 2216 - 2218 - 2220 - 2222 - 2224 - 2226 - 2228 - 2230 - 2232 - 2234 - 2236 - 2238 - 2240 - 2242 - 2244 - 2246 - 2248 - 2250 - 2252 - 2254 - 2256 - 2258 - 2260 - 2262 - 2264 - 2266 - 2268 - 2270 - 2272 - 2274 - 2276 - 2278 - 2280 - 2282 - 2284 - 2286 - 2288 - 2290 - 2292 - 2294 - 2296 - 2298 - 2300 - 2302 - 2304 - 2306 - 2308 - 2310 - 2312 - 2314 - 2316 - 2318 - 2320 - 2322 - 2324 - 2326 - 2328 - 2330 - 2332 - 2334 - 2336 - 2338 - 2340 - 2342 - 2344 - 2346 - 2348 - 2350 - 2352 - 2354 - 2356 - 2358 - 2360 - 2362 - 2364 - 2366 - 2368 - 2370 - 2372 - 2374 - 2376 - 2378 - 2380 - 2382 - 2384 - 2386 - 2388 - 2390 - 2392 - 2394 - 2396 - 2398 - 2400 - 2402 - 2404 - 2406 - 2408 - 2410 - 2412 - 2414 - 2416 - 2418 - 2420 - 2422 - 2424 - 2426 - 2428 - 2430 - 2432 - 2434 - 2436 - 2438 - 2440 - 2442 - 2444 - 2446 - 2448 - 2450 - 2452 - 2454 - 2456 - 2458 - 2460 - 2462 - 2464 - 2466 - 2468 - 2470 - 2472 - 2474 - 2476 - 2478 - 2480 - 2482 - 2484 - 2486 - 2488 - 2490 - 2492 - 2494 - 2496 - 2498 - 2500 - 2502 - 2504 - 2506 - 2508 - 2510 - 2512 - 2514 - 2516 - 2518 - 2520 - 2522 - 2524 - 2526 - 2528 - 2530 - 2532 - 2534 - 2536 - 2538 - 2540 - 2542 - 2544 - 2546 - 2548 - 2550 - 2552 - 2554 - 2556 - 2558 - 2560 - 2562 - 2564 - 2566 - 2568 - 2570 - 2572 - 2574 - 2576 - 2578 - 2580 - 2582 - 2584 - 2586 - 2588 - 2590 - 2592 - 2594 - 2596 - 2598 - 2600 - 2602 - 2604 - 2606 - 2608 - 2610 - 2612 - 2614 - 2616 - 2618 - 2620 - 2622 - 2624 - 2626 - 2628 - 2630 - 2632 - 2634 - 2636 - 2638 - 2640 - 2642 - 2644 - 2646 - 2648 - 2650 - 2652 - 2654 - 2656 - 2658 - 2660 - 2662 - 2664 - 2666 - 2668 - 2670 - 2672 - 2674 - 2676 - 2678 - 2680 - 2682 - 2684 - 2686 - 2688 - 2690 - 2692 - 2694 - 2696 - 2698 - 2700 - 2702 - 2704 - 2706 - 2708 - 2710 - 2712 - 2714 - 2716 - 2718 - 2720 - 2722 - 2724 - 2726 - 2728 - 2730 - 2732 - 2734 - 2736 - 2738 - 2740 - 2742 - 2744 - 2746 - 2748 - 2750 - 2752 - 2754 - 2756 - 2758 - 2760 - 2762 - 2764 - 2766 - 2768 - 2770 - 2772 - 2774 - 2776 - 2778 - 2780 - 2782 - 2784 - 2786 - 2788 - 2790 - 2792 - 2794 - 2796 - 2798 - 2800 - 2802 - 2804 - 2806 - 2808 - 2810 - 2812 - 2814 - 2816 - 2818 - 2820 - 2822 - 2824 - 2826 - 2828 - 2830 - 2832 - 2834 - 2836 - 2838 - 2840 - 2842 - 2844 - 2846 - 2848 - 2850 - 2852 - 2854 - 2856 - 2858 - 2860 - 2862 - 2864 - 2866 - 2868 - 2870 - 2872 - 2874 - 2876 - 2878 - 2880 - 2882 - 2884 - 2886 - 2888 - 2890 - 2892 - 2894 - 2896 - 2898 - 2900 - 2902 - 2904 - 2906 - 2908 - 2910 - 2912 - 2914 - 2916 - 2918 - 2920 - 2922 - 2924 - 2926 - 2928 - 2930 - 2932 - 2934 - 2936 - 2938 - 2940 - 2942 - 2944 - 2946 - 2948 - 2950 - 2952 - 2954 - 2956 - 2958 - 2960 - 2962 - 2964 - 2966 - 2968 - 2970 - 2972 - 2974 - 2976 - 2978 - 2980 - 2982 - 2984 - 2986 - 2988 - 2990 - 2992 - 2994 - 2996 - 2998 - 3000 - 3002 - 3004 - 3006 - 3008 - 3010 - 3012 - 3014 - 3016 - 3018 - 3020 - 3022 - 3024 - 3026 - 3028 - 3030 - 3032 - 3034 - 3036 - 3038 - 3040 - 3042 - 3044 - 3046 - 3048 - 3050 - 3052 - 3054 - 3056 - 3058 - 3060 - 3062 - 3064 - 3066 - 3068 - 3070 - 3072 - 3074 - 3076 - 3078 - 3080 - 3082 - 3084 - 3086 - 3088 - 3090 - 3092 - 3094 - 3096 - 3098 - 3100 - 3102 - 3104 - 3106 - 3108 - 3110 - 3112 - 3114 - 3116 - 3118 - 3120 - 3122 - 3124 - 3126 - 3128 - 3130 - 3132 - 3134 - 3136 - 3138 - 3140 - 3142 - 3144 - 3146 - 3148 - 3150 - 3152 - 3154 - 3156 - 3158 - 3160 - 3162 - 3164 - 3166 - 3168 - 3170 - 3172 - 3174 - 3176 - 3178 - 3180 - 3182 - 3184 - 3186 - 3188 - 3190 - 3192 - 3194 - 3196 - 3198 - 3200 - 3202 - 3204 - 3206 - 3208 - 3210 - 3212 - 3214 - 3216 - 3218 - 3220 - 3222 - 3224 - 3226 - 3228 - 3230 - 3232 - 3234 - 3236 - 3238 - 3240 - 3242 - 3244 - 3246 - 3248 - 3250 - 3252 - 3254 - 3256 - 3258 - 3260 - 3262 - 3264 - 3266 - 3268 - 3270 - 3272 - 3274 - 3276 - 3278 - 3280 - 3282 - 3284 - 3286 - 3288 - 3290 - 3292 - 3294 - 3296 - 3298 - 3300 - 3302 - 3304 - 3306 - 3308 - 3310 - 3312 - 3314 - 3316 - 3318 - 3320 - 33

આપણે જો ઉપરોક્ત બાબતો ધ્યાનમાં રાખીએ તો આપણને એક વાત સ્પષ્ટ થાય છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કેન્સલર શ્રી અમિત શર્મા સિદ્ધિપુર કોલેજ, સિદ્ધિપુર, જા. સોનપુર, જા. ગામ માટે યુનિવર્સિટી ૧૧/૦૮/૨૦૧૪ ની રીજ મેન્ડેટ હાથમાં લઈને સરકારની ડી. ઓ. નક્કી થયા મુજબ વર્તમાન પત્રમાં જા. - અ. આવી જા. - ડેપુટી કમિશનર ગાંધી મેમોરિયલ કોલેજ, Physics Department માં રાત્રી વિભાગમાં જા. ગામ માટે જોઈએ તેવી સીટી આપવા માટે આજ સરકાર બેઠકથી Mrs. D.B. Baidya ને કલમ ૩, ૧૪, ૧૬, ૩૨, ૩૩ - મુજબની રીજ નિર્દેશ આપેલ છે જે અનુબંધીક સચવાડી તેમજ અર્થ મંજૂર કરવાનું સરકારી નિર્દેશ ના અનુગમન કરવાનું સરકાર આપવામાં આવેલ સમાચારમાં આજી માટે પડશે.





DATE Recd. 10/27/72

(1850-1900) 1850-1900

(1) - 100% (100% of the total) (100% of the total) (100% of the total)

Page 10 of 10

dash pin 240 452153 24pin 140112 12006

Printed & Published by

[illegible]

બાકી રહેલા પુસ્તકોનું યુનિવર્સિટી સ્કૂલ ઓફ સાયન્સ વિભાગના એક્ઝેક્યુટિવ બોર્ડમાં કરવા છ - ડેન્ડર પ્રક્રિયા કરવા બદલે ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરવા બાબત.

બા.ક. (૧૨) મુજબ યુનિવર્સિટી ડાયરેક્ટર દ્વારા 12-04-2015 નંબર :- 2001 / GENDIC /MVR  
1525/2015 મુજબ નાં જવા પ્રિન્ટિંગ પ્રેસ/ટેન્ક નાં કામી કરવા, છ- ટેન્કર પ્રક્રિયા કરવા પરત્વે  
મર્ગ દિવારણા કરવા બાબત.

બા.ક. (૧૩) મુજબ તથા યુનિવર્સિટી સ્કૂલ એક હો ત, તથા મિલિટરી એકાદેમીના નાં ઠાનો કરવા છ - ટેન્કર પ્રતિષ્ઠા.  
કાવા, પદ્મવેદ્ય યર્થા વિચારણા કરવા બાબત

આ.કે. (૧૪) મુજબ ના યુનિવર્સિટી કેમ્પસ પર આરોગ્ય વિવિધ સેવાઓ, હોટેલમંત્રી ના સહ સહકારી ના સમ સમયે  
નક્કી કર્યા મુજબ વર્તમાન વરતમા જી - બી આર્મી જી - ટેન્કર વગેરે ભાગ મેળવવામા આવેલ. જે  
પરતર હાઉસ ડિપોઝિટ ના રકમ ના જે પૈકી સંમતી લેઈ (જી. ૧૭.૪૨૪.૩૦૦.૦૦ ( પ્રતિ માસ )  
રકમ સરનાર ડીજેન્ની M/s Dore Engineering ને લઈ આઉટ આપવા પરત્વે થયા વિચારવા કરવા  
આવતા જેની વાર્ષિક ખર્ચ રૂ. ૬૦.૬૧,૬૦૦.૦૦ થશે

245

ખાત્ર (૧૬) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કેમ્પસ પર આવેલ વિવિધ ભવનો , ડિપાર્ટમેન્ટ ના ગાર્ડનીંગ ના કામ માટે નક્કી થયા મુજબ વર્તમાન પત્રમાં જા ન ખ આપી છે - ટેન્ડર ધ્વારા ભાવ મંગાવવામાં આવેલ જે પરત્વે ગાર્ડનીંગ ના કામ માટે જે પૈકી સૌથી ઓછા (૧૨) ભાવ સરનાર Rs.1,92,786.00 ( પ્રતિ માસ ) એજન્સી M/S S.R. Corporation ને વડે ઓર્ડર આપવા પરત્વે ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરવા આવતા, જેની વાર્ષિક ખર્ચ Rs.23,13,432.00 થશે.

કરાવ (૧૭) ઉપરીક્ત બાબતે લંબાણપૂર્વક ચર્ચા વિચારણા ને અંતે કરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કેમ્પસ પર આવેલ વિવિધ ભવનો , ડિપાર્ટમેન્ટ ના ગાર્ડનીંગ ના કામ માટે નક્કી થયા મુજબ વર્તમાન પત્રમાં જા ન ખ આપી છે - ટેન્ડર ધ્વારા ભાવ મંગાવવામાં આવેલ જે પરત્વે ગાર્ડનીંગ ના કામ માટે જે પૈકી સૌથી ઓછા (૧૨) ભાવ સરનાર Rs.1,92,786.00 ( પ્રતિ માસ ) એજન્સી M/S S.R. Corporation ને વડે ઓર્ડર આપવો . જેનો વાર્ષિક ખર્ચ Rs.23,13,432.00 થશે.

ખાત્ર (૧૭) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એકેડેમીક સ્ટાફ કોલેજ (એડમીનિસ્ટ્રેટીવ ડીપાર્ટમેન્ટ) ના એસ્ટેબ્લિશ નાં કામો કરવા ઇ - ટેન્ડર પ્રક્રિયા કરવા પરત્વે ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરવા આવતા, તેમજ આસાથે એકેડેમીક સ્ટાફ કોલેજ ના ઇ નિયામક નો ભવન નિર્માણ અર્થે નો પત્ર સામેલ છે .

ખાત્ર (૧૭) ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એકેડેમીક સ્ટાફ કોલેજ ના રેસ્ટ હાઉસ ઉપર એસ્ટેબ્લિશ નાં કામો કરવા ઇ - ટેન્ડર પ્રક્રિયા કરવા પરત્વે ચર્ચા વિચારણા કરવા આવતા, તેમજ આસાથે એકેડેમીક સ્ટાફ કોલેજ ના ઇ નિયામક નો ભવન નિર્માણ અર્થે નો પત્ર સામેલ છે .

કરાવ (૧૭) ઉપરીક્ત બાબતે લંબાણ પૂર્વક ચર્ચા વિચારણા ને અંતે કરાવવામાં આવે છે કે આ બાબતે કાલેડ કામ પરત માંગવામાં આવેલ કાલેડ બાબતે અગામી બીજાકામ સમિતિ આગળ સ્ટેટસ રીપોર્ટ મુકવો , તથા બીજાકામ ની સંપૂર્ણ ચકાસણી નો રીપોર્ટ - થા કાલેડ કમ્પ્રીઝન સાથે રીપોર્ટ મુકવો.

ખાત્ર (૧૮) યુનિ. કેમ્પસ પરના વિવિધ વિભાગો ના પાર્કિંગ માટે આગાઉ ઇ- ટેન્ડરિંગ થી મંગાવેલ ભાવો પૈકી સૌથી ઓછા ભાવ સરનાર મે. રથના કન્સ્ટ્રક્શન ખજા ઇન્ફ્રાસ્ટ્રક્ચર ના ર. ૬૧૦/૦૬ પૈસા પ્રતિ સ્કવેર મીટર ના છે તેમજ આ ટેન્ડર નો કરતા મુજબ આ લીમિટેડ ભાવ એક વર્ષ માટે કોન્ક્રીટર ને બીંબનકર્તા રહેશે.આસરે ૭૦૦૦ ચો.મિટર એરેયા માં વેવર કરાવવા તો ઉપરીક્ત ભાવનોના વેવર ના કામગીરી કરાવવા પરત્વે ચર્ચા - વિચારણા કરવા આવતા.

કરાવ (૧૮) ઉપરીક્ત બાબતે લંબાણપૂર્વક ચર્ચા વિચારણા ને અંતે કરાવવામાં આવે છે કે પૈકી સૌથી ઓછા ભાવ સરનાર મે. રથના કન્સ્ટ્રક્શન ખજા ઇન્ફ્રાસ્ટ્રક્ચર ના ર. ૬૧૦/૦૬ પૈસા પ્રતિ સ્કવેર મીટર ના છે તેમજ આ ટેન્ડર નો કરતા મુજબ આ લીમિટેડ ભાવ એક વર્ષ માટે કોન્ક્રીટર ને બીંબનકર્તા રહેશે.આસરે ૭૦૦૦ ચો.મિટર એરેયા માં વેવર કરાવવાનું મંજૂર રાખવું. અગાઉ ગયે ૬૮૧.૭૦ ૩૫૦.૦૦ મંજૂર રાખવું.

કરાવ કે (૧૯) ઉપરોક્ત આવકો લેનારાઓને યથા વિધિએ જે બંને કચેરાવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કેમ્પસ પર આવેલ VRS હોસ્ટેલ ના કામ માટે રૂનિયર ના કામ માટે નક્કી થયા મુજબ વર્તમાન પત્રમાં જા. નં. આવી. ૬૬ - ટ્રસ્ટર દ્વારા ભાવ મંગાવવામાં આવેલ જે પરત્વે VRS હોસ્ટેલ રૂનિયર ના કામ માટે જે રૂા સીધી બોજા (૧૨) ભાવ ભરનાર Rs.5,94,075/- M/s Promunex Consultants ને વર્ક ઓર્ડર આપવી. પ્રસ્તુત ખર્ચ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સીટી જનરલ કેમ્પીયમેન્ટ ફંડ માંથી પડશે.)

કાચકાચાં પેપરોન બાવલે લંબાઈપૂર્વક પર્ચે યોગ્યરૂઠાં ને બંને ઠરાવવામાં આવે છે કે ગુજરાત મુનિસિપલિટી કમિટી પર આવેલ સી.આઇ.આઇ કામ શરૂ કરવામાં આવનાર સેક્ટર ઊંચે યોગ્યતા માટે કાલના પડાક ભવન રિસોલ્યુશન નંબર ૭૬૧૪૨ વડે માટે ૭-૬-૨૦૧૭ કાર્ય મંજૂરવામાં આવેલ પેકી ડીથી બીજા (૮૧) ભાગ ભરનાર રૂ.૧૬,૩૨,૧૦૦/- M/s Milestone Construction Company ને વડે બીકેર બાપવાનુ મજુર રાખવું , કમનુ નર્ચે ગુજરાત મુનિસિપલિટી જનરલ ડેવલોપમેન્ટ કો બાવલે પડશે )

[illegible][illegible]



1950-1951

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

જાન્યુઆરી ૨૦૨૧ - ૨૦૨૨ - બીજા સપ્તાહ

March Psychology on the Hill & City Hall 12:4

am 1. April 1941 wurde das Gebäude für den

[illegible]

(b) (7)(D) Exemption from disclosure of information, (b) (7)(D) Exemption from disclosure of information, (b) (7)(D) Exemption from disclosure of information

જિલ્લા ભરના પ્રાથમિક શાળાઓમાં નિયતિત રૂંદ ટાપુ જોડાના નામ . ૨૬૦૨ ૩ ૧૨૧૭

U.S. (2018) Department of Climate Change and Extension 2020 2021 2022

[illegible]

08550 રાજ નાગરમ િન રણામ રાજસે હે રણામ રાજસે મામા નો સત્તર પ્રાપ્તિ પાઠ્ય

5. 30 1011 111111111 111 1 11111111 1111 111111111 1111 11111111 ( 1111 ) 11111111

[illegible]

પરથી સર્વ સ્વામીભાવ. (DGS&D તરફથી મળેલી સ્વામીભાવ. એક કોપી તથા મળેલી સર્વ સ્વામીભાવ)

[illegible]

Իրանը և ինչ ընդհանրապես մեր ժողովուրդի խնդիրն է:

8. 1976-77. 2500 - 3. 125 2000 25000 10 2200 1000 10000

ප්‍රශ්න 5 හි පිටු 11 ක් 11.10.2020 දින දී බැරෑරුම් ක්‍රමය අනුගමනය කළේද (වි.වි) 2020

மேல்க்கண்டவற்றைப் பற்றி கீழ்க்கண்டவற்றைக் கவனிக்கவும்.

11. Լեզուս լինելով մեծ քանակությամբ օգտագործվող լեզու (2020) 8 մո

[illegible]

Tender for Architectural & project management services of Gujarat University of

3. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100. 101. 102. 103. 104. 105. 106. 107. 108. 109. 110. 111. 112. 113. 114. 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120. 121. 122. 123. 124. 125. 126. 127. 128. 129. 130. 131. 132. 133. 134. 135. 136. 137. 138. 139. 140. 141. 142. 143. 144. 145. 146. 147. 148. 149. 150. 151. 152. 153. 154. 155. 156. 157. 158. 159. 160. 161. 162. 163. 164. 165. 166. 167. 168. 169. 170. 171. 172. 173. 174. 175. 176. 177. 178. 179. 180. 181. 182. 183. 184. 185. 186. 187. 188. 189. 190. 191. 192. 193. 194. 195. 196. 197. 198. 199. 200. 201. 202. 203. 204. 205. 206. 207. 208. 209. 210. 211. 212. 213. 214. 215. 216. 217. 218. 219. 220. 221. 222. 223. 224. 225. 226. 227. 228. 229. 230. 231. 232. 233. 234. 235. 236. 237. 238. 239. 240. 241. 242. 243. 244. 245. 246. 247. 248. 249. 250. 251. 252. 253. 254. 255. 256. 257. 258. 259. 260. 261. 262. 263. 264. 265. 266. 267. 268. 269. 270. 271. 272. 273. 274. 275. 276. 277. 278. 279. 280. 281. 282. 283. 284. 285. 286. 287. 288. 289. 290. 291. 292. 293. 294. 295. 296. 297. 298. 299. 300. 301. 302. 303. 304. 305. 306. 307. 308. 309. 310. 311. 312. 313. 314. 315. 316. 317. 318. 319. 320. 321. 322. 323. 324. 325. 326. 327. 328. 329. 330. 331. 332. 333. 334. 335. 336. 337. 338. 339. 340. 341. 342. 343. 344. 345. 346. 347. 348. 349. 350. 351. 352. 353. 354. 355. 356. 357. 358. 359. 360. 361. 362. 363. 364. 365. 366. 367. 368. 369. 370. 371. 372. 373. 374. 375. 376. 377. 378. 379. 380. 381. 382. 383. 384. 385. 386. 387. 388. 389. 390. 391. 392. 393. 394. 395. 396. 397. 398. 399. 400. 401. 402. 403. 404. 405. 406. 407. 408. 409. 410. 411. 412. 413. 414. 415. 416. 417. 418. 419. 420. 421. 422. 423. 424. 425. 426. 427. 428. 429. 430. 431. 432. 433. 434. 435. 436. 437. 438. 439. 440. 441. 442. 443. 444. 445. 446. 447. 448. 449. 450. 451. 452. 453. 454. 455. 456. 457. 458. 459. 460. 461. 462. 463. 464. 465. 466. 467. 468. 469. 470. 471. 472. 473. 474. 475. 476. 477. 478. 479. 480. 481. 482. 483. 484. 485. 486. 487. 488. 489. 490. 491. 492. 493. 494. 495. 496. 497. 498. 499. 500. 501. 502. 503. 504. 505. 506. 507. 508. 509. 510. 511. 512. 513. 514. 515. 516. 517. 518. 519. 520. 521. 522. 523. 524. 525. 526. 527. 528. 529. 530. 531. 532. 533. 534. 535. 536. 537. 538. 539. 540. 541. 542. 543. 544. 545. 546. 547. 548. 549. 550. 551. 552. 553. 554. 555. 556. 557. 558. 559. 560. 561. 562. 563. 564. 565. 566. 567. 568. 569. 570. 571. 572. 573. 574. 575. 576. 577. 578. 579. 580. 581. 582. 583. 584. 585. 586. 587. 588. 589. 590. 591. 592. 593. 594. 595. 596. 597. 598. 599. 600. 601. 602. 603. 604. 605. 606. 607. 608. 609. 610. 611. 612. 613. 614. 615. 616. 617. 618. 619. 620. 621. 622. 623. 624. 625. 626. 627. 628. 629. 630. 631. 632. 633. 634. 635. 636. 637. 638. 639. 640. 641. 642. 643. 644. 645. 646. 647. 648. 649. 650. 651. 652. 653. 654. 655. 656. 657. 658. 659. 660. 661. 662. 663. 664. 665. 666. 667. 668. 669. 670. 671. 672. 673. 674. 675. 676. 677. 678. 679. 680. 681. 682. 683. 684. 685. 686. 687. 688. 689. 690. 691. 692. 693. 694. 695. 696. 697. 698. 699. 700. 701. 702. 703. 704. 705. 706. 707. 708. 709. 710. 711. 712. 713. 714. 715. 716. 717. 718. 719. 720. 721. 722. 723. 724. 725. 726. 727. 728. 729. 730. 731. 732. 733. 734. 735. 736. 737. 738. 739. 740. 741. 742. 743. 744. 745. 746. 747. 748. 749. 750. 751. 752. 753. 754. 755. 756. 757. 758. 759. 760. 761. 762. 763. 764. 765. 766. 767. 768. 769. 770. 771. 772. 773. 774. 775. 776. 777. 778. 779. 780. 781. 782. 783. 784. 785. 786. 787. 788. 789. 790. 791. 792. 793. 794. 795. 796. 797. 798. 799. 800. 801. 802. 803. 804. 805. 806. 807. 808. 809. 810. 811. 812. 813. 814. 815. 816. 817. 818. 819. 820. 821. 822. 823. 824. 825. 826. 827. 828. 829. 830. 831. 832. 833. 834. 835. 836. 837. 838. 839. 840. 841. 842. 8

Central University of Gujarat

4487 (2019) E Tender for Architectural & project management services of

በገዢው ስም ለሚገኝ የግብርና ሚኒስቴር (ገዢ) ስም

የክፍል ፩ የፍ ምክር ቤቱ አባላት

11.11.2019

ሚኒስቴር የሥነ ምግባርና ሕይወት ምርት ሚኒስቴር ማህተም

[illegible]

የሰነዱ ስራ ስለተፈጸመ በሰነዱ ላይ ታሪክ ተጽፎ ለሰነዱ ተቀባይነት ይሰጣል፡፡

ଅକ୍ଷରାଳଙ୍କର ପ୍ରାଚୀନ ଇତିହାସ ଓ ସାହିତ୍ୟର ଇତିହାସ ଓ ସାହିତ୍ୟର ଇତିହାସ (୧୫) ଅକ୍ଷରାଳଙ୍କର ପ୍ରାଚୀନ ଇତିହାସ ଓ ସାହିତ୍ୟର ଇତିହାସ ଓ ସାହିତ୍ୟର ଇତିହାସ (୧୫) ଅକ୍ଷରାଳଙ୍କର ପ୍ରାଚୀନ ଇତିହାସ ଓ ସାହିତ୍ୟର ଇତିହାସ ଓ ସାହିତ୍ୟର ଇତିହାସ (୧୫)

01250 2010

2012-13

આ.કે. (૨૦૧૫) અર્થિક ઈન્ફ્રાસ્ટ્રક્ચર યોજના અંતર્ગત ગુજરાત સરકાર દ્વારા રૂ. ૧૦ કરોડ મંજૂર કરવામાં આવેલ છે. રૂ. ૫ કરોડ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી દ્વારા ઉમેરવાના રહેશે. તા. ૧૫/૦૬/૨૦૧૫ ની બેઠક માં નીચે મુજબ ના કરાવ પસાર કરવામાં આવશે.

- બનાવવાનું રાખવું

- કરાવ (૨૮.૨) ઉપરોક્ત બાબતે લંબાણપૂર્વક ચર્ચા વિચારણા ને અંતે કરાવવામાં આવે છે કે આ બાબતે તમામ બાબતો ના પ્લાન , એસ્ટીમેટ , નક્કસ કન્સલ્ટન્ટ પાસે થી તૈયાર કરાવીને અનુષંગીક કાર્યવાહી બાંધકામ સમિતિ ની મંજૂરી થી કરવી .

डा. कुलसचिव

## પ્રોફેશનલ મેનેજમેન્ટ સ્ટડી બિલ્ડીંગ તપાસ સમિતિ નો અહેવાલ

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કેમ્પસ પર પ્રોફેશનલ મેનેજમેન્ટ સ્ટડી બિલ્ડીંગ ના બાંધકામ માં થયેલી નાણાકીય ગેરરીતિ અને ગેર શિસ્ત બાબતે યુનિવર્સિટી ના માનનીય કુલપતિ શ્રી એ તપાસ કરવા નીચેના સભ્યો ની તપાસ સમિતિ ની રચના કરી હતી

1. શ્રી અમિત ભાઈ શાહ
2. શ્રી કોશિકભાઈ જૈન
3. શ્રી મહિપતસિંહ ચાવડા
4. શ્રીમતિ વી યારી
5. શ્રી એ એસ રાઠોડ




સદર સમિતિ ની તા. 04/05/2014/2014, 12/05/2014 તથા 13/05/2014 ના રોજ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ખાતે મીટીંગ મળેલ હતી, જેમાં સમિતિ એ ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી વિભાગો પાસે થી સમગ્ર બાંધકામ અંગે ની મજુરી ની ફાઈલો, આદેશો, બાંધકામ સમિતિ ની મીનીટસ, ચૂકવના અંગે ના દસ્તાવેજો, ઓડીટ સર્ટિફિકેટ માંગેલ હતા, જે સંદર્ભ માં સમિતિ ને નીચે મુજબ ના દસ્તાવેજો પુરા પાડવામાં આવેલ હતા

1. બાંધકામ સમિતિ 04/02/2010 ની મીનીટસ
2. બાંધકામ સમિતિ 11/02/2011 ની મીનીટસ
3. તમામ બીલો ની નકલ તથા અસલ દસ્તાવેજો
4. ઓડીટર ના સર્ટિફિકેટ
5. જરૂરી કાર્યાલય આદેશ ની નકલ

અમિત ભાઈ શાહ

[illegible][illegible][illegible][illegible]

3. કુલપતિ શ્રી જે ૧ વર્ષ નો સમય ગાળો મળ્યો હોવા છતાં ટેન્ડર પ્રક્રિયા કરવામાં આવેલ ન હતી, માત્ર ભાવપત્રકો મંગાવવામાં આવ્યા છે. આ પ્રક્રિયા યુનિવર્સિટી વહીવટીતંત્ર દ્વારા થવી જોઈએ તેની જગ્યાએ કન્સલ્ટન્ટ L.J.Purani દ્વારા કરવામાં આવેલ છે જેમાં વહીવટીય ચૂક થયેલી જણાય છે. વધુમાં કન્સલ્ટન્ટ L.J.Purani દ્વારા જે પેનલ કોન્ટ્રાક્ટરો પાસેથી ભાવપત્રક મંગાવવા આવ્યા છે તેને બાંધકામ તથા એકઝીક્યુટીવ કમિટી સમક્ષ મુકતી વખતે પેનલ શબ્દ કાઢીને, ભાવપત્રકો ની જગ્યાએ ટેન્ડરો મંગાવવામાં આવ્યા છે એવું દર્શાવી બાંધકામ તથા એકઝીક્યુટીવ કમિટી ને ગેરમાર્ગે દોરેલ હોય તેવું જણાય છે. ( L.J.Purani નો પત્ર UP&A/PS/L-2 તથા બાંધકામ તથા એકઝીક્યુટીવ કમિટી સમક્ષ મુકેલી નોંધ ની નકલ આ સાથે સામેલ છે, એનેક્સચર -૧.૧ તથા ૧.૨ )
4. સમિતિ ના ધ્યાન ઉપર એ બાબત પણ આવેલ છે કે ઉપરોક્ત બાંધકામ માટે અગાઉ મૂળ રકમ રૂ. ૨,૫૨,૩૨,૫૦૦ /- બાંધકામ સમિતિ અને એકઝીક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલ દ્વારા મંજૂર કરવામાં આવેલ છે. પરંતુ જ્યારે રૂ. ૩,૭૮,૭૫,૫૭૦/- ના ભાવ મંજૂર કરવા માં આવ્યા ત્યારે બાંધકામ સમિતિ તથા એકઝીક્યુટીવ કાઉન્સિલ ની મંજૂરી ના આધાર જણાતા નથી.
5. આ બાંધકામ બાબતે ભાવો મંજૂર કર્યા પછી ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી અને નક્કી થયેલ એજેન્સી એટલે કે મેસર્સ પી.સી.સ્નેહલ કંપની વચ્ચેની એગ્રીમેન્ટ થવું જોઈએ જે આ કિસ્સા માં કરવામાં આવેલ હોય તે જણાતું નથી વધુ માં નોંધાવાનું કે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના એક્ઝર્નલ ઓડીટર મનુભાઈ સન્સ દ્વારા પ્રથમ બીલ થી લઈ ને છઠા બીલ સુધીના દરેક નોંધમાં ઓડીટર દ્વારા નોંધ કરવામાં આવેલ છે કે The work has been approved by the building committee on 11/2/2011 The agreement between Gujarat university & M/s p.c. Snehal Construction Co. Ltd is not available to us. આ બાબતે ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ના સત્તા અધિકારી પાસે થી પણ એગ્રીમેન્ટ ની કોઈ નકલ ઉપલબ્ધ થઈ શકેલ નથી. પરંતુ ઉપરોક્ત બાબતે શ્રી મિનિષ શાહે પોતાના ખુલાસામાં તા:- ૦૩/૦૬/૨૦૧૧ પી.સી.સ્નેહલ અને ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી વચ્ચે ના એગ્રીમેન્ટની નકલ ની ઝેરોક્ષ રજૂ કરેલ છે તે તપાસતા એગ્રીમેન્ટની


બાબતમાં નીચેના મુદ્દા ધ્યાને આવેલ છે . શ્રી મિનિષ શાહ દ્વારા જે એગ્રીમેન્ટની કોપી રજુ કરવામાં આવેલ છે તેમાં માત્ર પી.સી.સ્નેકલ કંપની ની સહી છે . ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીના તત્કાલીન રજીસ્ટ્રાર કે સહાય સત્તા મંડળ માંથી કોઈ પણ વ્યક્તિ ની સહી જણાતી નથી .

પ્રોફેશનલ મેનેજમેન્ટ સ્ટડી બિલ્ડીંગ ના બાંધકામ ના બીલો ના ચૂકવણી ની બાબત તપાસતા સમિતિ ના ધ્યાન પર આવેલ છે . કે મનુભાઈ એન્ડ કંપની ચાર્ટર્ડ એકાઉન્ટન્ટ નાં દરેક રિપોર્ટ માં ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ને "This bill includes the excess quantity executed by the contractor. The same is passed for payment based on letter issued by L.J.Purani & Associates certifying that overall cost of the project will be within the budget." જણાવવામાં આવેલ છે, છતાંય એસ્ટેટ વિભાગ કે હિસાબ વિભાગે આ બાબત ધ્યાને લીધી હોય તેવું જણાતું નથી, કારણ કે આવી નોંધ ઉપરાંત પણ તમામ ચૂકવણી કરવામાં આવેલ છે.

ઉપરોક્ત બાબતે શ્રી બળદેવભાઈ પટેલ , શ્રી મિનેષ શાહ તથા શ્રી શૈલેશ ગોસ્વામી ના લેખિત નિવેદનો લેવામાં આવેલ છે . જેની નકલ આ સાથે સામેલ છે .

#### તારણો

૩. ૩.૭૮.૧૫,૫૭૦/- (અંકે રૂ.ત્રણ કરોડ છઠ્ઠોતેર લાખ પંદર હજાર પાંચસો સીતેર પુરા) ના પ્રોફેશનલ મેનેજમેન્ટ સ્ટડી બિલ્ડીંગ ના બાંધકામ ની કામગીરી માં વર્તમાન પત્ર માં જાહેરાત કે ટેન્ડર પ્રક્રિયા કે E-TENDER વિના કરવા માં આવેલ છે. જેમાં ગુજરાત સરકાર ના નિયમોનું ઉલ્લંઘન કરવામાં આવેલ છે
- એજન્સી પાસે થી ભાવો મંગાવવામાં ની પ્રક્રિયા ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી એ કરવી જોઈએ તેની જગ્યા એ કન્સલ્ટન્ટ L.J.Purani દ્વારા પેનલ કોન્ટ્રાક્ટર પાસે થી ભાવપત્રક મંગાવવા માં આવેલ છે . એટલું જ નહિ ભાવપત્રક ની જગ્યા એ Tender મંગાવવામાં આવ્યા છે તેવી માહિતી બાંધકામ સમિતિ અને E.C ને આપી ગેરમાર્ગે દોરવાની પ્રવૃત્તિ બદધરાદા થી થયેલ હોય એવું જણાય છે .



[illegible]

1. ગિલવણીની આંતર આર્થિક સ્પર્ધા યોજાઈ છે. આમાં અત્યંત મહત્વની ભૂમિકા ભજવે છે. આમાં અત્યંત મહત્વની ભૂમિકા ભજવે છે. આમાં અત્યંત મહત્વની ભૂમિકા ભજવે છે.



શ્રી અમિત ભાઈ શાહ

Amthar

શ્રી ડોશિકભાઈ જૂન

Doan

શ્રી મહિપતસિંહ યાવડા

Mahipat

શ્રીમતિ વી. ચાંદો

Chand

શ્રી ચે એસ રાઠોડ

Ches

સ્થળ અમદાવાદ

તારીખ: 13/05/2014

જેમણે આ પત્ર લખ્યો તેણે જાણવું પડે છે કે આ પત્ર માં સહી કરીને આ પત્રને આ પત્રની વચ્ચે મુદ્રિત કરવામાં આવેલ છે.

૫૧૧૧૨-૬૦

૮૧૧૪ - ૨૦

૩૮ ૧૧-૧૭-૦૬-૨૦૧૫

Gujarat University Library  
Navrangpura, Ahmedabad-380 099  
(Guj-INDIA)



# GUJARAT UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી પંચાયત

મહેસાણા, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦ ૦૦૯

No. GUL/ ૭૬૬ / of 2015

Date: ૨૩-૧૨-૨૦૧૫

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રંથાલયની તા. ૧૫/૧૧/૨૦૧૪ ની રીજ મંજૂર ગ્રંથાલય સંબંધિત  
સમક્ષિત થાઈને સારવારમાં આવી

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રંથાલયમાં આવી મળેલ કોઈ જેમ નીચે જણાવેલ સરવાળામાં રજૂ કરેલ  
ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૧) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૨) શ્રી રાજીવ શર્મા મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૩) શ્રી રાજીવ પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૪) શ્રી રાજીવ પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૫) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૬) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૭) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૮) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૯) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૧૦) શ્રી રાજીવ પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૧૧) શ્રી રાજીવ પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

(૧૨) ડી. એમ. જી. પટેલ, મુદ્રિત ગ્રંથોના નામો

આ સંબંધિત ગ્રંથોના નામો સરવાળામાં આવી

(૧) તા. ૧૧/૦૭/૨૦૧૪ ની રીજ મંજૂર ગ્રંથોના નામો

સરવાળામાં આવી

૨૦૧૫

[illegible][illegible][illegible]

1. 1990-1991 2. 1991-1992 3. 1992-1993 4. 1993-1994 5. 1994-1995 6. 1995-1996 7. 1996-1997 8. 1997-1998 9. 1998-1999 10. 1999-2000 11. 2000-2001 12. 2001-2002 13. 2002-2003 14. 2003-2004 15. 2004-2005 16. 2005-2006 17. 2006-2007 18. 2007-2008 19. 2008-2009 20. 2009-2010 21. 2010-2011 22. 2011-2012 23. 2012-2013 24. 2013-2014 25. 2014-2015 26. 2015-2016 27. 2016-2017 28. 2017-2018 29. 2018-2019 30. 2019-2020 31. 2020-2021 32. 2021-2022 33. 2022-2023 34. 2023-2024 35. 2024-2025 36. 2025-2026 37. 2026-2027 38. 2027-2028 39. 2028-2029 40. 2029-2030 41. 2030-2031 42. 2031-2032 43. 2032-2033 44. 2033-2034 45. 2034-2035 46. 2035-2036 47. 2036-2037 48. 2037-2038 49. 2038-2039 50. 2039-2040 51. 2040-2041 52. 2041-2042 53. 2042-2043 54. 2043-2044 55. 2044-2045 56. 2045-2046 57. 2046-2047 58. 2047-2048 59. 2048-2049 60. 2049-2050 61. 2050-2051 62. 2051-2052 63. 2052-2053 64. 2053-2054 65. 2054-2055 66. 2055-2056 67. 2056-2057 68. 2057-2058 69. 2058-2059 70. 2059-2060 71. 2060-2061 72. 2061-2062 73. 2062-2063 74. 2063-2064 75. 2064-2065 76. 2065-2066 77. 2066-2067 78. 2067-2068 79. 2068-2069 80. 2069-2070 81. 2070-2071 82. 2071-2072 83. 2072-2073 84. 2073-2074 85. 2074-2075 86. 2075-2076 87. 2076-2077 88. 2077-2078 89. 2078-2079 90. 2079-2080 91. 2080-2081 92. 2081-2082 93. 2082-2083 94. 2083-2084 95. 2084-2085 96. 2085-2086 97. 2086-2087 98. 2087-2088 99. 2088-2089 100. 2089-2090 101. 2090-2091 102. 2091-2092 103. 2092-2093 104. 2093-2094 105. 2094-2095 106. 2095-2096 107. 2096-2097 108. 2097-2098 109. 2098-2099 110. 2099-2100 111. 2100-2101 112. 2101-2102 113. 2102-2103 114. 2103-2104 115. 2104-2105 116. 2105-2106 117. 2106-2107 118. 2107-2108 119. 2108-2109 120. 2109-2110 121. 2110-2111 122. 2111-2112 123. 2112-2113 124. 2113-2114 125. 2114-2115 126. 2115-2116 127. 2116-2117 128. 2117-2118 129. 2118-2119 130. 2119-2120 131. 2120-2121 132. 2121-2122 133. 2122-2123 134. 2123-2124 135. 2124-2125 136. 2125-2126 137. 2126-2127 138. 2127-2128 139. 2128-2129 140. 2129-2130 141. 2130-2131 142. 2131-2132 143. 2132-2133 144. 2133-2134 145. 2134-2135 146. 2135-2136 147. 2136-2137 148. 2137-2138 149. 2138-2139 150. 2139-2140 151. 2140-2141 152. 2141-2142 153. 2142-2143 154. 2143-2144 155. 2144-2145 156. 2145-2146 157. 2146-2147 158. 2147-2148 159. 2148-2149 160. 2149-2150 161. 2150-2151 162. 2151-2152 163. 2152-2153 164. 2153-2154 165. 2154-2155 166. 2155-2156 167. 2156-2157 168. 2157-2158 169. 2158-2159 170. 2159-2160 171. 2160-2161 172. 2161-2162 173. 2162-2163 174. 2163-2164 175. 2164-2165 176. 2165-2166 177. 2166-2167 178. 2167-2168 179. 2168-2169 180. 2169-2170 181. 2170-2171 182. 2171-2172 183. 2172-2173 184. 2173-2174 185. 2174-2175 186. 2175-2176 187. 2176-2177 188. 2177-2178 189. 2178-2179 190. 2179-2180 191. 2180-2181 192. 2181-2182 193. 2182-2183 194. 2183-2184 195. 2184-2185 196. 2185-2186 197. 2186-2187 198. 2187-2188 199. 2188-2189 200. 2189-2190 201. 2190-2191 202. 2191-2192 203. 2192-2193 204. 2193-2194 205. 2194-2195 206. 2195-2196 207. 2196-2197 208. 2197-2198 209. 2198-2199 210. 2199-2200 211. 2200-2201 212. 2201-2202 213. 2202-2203 214. 2203-2204 215. 2204-2205 216. 2205-2206 217. 2206-2207 218. 2207-2208 219. 2208-2209 220. 2209-2210 221. 2210-2211 222. 2211-2212 223. 2212-2213 224. 2213-2214 225. 2214-2215 226. 2215-2216 227. 2216-2217 228. 2217-2218 229. 2218-2219 230. 2219-2220 231. 2220-2221 232. 2221-2222 233. 2222-2223 234. 2223-2224 235. 2224-2225 236. 2225-2226 237. 2226-2227 238. 2227-2228 239. 2228-2229 240. 2229-2230 241. 2230-2231 242. 2231-2232 243. 2232-2233 244. 2233-2234 245. 2234-2235 246. 2235-2236 247. 2236-2237 248. 2237-2238 249. 2238-2239 250. 2239-2240 251. 2240-2241 252. 2241-2242 253. 2242-2243 254. 2243-2244 255. 2244-2245 256. 2245-2246 257. 2246-2247 258. 2247-2248 259. 2248-2249 260. 2249-2250 261. 2250-2251 262. 2251-2252 263. 2252-2253 264. 2253-2254 265. 2254-2255 266. 2255-2256 267. 2256-2257 268. 2257-2258 269. 2258-2259 270. 2259-2260 271. 2260-2261 272. 2261-2262 273. 2262-2263 274. 2263-2264 275. 2264-2265 276. 2265-2266 277. 2266-2267 278. 2267-2268 279. 2268-2269 280. 2269-2270

[illegible]

୩୩୩୩ ଡି.ଏମ୍. ପ୍ରାୟ ୧୫୫ ମିଲିମିଟର (୫.୭୫ ଇଞ୍ଚ) ଉଚ୍ଚତା ପ୍ରାୟ ୧୫୫  
 ମିଲିମିଟର (୬.୧ ଇଞ୍ଚ) ଉଚ୍ଚତା ପ୍ରାୟ ୧୫୫ ମିଲିମିଟର (୬.୧ ଇଞ୍ଚ) ଉଚ୍ଚତା ପ୍ରାୟ ୧୫୫  
 ମିଲିମିଟର (୬.୧ ଇଞ୍ଚ) ଉଚ୍ଚତା ପ୍ରାୟ ୧୫୫ ମିଲିମିଟର (୬.୧ ଇଞ୍ଚ) ଉଚ୍ଚତା ପ୍ରାୟ ୧୫୫

[illegible]

১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (১)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (২)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৩)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৪)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৫)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৬)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৭)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৮)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (৯)  
 ১৩০০০ টাকার মূল্যের জমি ও জলসম্পদ (১০)

(5) INFORMATION WILL BE RELEASED TO THE PUBLIC BY THE FBI IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

[illegible]



## GUJARAT UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રંથાલય

નાવરંગપુરા, અમદાવાદ-૩૮૦ ૦૦૯.

No. GUI 260 of 2014

Date: 19-7-2014

પ્રતિ,  
માનનીય કુલસચિવશ્રી,  
ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી,  
અમદાવાદ.

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિની તા.૧૧/૭/૨૦૧૪ના રોજ મળેલ બેઠકના કરારો

૧. તા.૧૧/૧૨/૧૩ના રોજ એડહોક ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિની કાર્યવાહી મંજૂર કરવા બાબત.  
(પરિશિષ્ટ-૧)

તા.૧૧/૧૨/૧૩ના રોજ એડહોક ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિની કાર્યવાહી મંજૂર કરવાનું કરાવેલ છે.

૨. ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયનાં પત્રાંક No.Acc-2/560B/270/2014, 29/5/14 Books & Journals proposed allocation: Rs 150 lacsની તા.૧૮/૧૦/૨૦૦૧ મુજબનાં પ્રો.રેટા મુજબ વિવિધ વિષયોમાં ફાળવણીની મંજૂરી બાબત તેમજ યુ.જી.સી.ની માર્ગદર્શિકા મુજબ ૧૦% રકમ સ્ટેકીંગ ફર્નિચર માટે ફાળવવા બાબત. (પરિશિષ્ટ-૨)  
(પરિશિષ્ટ-૨.૧-પુસ્તકો) (પરિશિષ્ટ-૨.૨-સામયિકો)

ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી કાર્યાલયનાં પત્રાંક No.Acc-2/560B 270/2014, 29/5/14 મુજબ ફાળવવામાં આવેલ ગ્રાંટ અનુસાર પુસ્તકો અને સામયિકો Hard Copy અને Electronic Resource ખરીદવાની કાર્યવાહી કરવાનું કરાવેલ છે તેમજ E-Resourcesની પસંદગી માટે INFLIBNETનાં તજજ્ઞો અને સંબંધિત યુનિવર્સિટીનાં શ્રી પ્રવેશ પારદેશની આમંત્રિત સભ્ય તરીકે નિમણૂક કરીએ તેમજ પુસ્તકો ખરીદી સંદર્ભ હિસાબ વિભાગમાં પણ ગ્રાંટ સંબંધિત અગાઉ આવેલ અને ચાલુ વર્ષે આવેલ ગ્રાંટની વિગતની ચકાસણી કરીને કાર્યવાહી કરવાનું રાખીએ.

૩. ૧૨મી યોજના હેઠળ આવેલ રૂ.૫૦,૦૦૦/-ની ગ્રાંટની વર્ષેલી રકમ રૂ.૩.૭૫.૫૦૮/-ને ગ્રંથપાલશ્રીને નેટ-સ્વેટનાં પુસ્તકોની ખરીદીની મંજૂરી અને યુ.જી.સી.ની માર્ગદર્શિકા મુજબ ૧૦% રકમ રૂ.૩.૭૫.૦૦૦/- સ્ટેકીંગ ફર્નિચર માટે ફાળવવા બાબત. (પરિશિષ્ટ-૩)

ગ્રંથપાલશ્રી જરૂરી સ્ટેકીંગ ફર્નિચર એસ્ટેટ વિભાગ મારફતે ખરીદવાની કાર્યવાહી કરવાનું તેમજ રૂ.૩.૭૫.૫૦૮/- રૂની રકમ નેટ-સ્વેટનાં પુસ્તકો માટે ખરીદવાની સત્તા કા.ગ્રંથપાલશ્રીને આપવાનું કરાવેલ છે.

૨૭/૬

૪. ગ્રંથાલયનો વર્ષ ૨૦૧૩-૧૪નો વાર્ષિક અહેવાલ મંજૂર કરવા બાબત. (પરિશિષ્ટ-૪)

ગ્રંથાલયનો વર્ષ ૨૦૧૩-૧૪નો વાર્ષિક અહેવાલ મંજૂર કરવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે.

૫. ગ્રંથાલય ઓટોમેશનની બાકી કામગીરી બાબત.

ઇન્ફલીબનેટની સાથે મીટીંગ બોલાવી હાલ સુધીનાં હિસાબોની ચાલી બનાવી કામગીરી પૂર્ણ કરવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે.

૬. આઇ.બી.એલ. (Issue Book Lost)નાં પુસ્તકો કમી કરવા બાબત. (પરિશિષ્ટ-૫)

આઇ.બી.એલનાં પુસ્તકો કમી કરવા આ અંગેની ડિપોઝીટ જપ્ત લઇ કાર્યવાહી કરવા ઠરાવેલ હતું.

૭. હંગામી સભ્યપદ અંગેના નિયમો બાબત. (પરિશિષ્ટ-૬)

હંગામી સભ્યપદ અંગે ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિનાં સભ્યોએ સર્વાનુમતે સભ્યપદ પુર પાડતું નહીં તેમ ઠરાવેલ છે.

૮. સામયિક વિભાગના બજેટની વિષયવાર ફાળવણીની સુધારણા અને બાઇન્ડીંગની શરતોમાં ફેરફાર અંગે (પરિશિષ્ટ-૭)

જો વિષય સંબંધિત સામયિકો મંગાવવા છતાંય બજેટ વધતું હોય તો વિષયનાં અધ્યક્ષશ્રી/ H.O.D. ને જાણ કરી તેમની સંમતિ મેળવી આ બજેટની અન્ય વિષયોમાં ખર્ચ કરવાની સત્તા ગ્રંથપાલશ્રીને આપવી તેમજ બાઇન્ડીંગની કામગીરી સ્થાનિક બાઇન્ડરને બોલાવી યુનિવર્સિટીનાં નિયમાનુસાર કુલસચિવશ્રીની સંમતિ મેળવી કાર્યવાહી કરવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે.

૯. ગ્રંથપાલશ્રીને સામાન્ય જ્ઞાન, સ્પર્ધાત્મક પરીક્ષાઓ/ નેટ સ્લેટ અને બેસ્ટ સેલરનાં પુસ્તકોની ખરીદી અંગે મંજૂરી આપવા બાબત.

ગ્રંથપાલશ્રીને સામાન્ય જ્ઞાન, સ્પર્ધાત્મક પરીક્ષા, નેટ-સ્લેટ, બેસ્ટ સેલર પુસ્તકોની ખરીદીની મંજૂરી આપવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૦. અનુસ્નાતક ભવનોમાં સ્વનિર્ભર ધોરણે ચાલતા અભ્યાસક્રમોમાં પુસ્તકોની ખરીદીનાં નિયમો બાબત અને ગ્રંથાલયનાં કર્મચારીશ્રીઓનાં સ્વનિર્ભર ધોરણે અભ્યાસક્રમોમાં પુસ્તકોની વિધિનાં મહેનતાણાં બાબત.

અનુસ્નાતક ભવનોનાં સ્વનિર્ભર ચાલતા અભ્યાસક્રમોમાં પુસ્તકોની ખરીદી જે તે અનુસ્નાતક વિભાગ દ્વારા યુનિવર્સિટી ગ્રંથાલયનાં નિયમાનુસાર કરવાની રહે છે તેમજ પુસ્તકોનાં બિલમાં નિયમાનુસાર ખરીદી કરાયેલ છે કે નહીં તેની ચકાસણી-પરચા ગ્રંથાલયનાં સહિ-સિક્કા સાથે બિલમાં કરવાની રહેશે તેમજ તેનાં નિયમાનુસાર નવા

ગ્રંથાલય સમિતિનાં અધ્યક્ષશ્રીઓ સર્વાનુમતે કોઈ પણ પ્રકારે મહેનતાણું ટેકનીકલ પ્રક્રિયા માટે જોવાનું રહેશે નહીં જે કામગીરી ડીટીન કામગીરીની જેમ જ કરવાની રહેશે એમ ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૧. એમ.ફીલ/પી.એચ.ડી.નાં વિદ્યાર્થીઓની વાંચન ટીકીટની સમય મર્યાદા બાબત.

એમ.ફીલ કરતા વિદ્યાર્થીઓને ગ્રંથાલય સભ્યપદની સુવિધા બે (૨) વર્ષ માટે અને વાયક ટીકીટ ચાર (૪) તથા પી.એચ.ડી નાં વિદ્યાર્થીઓને સભ્યપદ સુવિધા ત્રણ (૩) વર્ષ માટે અને વાયક ટીકીટ છ (૬) શૈક્ષણિક વર્ષ ૨૦૧૪-૧૫થી આપવાનું રાખીએ.

૧૨. વાંચન કેન્દ્રોમાં ફર્નિચર મરામત અને કલર કામ, ગ્રંથાલયોમાં પુસ્તકો માટેની ટ્રાન્કી તેમજ વિકલાંગ ઉપયોગકર્તાઓ માટે એક વડીલ ચેર, ઓરિએન્ટેશન પ્રોગ્રામ માટે સાઉન્ડ સિસ્ટમ, પ્રોજેક્ટર-સ્ક્રીન વગેરે સાધન સામગ્રીની ખરીદીની મંજૂરી બાબત.

બાબત ક્રમાંક-૧૨માં જણાવેલ તમામ સામગ્રી યુનિવર્સિટીનાં નિયમાનુસાર સંબંધિત વિભાગ માટે ખરીદવાની કાર્યવાહી કરવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૩. આગામી નેક પહેલા ગ્રંથાલયનાં મુખ્યતઃ ઇશ્યુ વિભાગનાં નવીનીકરણ અને વિદ્યાર્થીઓનાં ઓપેક એક્સેસ સેન્ટરમાં કોમ્પ્યુટર માટે જરૂરી ફર્નિચર વસાવવા બાબત.

ગ્રંથાલયનાં મુખ્યતઃ ઇશ્યુ વિભાગનાં નવીનીકરણ તેમજ વિદ્યાર્થીઓનાં ઓપેક એક્સેસ સેન્ટરમાં જરૂરી તમામ કાર્યવાહી તાકીદે યુનિવર્સિટીનાં નિયમાનુસાર એસ્ટેટ વિભાગ મારફતે કાર્યવાહી કરવા ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૪. Ordinance-30 અન્વયે "લાયબ્રેરી એકાઉન્ટ" અલગ કરવા બાબત તેમજ ગ્રંથાલય વિકાસ ફંડ (કોડ નં-૭૭૧૧૦૧૨) હેઠળ તા.૩૧/૩/૨૦૧૪ સુધી જમા થયેલ રૂા. ૪૮,૫૨,૫૩૫/- રકમ આ એકાઉન્ટ ખાતે તબદીલ કરવા અને ગ્રંથાલયનાં વિકાસ કાર્યોમાં ઉપયોગ કરવા બાબત. (પરિશિષ્ટ-૮)

Ordinance-30 અન્વયે "લાયબ્રેરી એકાઉન્ટ" અલગ કરવા આ એકાઉન્ટ ખાતે જમા થયેલ રકમ લાયબ્રેરી એકાઉન્ટ ખાતે તબદીલ કરવા અને ગ્રંથાલયનાં વિકાસ કાર્યોમાં ઉપયોગ કરવા ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૫. મધ્યસ્થ ગ્રંથાલય અને સરદાર વાંચન કેન્દ્રનાં ધાબાલીકેજ સમસ્યાની કામગીરી બાબત.

મધ્યસ્થ ગ્રંથાલય અને સરદાર વાંચન કેન્દ્રનાં ધાબાલીકેજની કામગીરી તાકીદે કરવા એસ્ટેટ વિભાગને જાણ કરી કામગીરી કરવાનું ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૬. ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટી દ્વારા પ્રકાશિત પુસ્તકો, અપ્રાપ્ય ગ્રંથો અને વર્તમાનપત્રોનાં ડિજિટાઇઝેશન બાબત.

યુનિવર્સિટીનાં નિયમાનુસાર ક્વોટેશન મંગાવી કાર્યવાહી કરવા ગ્રંથાલયની વેબ સાઇટ બનાવવા કામગીરી કરવા ઠરાવેલ છે.

૧૭. UGC Infonet Digital Library Consortium દ્વારા ગુજરાત યુનિવર્સિટીને પ્રાપ્ત થતી E-Resourcesની Accessમાં પ્રથમ-પેઠમાં ૩૭માં ક્રમે સ્થાન મેળવ્યું તે નોંધ લેવા બાબત અને E-Resourcesની વધુ Access માટે અધ્યક્ષશ્રીની કક્ષાએ પણ કાર્યવાહી કરવા બાબત.

UGC Infonet Digital Library Consortiumમાં ભારતમાં આ પ્રોજેક્ટ અંતર્ગત ૪૧૯ સભ્યપદ ધરાવતી યુનિવર્સિટીઓમાં ૩૭માં ક્રમે આવવા બદલ કા.ચંથપાલશ્રી અને તેની સભા તેમને અભિનંદન આપતો ઠરાવ પસાર કરેલ છે તેમજ આગામી સમયમાં વધુ ને વધુ એક્સેસ વધે તે માટે વિવિધ અનુસ્નાતક ભવનોમાં અધ્યક્ષ મારફતે પણ કાર્યવાહી થાય તેમ ઠરાવેલ છે.

કાર્યકારી અધ્યક્ષશ્રી

માનનીય કુલરાચિવશ્રી

માનનીય કુલરાચિવશ્રી



તાલી :- ૨, જા.ક-૨૬, ઇસી-૧૭-૦૬-૧૬, પરિશિષ્ટ-૧૧

સેક્શન ૩ થી ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ થી નવી કોલેજો ચાલુ કરવા માટે આવેલ અરજીઓ પૈકી નીચેની સંસ્થાઓ યુ.જી.સી મુજબની શરતો પૂર્ણ કરતી હોઈ જોડાણની મંજૂરી આપવા વિચારણા કરવા બાબતે

| Sr. No. | Name of Trust  | Name of Proposed Institute   | Name of Course                 | Area        | Required Land (In Acres) | Available of Land | LIC Recommendation          | Scrutinee Committee Remarks   |
|---------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|
|         |  |  |                                | Urban/Rural | 2 / 5 Acre               |                   |                             |   |
| 1       | Shree Monark Education Trust<br>Mahadev Vas, Nikol Gam,<br>Ahmedabad-382350                                    | Piyuni Goswami College of Commerce<br>Naorda-Dehgam Road,<br>At Vehlal-382330<br>Dist:Ahmedabad                                    | Commerce                       | Rural       | 5 Acre                   | 5.11 Acre         | Granted                     | 1. Land Requirement full fill as per UGC Regulation .2 Building should seprety  |
| 2       | Shree Monark Education Trust<br>Mahadev Vas, Nikol Gam,<br>Ahmedabad-382350                                    | Jeel Goswami College of Science & Research<br>Naorda-Dehgam Road,<br>At Vehlal-382330<br>Dist:Ahmedabad                            | Science                        | Rural       | 5 Acre                   | 5.11 Acre         | Granted                     | 1. Land Requirement full fill as per UGC Regulation .2 Building should seprety  |
| 3       | Muslim Vidhyarthi Pragati Mandal<br>Nr. Government Hospital, Napa,<br>Taipad 388560<br>Ta : Borsad, Dist:Anand | Adarsh Science College<br>Gana, Maghva Road,<br>Napa-388560<br>Ta : Borsad, Dist:Anand   | Science                        | Rural       | 5 Acre                   | 4.95225 Acres     | Granted                     | 1. Land Requirement full fill as per UGC Regulation .2 Building should seprety<br>3.Trust have not run any other courses in this Building under taking received on Rs. 100/- temp paper 4. Minor deficency in land have grace fully wav |
| 4       | Gandhinagar Oneritable Trust<br>"Vasant Vagda", K-7, Circle,<br>Gandhinagar-382026                             | Samarpan Science & Commerce College<br>Sampapan Education Campus<br>Nr. Go:LEng.College, K-7 Circle,<br>Gandhinagar-382026         | Science & Commerce             | Rural       | 5 Acre                   | 6.78 Acre         | Granted                     | 1. Land Requirement full fill as per UGC Regulation .2 Building shoud seprety   |
| 5       | Shri Kanam Pradesh Patidar<br>Sewa Samaj- Vadodara B/h,<br>Gangotri Appt., R.V.Desai Road,<br>Vadodara-390001  | Shri Dr. Ambubhai L. Patel Arts,<br>Commerce & Science College<br>At & Po: Por-391243<br>Utiya-Kajapur Road,<br>Ta & Dist:Vadodara | Arts,<br>Commerce<br>& Science | Rural       | 5 Acre                   | 13 Acre           | Art and Commerce<br>Granted | 1. Land Requirement full fill as per UGC Regulation .   |

૨૬૨

|    |  |  |                          |       |        |            |         |   |
|----|--|--|--------------------------|-------|--------|------------|---------|---|
| 6  | Shree Akhil Anjana Kelavani Mandal<br>Opp: S.T.D Depot, Sector-7,<br>Gandhinagar-382 007   | Chaudhari Commerce College Opp:<br>S.T.Depot, Sector-7,<br>Gandhinagar-382 007   | Commerce                 | Urban | 2 Acre | 7.5 Acre   | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>should seprety |
| 7  | Sigma Institute of Technology &<br>Engineering Trust<br>Ajwa Nimeta Road, Nr.<br>Madhavnagar, Village:Bakrol,<br>Ta:Waghodia,<br>Dist:Vadodara | Sigma Institute of Science &<br>Commerce<br>Ajwa Nimeta Road, Nr.<br>Madhavnagar, Village:Bakrol,<br>Ta:Waghodia,<br>Dist:Vadodara   | Science &<br>Commerce    | Rural | 5 Acre | 21.44 Acre | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .                             |
| 8  | Sigma Institute of Technology &<br>Engineering Trust<br>Ajwa Nimeta Road, Nr.<br>Madhavnagar, Village:Bakrol,<br>Ta:Waghodia, Dist:Vadodara    | Sigma Institute of Performing Arts<br>"Dwarkesh Building",Opp: S.T.Bus<br>Depot, Makarpura Main<br>Road,Makarpura,Vadodara-390020  | Performing<br>Arts       | Rural | 5 Acre | 21.44 Acre | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .                             |
| 9  | Dehgam Talika Education<br>Society<br>Purushottam Park,<br>Dehgam-Naroda Road,<br>Dehgam-382305  | Smt. Sarlaben Chaturbhai Patel<br>Institute of Commerce<br>Purushottam Park,<br>Dehgam-Naroda Road,<br>Dehgam-382305   | Commerce                 | Rural | 5 Acre | 6.45 Acre  | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprety  |
| 10 | Shankersinh Vaghela Bapu<br>Charitable Trust<br>"Vasant Vagdo", K-7, Circle,<br>Gandhinagar-382026   | Shankersinh Vaghela Bapu Institute of<br>Visual Arts<br>Shankersinh Vaghela Bapu Charitable<br>Trust, Bapu Gujarat Knowledge<br>Village Campus,<br>Gandhinagar-Mansa Highway,<br>Vasan, Gandhinagar-382026 | Master of<br>Visual Arts | Rural | 5 Acre | 26.3 Acre  | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprety  |
| 11 | Lok Jagruti Kendra<br>L.J. Campus,Vastrapur,<br>Ahmedabad-380015   | L.J. Institute of Science<br>L.J. Campus, Between Kataria Motors<br>& Sarkhej Circle, S.G.Road,<br>Ahmedabad-382210  | Science                  | Urban | 2 Acre | 3.151 Acre | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprety  |
| 12 | Balasimor Talika Education<br>Trust<br>C/o. Manav Seva Mandir, Opp:<br>Reliance Petrol Pump,<br>At & Post, Ta:Virpur,<br>Dist:mahisagar-388260 | Pragjibapa M.S.W. College<br>C/o. Manav Seva Mandir, Opp:<br>Reliance Petrol Pump,<br>At & Post, Ta:Virpur,<br>Dist:mahisagar-388260   | M.S.W                    | Rural | 5 Acre | 5.18 Acre  | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprety  |
| 13 | The F.D.Education Society<br>F.D.College Road, Jamalpur<br>Gate,<br>Ahmedabad-380001   | The F.D. College of Science<br>41, Maktampur, Sarkhej Road,<br>Ahmedabad-380055  | Science                  | Urban | 2 Acre | 3.43 Acre  | Granted | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fill as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprety  |

676

|    |   |  |                                |       |        |               |  |  |
|----|---|--|--------------------------------|-------|--------|---------------|--|--|
| 14 | Shri Kadva Patidar 42 Gam<br>Samaj Kalyani Mandal<br>B/h. Ambica Bus Stand,<br>Highway,<br>Kalol-382721<br>Dist:Gandhinagar       | Umiya Mahila Science College<br>B/h. Ambica Bus Stand, Highway,<br>Kalol-382721<br>Dist:Gandhinagar              | મહિલા<br>Science               | Rural | 5 Acer | 5.19 Acer     | Granted                                  | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprelly |
| 15 | Shri Umiya KVC Education Trust<br>Shri Umiya Campus, Nr.Bhagvat<br>Vidhyanith,<br>S.G.Highway, Sola,<br>Ahmedabad-380060          | Shri Umiya BCA College<br>Shri Umiya Campus, Nr.Bhagvat<br>Vidhyapith,<br>S.G.Highway, Sola,<br>Ahmedabad-380060 | B.C.A.                         | Urban | 2 Acer | 3 Acer        | Granted                                  | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprelly |
| 16 | Bai Jivker Lalubhai Trust<br>C/o. J.L.Campus, Rambaugh,<br>Maninagar,<br>Ahmedabad-38008  | J. L. Science College<br>At:Amsaran, Ta:Maahemdabad<br>Dist:Kheda  | Science                        | Urban | 2 Acer | 4.60 Acer     | Granted                                  | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprelly |
| 17 | Somnath Kelavani Mandal<br>B/h. Dutt Mandir,<br>Sector-28,<br>Gandhinagar-380060  | Navgugarat Commerce College<br>B/h. Dutt Mandir,<br>Sector-28,<br>Gandhinagar-380060                             | Commerce                       | Urban | 2 Acer | 2.47 Acer     | Granted                                  | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprelly |
| 18 | Shri Vidhya Uttejak Mandal<br>Ajol-382811<br>Ta:Mansa, Dist:Gandhinagar   | C.H.M.Shah Science College<br>Ajol-382811<br>Ta:Mansa, Dist:Gandhinagar  | Science                        | Rural | 5 Acer | 7 Acer        | Granted                                  | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprelly |
| 19 | Shri Swaminarayan Smakman<br>Samskar Charitable Trust<br>Po:Sokli, Hansalpur Sokli Road,<br>Ta:Viramgam,<br>Dist:Ahmedabad-382150 | Shree Sahajanand College of Science<br>Po:Sokli, Hansalpur Sokli Road,<br>Ta:Viramgam,<br>Dist:Ahmedabad-382150  | Science                        | Rural | 5 Acer | 13.92<br>Acor | Granted                                  | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Building<br>shoud seprelly |
| 20 | Sarvoday Education Trust<br>At:SaganaMuvada,<br>Po:Shamna, Ta:Lunawada,   | Siddhivinayak College<br>At:SaganaMuvada,<br>Po:Shamna, Ta:Lunawada,<br>Dist:Ahmedabad-380020                    | Arts,<br>Commerce<br>& Science | Rural | 5 Acer | 2 Hector      | ✓<br>Art and<br>Commerce<br>Granted<br>✓ | 1. Land Requirement<br>full fit as per UGC<br>Regulation .2 Only<br>Arts faculty Grant |

268

પાદી :- ૨

૧૬/૧૨/૧૧ ગાંધી-૨૫, દાતા-૧૭-૦૬-૧૬

સેક્ષન ૧૭ થી ૨૦૧૫-૧૬ થી નવી કોલેજો ચાલુ કરવા માટે આવેલ અરજીઓ પેકી નીચેની સંસ્થાઓ સામેની બાકી શરતો

| Sr. No. | Name of Trust  | Proposed Institute   | Faculty  | Area  | As per UGC Norms land | Available land                           | LIC Recommendation | Scrutinee Committee Remarks   |
|---------|--|--|----------|-------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| 1       | Trimurti Education Trust<br>13, Kavita Vardhmannagar, Kalol-382721<br>Dist:gandhinagar   | President Commerce College<br>Panchvati Area<br>Kalol-382721<br>Dist:gandhinagar   | Commerce | Urban | 2 Acre                | 3 Acre<br>(Survey No.177)                | Yes                | જમીનની માલિકી Trust ની નથી.<br>Trust ના નામે ટ્રાન્સફર કરે ત્યાર બાદ અપાય.                                |
| 2       | Om Education Trust<br>B-405, Angel Complex, Nr. Income Tax Railway Crossing, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad-380009                           | Girish Raval College of Science At & Po:Mota Chiloda, Ta. & Dist: Gandhinagar-382355   | Science  | Rural | 5 Acre                | 5.30 Acre<br>(Survey No.393,394,395,396) | Yes                | જમીન ની માલિકી વ્યક્તિગત છે.<br>Trust ના નામે ટ્રાન્સફર કરે ત્યાર બાદ અપાય.                               |
| 3       | Shri Swaminarayan Vidhyadham<br>Jasodanagar-Mahemdabad Highway, Nr. S. P. Ring Road, Hathijan-382445<br>Ta:Daskroi<br>Dist:Ahmedabad | Shree Brahmanand Commerce College<br>Shree Swaminarayan Vidhyadham, Jasodanagar-Mahemdabad Highway, Nr. S. P. Ring Road, Hathijan-382445<br>Ta:Daskroi, Dist:Ahmedabad | Commerce | Rural | 5 Acre                | 6 Acre<br>(Survey No.6,7,8,9)            | Yes                | Trust ના નામે ટ્રાન્સફર કરે ત્યાર બાદ અપાય.   |
| 4       | Anjuman Education Trust<br>Raipur Road, Balasinor-388255   | Nav Gujarat Science College, Raipur Road, Balasinor-388255   | Science  | Rural | 5 Acre                | 5 Acre                                   | Yes                | પોલીટેકનીક વિગત છે. સંસ્થા કઈ બિલ્ડીંગમાં ચાલશે તેની વિગત મંગાવીએ.  |
| 5       | Sarvodaya Charitable Trust<br>At & Post:Kakanpur<br>Ta:Godhra<br>Dist:Panchmahal-388713  | Shri J.L.K.Kotecha Arts & Smt. S.H.Gardi Commerce College<br>At & Post:Kakanpur<br>Ta:Godhra,<br>Dist:Panchmahal-388713  | Science  | Rural | 5 Acre                | 6 Acre                                   | Yes                | ગ્રાન્ટેડ કોલેજ નાં બાગ તરીકે સેલ્ડ ફાઈનાન્સ કોલેજને સારા સ્થાને આપી શકાય નહિ. સ્વતંત્ર કોલેજ ફાળવી શકાય. |
| 6       | Khyati Foundation<br>4 Ambali Residency B/H Kantam Park Plot,<br>Bopal-Ambali-380058<br>Dist:Ahmedabad                               | Khyati Institute of Science<br>116, Mota Palodia,<br>Ta. Gandhinagar<br>Dist:Gandhinagar   | Science  | Urban | 2 Acre                | 2 Acre                                   | Yes                | અન્ય સંસ્થાઓને ફાળવેલ જમીન ની વિગત અંગેલ નથી.   |

૨૬૪

૭

|   |  |   |          |       |        |          |     |   |
|---|--|---|----------|-------|--------|----------|-----|---|
| 7 | RMT Group Educational Charitable Trust<br>Asia Pacific House, Vidhya Vihar Colony, Opp: Fortune Hotel<br>Landmark, Usmanpura, Ahmedabad-380014 | Asia Pacific Commerce College<br>Village:Bhoyani, Kadi-Katosan Road,Ta: Detroj, Dist:Ahmedabad-382145                 | Commerce | Rural | 5 Acer | 5.03Acer | Yes | હયાત બિલ્ડીંગમાં જે સંસ્થાઓ ગાલે તેના ઇઅર માર્ક પ્લાન મંગાવવું તા આવાથી કોમર્સ માટે વિચારણા થાય.  |
| 8 | Alpha Education Fundation<br>P.T.C. College Building, Oganaj, Ahmedabad-380060<br>Ta: Daskroi, Dist:Ahmedabad                                  | Alpha College of Science<br>Nr. Lincon Polymers, At:Khatraj, Kalol-382721<br>Dist:Gandhinagar                         | Science  | Urban | 2 Acer | 2 Acer   | Yes | જમીનની ઇન્ડેક્સની નકલ, કોલેજ અને વકીલ રીપોર્ટમાં તકાવત હોઈ જમીન અંગે તાજેતરનું પ્રમાણપત્ર રજુ કરવું. હયાત બિલ્ડીંગની ઇઅર માર્ક પ્લાન. નીડ કમીતના ૪ રાવ મુજબ સ્વત્રંત્ર જમીન અને બિલ્ડીંગ દર્શાવવા |
| 9 | Bai Jivkor Lalubhai Trust<br>C/o. J.L.Campus, Rambaugh, Maninagar, Ahmedabad-38008   | C. S. Samariya Commerce College<br>C/o. J.L.Campus, Nr.Maninagar Police Station, Rambaugh, Maninagar, Ahmedabad-38008 | Commerce | Urban | 2 Acer | 2 Acer   | Yes | કી પ્લાન મંગાવવા અને ઈયન્ માર્ક પ્લાન ક્લોર પ્રમાણે હાલના કોર્ષ નાં મંગાવવા   |

2117 :- 3, 4118 - 25, 2117 - 11, 2117 - 06 - 2015

સરનામું નં 2014-15 થી નીચેના કોષ્ટકમાં આપેલ અંગ્રેજીમાં લખેલો છે. આ કોષ્ટકમાં આપેલ માહિતી અંગ્રેજીમાં આપેલ છે.

| Sr. No. | Name of Trust  | Proposed Institute  | Faculty       | Area  | As per UGC Norms | Available land | LIC Recommendation | Scrutinee Committee Remarks   |
|---------|--|---|---------------|-------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|---|
| 1       | The F.D. Education Society (F.D. College Road, Jamalpur)   | The F.D. Arts, Commerce & Science College for Women (F.D. College Road, Jamalpur Gate, Ahmedabad-380001)                                | Women Science | Urban | 2 Acre           | 1.73 એકર       | Yes                | પૂરતી જમીન છે   |
| 2       | Gandhinagar Dist Co-Operative Milk Producers Union Ltd. Madhur Dairy, Sector-25, GIDC K Road, Gandhinagar-382023 | Madhur Commerce College (Dasheda-Chanap, National Highway No.8, Chhoda, Himmatnagar Segment Dist Gandhinagar-382355)                    | Commerce      | Rural | 5 Acre           | 5.63 Acre      | Yes                | અવકાશ 3-10 હેક્ટર છે. અધિકારીઓએ સંમતિ આપી છે. અધિકારીઓએ સંમતિ આપી છે.         |
| 3       | Sri Saraswati Education Samsthan At Post : Vihada, M.P.S. Dist. Himmatnagar-383001                               | Saraswati College of Science At Post : Dhanup-382325 Dist. Gandhinagar  | Science       | Urban | 2 Acre           | 2.94 Acre      | Yes                | કોમ્પાઉન્ડિંગ માટેની જમીન છે. ટ્રસ્ટીઓએ સંમતિ આપી છે. અધિકારીઓએ સંમતિ આપી છે. |
| 4       | Kalot Taluka Kelavani Mandal Vaharaya Campus, Ambika Nagar Highway, Kalot-382721 Dist. Gandhinagar               | Kalot Taluka Kelavani Mandal Samchaiti Institute of Commerce (SF) Vaharaya Campus, Ambika Nagar Highway, Kalot-382721 Dist. Gandhinagar | Commerce      | Rural | 5 Acre           | 10.152 Acre    | No                 | અવકાશ 10 હેક્ટર છે.   |
| 5       | Kalot Taluka Kelavani Mandal Vaharaya Campus, Ambika Nagar Highway, Kalot-382721 Dist. Gandhinagar               | Kalot Taluka Kelavani Mandal Samchaiti Institute of Science (SF) Vaharaya Campus, Ambika Nagar Highway, Kalot-382721 Dist. Gandhinagar  | Science       | Rural | 5 Acre           | 10.152 Acre    | No                 | અવકાશ 10 હેક્ટર છે.   |

269

|    |   |  |          |       |        |           |     |   |
|----|---|--|----------|-------|--------|-----------|-----|---|
| 6  | Yogeshwar Education Foundation<br>37, Dhananjay Bungalows,<br>100ft Road, Satellite,<br>Ahmedabad-380015  | Lakshaya College of<br>Science<br>Opp: Apple Woods<br>Township, S.P.Ring Road,<br>Ahmedabad                    | Science  | Urban | 2 Acer | 2.38 Acer | Yes | જમીન ની માલિકી સંસ્થાની નથી.<br>તેમજ સરકારી લીઝ નથી આપી<br>શકાય નહિ |
| 7  | Yogeshwar Education Foundation<br>37, Dhananjay Bungalows,<br>100ft Road, Satellite,<br>Ahmedabad-380015  | Lakshaya College of<br>Commerce<br>Opp: Apple Woods<br>Township, S.P.Ring Road,<br>Ahmedabad                   | Commerce | Urban | 2 Acer | 2.38 Acer | Yes | જમીન ની માલિકી સંસ્થાની નથી.<br>તેમજ સરકારી લીઝ નથી આપી શકાય<br>નહિ |
| 8  | Ghanshyam Public<br>Charitable Trust<br>Shayona Campus,<br>R.C.Technical Road,<br>Ghatlodia,<br>Ahmedabad-380060  | President Commerce<br>College<br>Shayona Campus,<br>R.C.Technical Road,<br>Ghatlodia,<br>Ahmedabad-380060      | Commerce | Urban | 2 Acer | 2.56 Acer | Yes | ૨ એકર જમીન ની માલિકી પુરવાર<br>થતી નથી.                             |
| 9  | Ghanshyam Public<br>Charitable Trust<br>Shayona Campus,<br>R.C.Technical Road,<br>Ghatlodia,<br>Ahmedabad-380060  | President Science College<br>Shayona Campus,<br>R.C.Technical Road,<br>Ghatlodia,<br>Ahmedabad-380060          | Science  | Urban | 2 Acer | 2.56 Acer | Yes | ૨ એકર જમીન ની માલિકી પુરવાર<br>થતી નથી.                             |
| 10 | Somnath Kelavani Mandal<br>B/h. Dutt Mandir,<br>Sector-28,<br>Gandhinagar-380060  | Navgnjarat Science College<br>B/h. Dutt Mandir,<br>Sector-28,<br>Gandhinagar-380060                            | Science  | Urban | 2 Acer | 2.47 Acer | Yes | સંસ્થાએ સાયન્સ કોલેજ પરત ખેંચેલ છે.                                 |
| 11 | RMT Group Educational<br>Charitable Trust<br>Asia Pacific House, Vidhya<br>Vihar Colony, Opp: Fortune<br>Hotel Landmark, Usmanpura,<br>Ahmedabad-380014 | Asia Pacific Science<br>College<br>Village:Bhoyani, Kadl-<br>Katosan Road,Ta: Detroj,<br>Dist:Ahmedabad-382145 | Science  | Rural | 5 Acer | 5 Acer    | No  | એલ.આઈ સી દ્વારા રીજેક્ટ થયેલ છે.                                    |

276

100

100